United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit



APPENDIX

74-1902

Anited

In The

nited States Court of Appeals

For The Second Circuit

MARIA IANUZZI,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

VS.

SOUTH AFRICAN MARINE CORP..

Defendant and Third Party Plaintiff-Appellee,

VS.

INTERNATIONAL TERMINAL OPERATION CO., INC.,

Third Party Defendant-Appellee-Appellant.

JOINT APPENDIX

Volume II. pp. 301a - 600a

ALEXANDER, ASH, SCHWARTZ & COHEN

Attorneys for Third Party
Defendant-Appellee-Appellant
801 Second Avenue
New York, New York 10017
889-0410

PAUL A. GRITZ

Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellant Maria Ianuzzi 185 Montague Street Brooklyn, New York 11201 522 4241

HAIGHT, GARDNER, POOR & HAVENS

Attorneys for Defendant and Third Party Plaintiff-Appellee South African Marine Corp. One State Street Plaza New York, New York 10004 344-6800

(7730)

LUTZ APPELLATE PRINTERS, INC Law and Financial Printing

South River, N. J. (201) 257-6850 New York, N. Y. (212) 565-6377

Philadelphia, Pa. (215) 563-5587

Washington, D. C (202) 783-7288 Ci 31 1974

PAGINATION AS IN ORIGINAL COPY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Appendix

	Page
Docket Entries	A
Excerpts of Transcript of Proceedings Before Owen, D.J., Dated May 15, Through May 30,1974	1a
Motions	969a
Summations	1027a
Charge of the Court	1100a
Verdict	1132a
Memorandum and Order (Filed June 17, 1974)	1144a
Witnesses	
Guiseppe Coppola: Direct	265a
Fred Garofala: Direct	92a 106a 175a
Frank Scotto: Direct	i, 363a

Contents

	Page
Mr. Gous:	
Direct 275.	a, 681a
William Pitt:	
Direct 289	a,821a
Edward Ferenczy:	
Direct	393a
Cross	469a
Redirect	555a
Recross	562a
P. Edward Napolitano:	
Direct	588a
Cross	623a
Redirect	659a
Recross	660a
William Whallam	
William Wheeler:	770-
Direct	779a
Recross	796a 818a
Recross	616a
Joseph Andre:	
Direct	847a
Cross	870a
Redirect	878a
Recross	894a

Contents

													Page
Dominic Direct													902a
Direct			•			•			•		•	•	
Cross								•	•	•	•	•	939a
Dodina	at												952a

On the remote system? "A

21

22

23

24

25

"0 On the remote system.

They were not connected in any way. "A

and distinct or were they inter-connected in any way?

This gravity tank that you referred to as being, "0

"Pitt

I think you said about forty feet above the suction side of the supply pump for the manual system, is that also sometimes referred to as a header tank?

"A A header tank.

"Q While you were chief engineer aboard the South African Huguenot, did you follow a practice of routine maintenance with respect to these winches?

"A Yes.

"O That is with respect to both systems, the manual system and the remote system?

"A Yes.

"Q Would you describe for me that the routine maintenance practice you followed was with respect to the manual system?

"A Yes.

"Q Would you describe for me what the routine maintenance practice you followed was with respect to the manual system?

"A Every northbound passage, we would -- northbound passage, we would free the brakes on the manual winches and make sure that the brakes were operative. We would check out the oil levels in the pump gear boxes. We would insure that the electrical starting mechanisms were in good order and the electric metors and magnetic filters

"Pitt

were used to clean in about six month intervals, make sure
the magnetic filters were clean.

"Q Could you tell me, chief, what you mean by a magnetic filter?

"A A filter situated on the suction side of the pump for extracting any metallic particles that might be entrapped in the main hydraulic system.

"Q When you say the main hydraulic system, you are referring to what I have been calling the manual hydraulic system?

"A I'm sorry. Yes.

"O What, if anything, was done with this gravity tank or header tank that you referred to?

"A I left instructions with my staff to pump up the header tank prior to us entering any port where winches were to be operated.

"O Did you follow a practice aboard the South
African Huguenot of pumping up this gravity or header tank
before each port of call where you were to use the
winches?

"A Yes.

"O And could you tell me how the hydraulic fluid was pumped to this header tank or gravity tank?

"A It was pumped from a storage tank through a hand

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 '

21

22

23

24

25

operated	pump	to	the	header	tank.

- "Q Was this a hand operation or was it a water driven pump?
 - "A No, a hand operated pump.
 - "Q Where was the hand operated pump --
 - "A Next to the --
- "Q -- located for pumping up this gravity or header tank?
 - "A Next to the storage tank.
 - "O Which is located where?
 - "A In the pump room.
- "Q And for the winches at the forward part of
 No. 3 hatch, this pump room, if I understand you correctly
 was located under the mast house or in the mast house in
 which the winches were located; is that correct?
 - "A Yes.
- "Q What was your practice with respect to the maintenance of the remote system, of any?
- "A Similarly, on the northbound voyage, we would prime through the remote system to insure that no -- to extract any air that might have been entrapped in the remote system. Similarly, on the brake system, the remote brake system, we primed the system through to extract any air that might have been entrapped in the remote brake

system.

2

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Was there any lubrication done as a matter of "0 routine maintenance on the remote control system?

Not on the remote control system but on the manual system, yes.

- What was lubricated on the manual system?
- "A Bearings.
- "0 How were these bearings lubricated?
- By grease gun.
- "0 You have mentioned priming through in connection with the maintenance on the remote control system.

Would you please tell me what you mean by priming chrough? What did you do in order to prime through the remote control system?

A little hand pump is attached to the control stand and this light hydraulic fluid is forced through the pipes connected with the remote system and air extraction nipples are slackened off which allows any air entrapped to be let out.

- "0 And is that, in effect, pushed out by the hydraulic fluid that is being pumped in, the air, that is?
 - "A Yes.
- On the northbound voyage, when you say the northbound voyage, are you referring to after the ship sailed

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Pitt

from South Africa?

"A Yes.

"Q And that would be when she was enroute from South Africa to the United States?

"A Yes.

"Q And this maintenance you were referring to was accomplished on the northbound voyage; is that correct?

"A Yes.

"Q Was it your practice to do any maintenance on the southbound voyage?

"A Not generally.

"Q Was there a reason for that?

"A Sometimes we would have -- occasionally, we would have to prime the system -- system to extract any air that might have gotten --

"Q I am referring to routine maintenance procedures, if any, on the southboard leg of the voyage.

"A No.

"O Going from, say, the continental United States or from South America or from Mexico back to South Africa.

"A No.

"Q Was any routine maintenance performed?

"A No.

"Q On the southbound leg of the trip?

1	merf 103 "Pitt	305
2	"A No.	
3	"Q Was there a reason why no routine maintena	ance was
4	done on the southbound leg of the voyage?	
5	"A Largely because when the vessel is around	the
6	South African coast, most of the work was done by c	ranes.
7	"O And you didn't use the ship's winches?	
8	"A Very seldomly.	
9	"O After this priming through was done on the	e
10	northbound leg of the voyage in connection with you	r
11	routine maintenance, was it ever necessary to do an	y work
12	with these winches in connection with topping them	off with
13	hydraulic fluid or adding any more hydraulic fluid	to the .
14	remote system?	
15	"A Yes, on	
16	"Q Do you understand my question?	
17	"A Not perfectly, no.	
18	"Q If I understood you correctly, you testif	ied that
19	you had a routine maintenance that you followed on	the
20	northbound leg of the voyage	
21	"A Yes.	
22	"O while you were enroute from South Afri	ica north?
23	"A Yes.	
24	"O And you mentioned that you primed through	n that
25	remote control system	

correct?

"A

control system?

Yes.

"O My question is this: Having done this routine maintenance on the northbound leg of the voyage, was it ever necessary at the various ports of call in the United States before you left the United States to return to South Africa to add any hydraulic fluid to top off these remote

systems, in other words to add hydraulic fluid to the remote

"O -- to eliminate any air in the system: is that

"A Occasionally, yes.

"Q And what would be the reason why it was necessary to add hydraulic fluid on these occasions?

"A Well, on any hydraulic system onboard a ship, inevitably seepage does occur through joints and through this reason that air can be entrapped in the remote system occasionally and this air would have to be extracted.

"Q Can you tell me what the effect would be of air being entrapped in the remote system?

"A Any pocket of air would cause a cushioning effect and allow any operation to become sluggish or more easy. There is no positive -- (indicating) positive action of any hydraulic fluid forcing one body in one direction.

"Q Was this a gradual process, this loss of direct

action?

•		

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"A A gradual process, yes.

"Q And does the entrapment of air in the system make the remote system inoperative?

"A Not right away. The -- it is a gradual process.

"Q Will the remote system operate, for example, with no hydraulic fluid?

"A No.

"O Will it operate with some hydraulic fluid?

"A Not very well.

"Q If I had a small amount of hydraulic fluid lost from the system, would the remote system operate?

"A Yes. Can I ask you if you mean if you had --"
Down to line 17:

"Q Let me ask you, chief, how was the cargo winch drum driven --

"A Direct --

"Q -- in the manual system on the hudraulic cargo winches aboard the South African Huguenot on November 24, 1968?

"A It is a direct connection between the winch motor and the winch drum."

"Q Was there any gearing between the hydraulic motor and the winch drum?

1

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"A . No.

I believe you have just told me that in operating the remote system, if you had nothing but air in the system, it would not operate; is that correct?

"A No.

If you had a small pocket of air in the system, in the hydraulic system, and I refer now to the remote system, would it operate?

To a certain extent.

And what would be the effect of the small amount of air in the system?

It would cause out-of-phase operation. That is, forgetting -- forgetting some movement from the transmitter, you would need to push it over slightly more to get the same movement on the local system or the manual system.

"Q When you say out-of-phase, Mr. Pitt, are you referring to a lack of synchronization between the controls on the remote system and the controls on the manual system?

"A Yes.."

Page 31, line 3:

Will you explain to me what you mean by the "Q expression 'out-of-phase' that you just referred to?

> There are two levers; one on the remote system "A

"Pitt

and one on the manual system. And this out-of-phase --

"O Will you stop right there a minute, Mr. Pitt.

"Does the remote system, the lever on the remote system control, incidentally, when the remote system is in operation, does it control the lever on the manual system?

"A Yes.

"O Will you go on, please?

"A Yes. Now, should a small amount of air be entrapped in the remote system, the out-of-phase would be thus: The remote lever would have to be, for example, moved over about ten degrees to get about five degrees movement in the same direction on the manual system (indicating) and this will apply in the hoist and lowered direction.

"O Are you saying that the manual system, if there is a small amount of air, will not accurately follow the remote system?

"A Yes.

"Q That there will be a difference in the number of degrees that you would have to turn the handle on the remote system to get, say, I think you used the expression five degrees on the manual system you might have, for example, to move the remote system handle ten degrees? Is that what you mean?

"A Yes."

1

4

3

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 33, line 24:

Is this remote system in its effect on the manual system a direct transmission system?

"A Yes.

Will you tell me what you understand by a direct transmission system?

In principle, it is a piston operating against another valve, a directional valve and piston in the remote system.

All right. "0

And it is a form of displacement initially created by the traveling of a piston in the remote system. This transmits the remote oil.

"Q Is the pressure which the remote system on these winches created, the hydraulic pressure created in the remote system, does that create a hydraulic pressure on the manual system?

"A Yes.

Let me take the converse. If you have no air in the remote system, it is completely full of hydraulic fluid, is it possible to operate the handles, the control handles on the remote system without also operating the handles on the manual system?

No. The one must move with the other. "A

2 manua

manual must move with the movement of the remote handle if it is full of hydraulic fluid.

"O Thank you. Could you tell me where the control handles on the remote system have any positions marked on the travel of the handles? Do you understand what I mean?

"A Yes. No.

"Q In other words, are they marked, 'one-quarter speed,', 'one-half speed,' 'one-third speed'?

"A No, there are no positions marked.

"O How is the speed controlled, the speed of the winch drum controlled by the control handle on the remote system?

"A Well, the control handle on the remote system controls the handle on the manual system and the handle on the manual system operates the main hydraulic valve (indicating) which supplies oil in whichever direction the winch has to operate.

"Q Would it be an accurate statement to say that the speed of the winch is controlled by the amount of the hydraulic pressure?

"A The amount of hydraulic fluid delivered, yes.

"O To the motor?

"A To the motor.

1	merf 110 "Pitt 312
2	"Q And the amount of the hydraulic fluid delivered
3	to the motor is determined by what?
4	"A By the amount the valve the control valve in
5	the manual system is opened.
6	"Q And the movement, I believe you have just told
7	us the movement of the manual valve is controlled by the
8	movement of the remote system when the remote system is in
9	operation; is that correct?
10	"A Yes.
11	"O Are there any hoisting or lowering speeds
12	indicated on the control levers for the manual system?
13	"A No.
14	"Q All right.
15	"A Any direction; hoist and lower.
16	"Q I believe you testified a few minutes ago that
17	the port and starboard remote systems for the cargo
18	winches at the forward end of the No. 3 hatch, these two,
19	five-ton winches were completely separate systems, is that
20	correct?
21	"A The remote systems, yes.
22	"Q They are in no way dependent on each other,
02	the two systems the port and starboard remote systems?

"Ω There are no inter-connecting lines between

24

25

"A No.

"A Yes.

"O I am referring now to the remote system.

"A The remote operation on the brake, the one -the two brakes are supplied by the same --

"Q Let me ask you, would entrapment of air say, for example, in the starboard remote control system have any effect on the port remote control system?

"A No."

Page 44, line 7:

MR. LORY: I should preface this by saying

I had an opportunity to ask questions and these are the

questions I put to the witness on this examination:

"Q You mentioned, in response to Mr. Kain's questions, that there were routine maintenance performed to the winches. So you understand me, I will deal solely with the winches at the forward end of the No. 3 hatch and the pump room upon which they are situated.

"A Yes.

"Q With respect to your general, usual maintenance of these winches on your northbound leg to any port, was there any checklist with respect to what procedures were to be followed?

"A No, no, they are only instructed.

"Q If maintenance were to be performed, by whom

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.3

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	would	

would it be performed?

- "A By the fourth engineer.
- "Q How long had he been aboard the Huguenot as of November 24, 1968?
 - "A I don't know.
 - "Q Do you know what licenses he had?
 - "A He didn't have licenses, not the fourth.
- "O Did he perform the maintenance as was necessary himself, or was it designated to other members of the crew?
- "A Yes, by myself primarily and then the second engineer. We checked up on this procedure.
 - "Q I don't think you understood my question.

 "MR. LORY: Will you read it back, please.

"(The question was read.)

- "A No, as by himself. Can I just clarify this, please. You see, I gave -- give instructions to the fourth-second engineer and the fourth engineer's dury under normal circumstances is to -- to do this operation, to --
- "Q As I understand your testimony, he would be the one that would top the tanks and do everything else as was necessary?
 - "A fes, under my supervision.
 - "Q Would you be there when this was done?
 - "A I would be checking on him, certainly. Not all

1	merf 113 317a 315
2	the time. I would be backwards and forwards checking.
3	"Q Do you have any recollection of being atop
4	the pump house, the forward end of the No. 3 hatch
5	at the time these winches were checked on the inboun
6	voyage to New York, the voyage that included November
7	24, 1968?
8	"A Not on that particular one, no."
9	To page 48, line 18:
10	"Q Mr. Pitt, when you refer to the manual system,
11	are you also referring to the main system?
12	"A Yes.
13	"Q They are one and the same system?
14	"A They are one and the same system.
15	"Q It is just a different way of referring to it;
16	is that correct?
17	"A It is just a different way of referring to it."
18	To page 51, line 10:
19	"Q You mentioned with respect to the remote system
20	that there were some air extraction nipples?
21	"A Yes.
22	"Q With respect to the, for example, the port
23	side winch at No. 3 hatch forward, how many air extraction

"A Three on the winch operation remote system

nipples would be in the remote system?

24

25

- 11								
2	and	two	on	the	brake	operation	remote	system

"O With respect to the winch operation remote system, can you describe those nipples to us?

"A Yes, there is one on the phase adjustment valve and there is one each on the directional valve, by the directional valve.

"Q Are these nipples controlled by any interior valves?

"A There is a little ball valve underneath each one.

"O And are they manually controlled with respect to air extraction or does it work automatically?

"A No, they are manually controlled.

"Q And after this system is primed, each one must be screwed down like the valve on a motorcar tire?

"A Yes.

"O And if any one of these is not screwed down, then you have a leak within the remote system; do you not?

"A You will have a slight leak there, yes.

"Q What would be the effect of the slight leak?

"A You might draw up a bit of air through the slight leak, if you lost sufficient oil.

"O I take it that the remote system had three such valves?

"A Three such valves, yes, for the operation of

the winches.

"Q How was oil added to the remote system when it was required?

"A It was done by a -- (Indicating) hydraulic hand pump and supplied through the control stand supplied by it.

"Q When you say the control stand, you are referring to the post upon which the remote transmitter is attached?

"A Yes.

"Q And when you speak of the remote controls, I think you referred to them ealier as transmitters?

"A Transmitters.

"O And there is something on the common post for the port and starboard winches?

"A Yes.

"0 Wherein you can pump in this lighter hydraulic fluid?

Yes.

Can you describe the intake at that particular "0 point?

"A Yes, it's --

"MR. KAIN: You are referring to the cil

"MR. LORY: Oil intake on the transmitter post.

"A It's a --

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	"Q You are indicating with a screwing	motion	2
3	finger going through a hole?	L''	

"A Yes, you unscrew it. It's a dip stick, combined with a filling point. It's a dip stick combined with a filling point.

"Q The dip stick is attached to the cap itself; is it not?

"A And you take the dip stick out and connect the pump hose to this hole and then pump oil down into this hole.

"Q Would you describe the pump that is used, what its general configuration or appearance may be?

"A It's a little pump.

"O You are indicating about ten inches high?

'A Ten inches high and about five inches in diameter with up and down -- with a plunger inside.

"Q There is a hose leading from that that you put into the receptacle valve or the intake valve or the intake pipe?

"A In the intake pipe which delivers it to the control stand.

"O In that system with the cap on sealed?

"A Yes, once you have put the cap on -- it isn't -- well, its waterproof.

1	merf 117 "Pitt 319
2	"Q Is it sealed was respect to itself so that oil
3	can't be forced out through the valve?
4	"A Yes, through this dip stick.
5	"Q Does the cap have a gasket?
6	"A No. It's a face to face joint.
7	"O Metal to metal? .
8	"A Yes."
9	THE COURT: Mr. Lory, are you going to go much
10	longer or are you almost through?
11	MR. LORY: Yes, your Honor, on this one, yes.
12	THE COURT: All right, then ladies and gentlemen
13	we will take our mid-afternoon recess at this point. We
14	will be in recess for about ten minutes.
15	(Recess.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to be

7:

2		MR. LORY:	Continuing on page	55 at line
3	"Ω	Of course,	to put it back, it	would have
4	screwed	down complete	ely?	
_	""	Vaa.		

"A Yes.

"Q Is the exterior of it roughened with cross cuts?

"A Yes.

"O Is there anything on top of this to give you greater leverage in order to turn it tight?

"A No.

"Q It's just like a regular cap on a bottle?

"A Yes.

"Q And with the edges roughened up so you do have some friction?

"A Yes, I can show it to you if you like."

If we can please, gentlemen, to page 56, line
21.

"Q Now, chief, the transmitter for both these port and starboard winches at No. 3 hatch would be the same? They were similar in construction and in style?

"A Yes.

"Q And I believe you mentioned that there was a control handle in the vertical position, and it would be in the stopped position?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE

"A Yes.

"Q Is	the remote system, the transmitter, similar
to any other	telegraphic device aboard the vessel in that
manipulation	of the transmitter system will operate a
secondary or	main system of much more substance?

"A Yes.

"O Do you understand what I mean?

"A One transmits to another one where you have more power supplied.

"O Would it be fair to say, Mr. Pitt, that by moving this handle either forward or towards you, away from you or towards you, that you in this respect control the speed of the main hydraulic pump?

"A Yes.

"Q And is it --

"A I beg your pardon. By the main hydraulic pump, you mean the main hydraulic motor?

"O The main hydraulic motor. Correct me if I call something what it should not be called because I an not that learned with respect to hydraulic winches. I am groping.

"Is it also fair to say that we actually have two separate hydraulic systems, one of great power and one of less power as a telegraphic device?

"A Yes.

"O And any leakage of	or	infiltration of	air in either
will affect the operation of	of	that particular	winch;
is that a fair statement?			

"A Well, its -- we have never had in my four years any change of any air coming into the main system.

"Only in the remote system, we did have air entrapment.

"Q All right. With what frequency did you experience air entrapment in the remote system?

"A Not very frequently.

"O Well, would it be once every voyage or would it be once every two voyages or how would you like to describe it?

"A Once every two voyages.

"Q All right. And each voyage would be of what duration?

"A About two and a half months.

"Q So what you are testifying to is the fact that approximately, on the average, once every five months, you may have had some air intake into the remote system?

"A But -- that's right. We use to, if I may carry on --"

Then there is some colloquy.

• 1	
2	Finally, the witness says, "All right."
3	Continuing the examination.
4	"Q The control lever, if we assumed a vertical
5	position, it is 360 degrees. Is there any limitation
6	on how far we may move it forward, away from us or towards
7	us?
8	"A Yes, it is limited by set screws that control
9	the amount of movement.
10	"O And what is the ultimate limitation forward?
11	Is it about 90 degrees?
12	"A It is 60 degrees and 45 degrees. One moves
13	45 and the other one moves 60 degrees.
14	"Q When you say
15	"A From the center position (indicating).
16	"Q The 45 degree would be with respect to lift or
17	would be with respect to slack off?
18	"A Both ways; to life or slack off. To hoist and
19	lower, I take it you mean.
20	"O Perhaps we misunderstand each other, Mr. Pitt.
21	I was asking for the limitations of motion forward and
22	also towards the individual.
23	"A 45 degrees, 45 degrees.
24	"Q Yes? "
25	There is more discussion at this point.

25

here.

1	meri 122 Pitt 324
2	"Q Let's stay with the remote system. Let me phrase
3	the question again.
4	"With respect to the remote system, the handle
5	is in the vertical position. How many degrees forward may
6	I move it?
7	"A 60 degrees.
8	"Q How many degrees towards me aft may I move it?
9	"A You mean
10	"Q Towards me.
11	"A It is 45 degrees, 45 degrees.
12	"Q So therefore, as I understand your testimony,
13	for hoisting or lowering
14	"A Yes.
15	"Q we have a limitation of movement on the
16	control handle on the remote system of 45 degrees?
17	"A According to this, it is 60 degrees and 44
18	degrees (indicating diagram); 60 degrees in one direction
19	and 44 degrees in the other direction.
20	"Q Will you note for the record, chief, what
21	page of the manual you are referring to?
22	"A Page 92. "
23	Which is the instruction book that we have marked

"Q Let me go over this again so you understand me

2	and	1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and we understand your testimony.

"With respect to the hoisting position, how many degrees may I move this lever?

"A On --

"MR. KAIN: On the remote system.

"MR. LORY: I am talking only about the remote system how.

"A Hoisting is towards you (indicating). That would be 60 degrees.

"O Yes.

"A And 44 degrees in the lower position.

"Q In the lowering position?

"A Yes."

Going down to line 15 page 62.:

"Q Is it fair to say that both these transmitters are located on a common post?

"A Yes.

"Q And with the remote system, one man operates both winches?

"A Yes.

"O Are you familiar with the terms 'Burton' and 'up and down'?

"A Yes.

"O If both these winches are used in the married

"Pitt

fall operation with one winch acting as a burton winch and one acting as an up and down winch, one man may control the entire operation?

- "A Yes.
- "O Did you ever operate these winches?
- "A Not operate them, but test them, yes.
- "O How do you test them?
- "A Well, you insure that -- are you talking about the manual winches or -- "

Let's go to page 64, line 18:

"Q What tests do you use with respect to the remote system?

"A The remote system, we insure that it -- the direction given on the remote handle is exactly followed by the manual handle, that the operation is duplicated exactly by the receiver. The motion of the transmitter is directed -- is actuated by the receiver, but that the one is equal to the other. The actual fact that you are having direct transmission of oil and direct action (indicating).

- "Q Are you specking of synchronization between the remote and manual system?
 - "A Yes.
 - "Q You have watched cargo operations aboard

1	327
2	the Huguenot while you were aboard; have you not, sir?
3	"A Yes, but I don't know much about cargo operation.
4	"Q I am not going to ask you much, but I would like
5	an answer to this question: During cargo operations,
6	which control handle is used; the manual or the remote?
7	"A The remote.
8	"O Apart from testing synchronization between the
9	remote and the manual system, are there any other tests
10	that you perform with respect to these winches?
11	"A We test the brakes and make sure that they are
12	in proper working order.
13	"Q During the course of these tests, is there
14	any weight on the fall?
15	"A No.
16	"Q Is anything done to the fall during these tests?
17	"A No.
18	"MR. KAIN: Are you referring, Mr. Lory,
19	to the tests done by the chief engineer?
20	"MR. MORY: I am referring to the tests done
21	by the witness who said he tested the winches.
22	"MR. KAIN: That is what I said.
23	"A This is on the normal course of events going
24	northbound. We make sure that there is direct operation
25	direct following of (indicating).

1	merf	126	330	Pitt			328
2		"Q	In other words,	you are	checking	the coupli	ng
3	betwe	een t	e remote system	and the	manual sy	stem?	
4		"A	To see that the	y are syr	nchronized	, yes.	
5		"Q	I don't recall	if I aske	ed you thi	s but I wi	.11
6	risk	aski	ng the guestion	again.			
7			"Was this test	performed	during t	he northbo	ound
8	voya	ge fo	the voyage tha	t include	ed Novembe	er 24, 1968	3?
9		"A	Yes.				
.0	•	"Ω	I see.				
1		"A	It is done ever	y northbo	ound voya	je.	
2		"Q	This was done b	y the for	urth engir	neer?	
13		"λ	Yes, under the	supervis	ion of mys	self and th	ne
14	seco	nd en	gineer.				
15		"Q	And at the time	that th	is was do	ne, were ye	ourself
16	and	the s	econd engineer p	resent?			
17		"A	When these test	s are ca	rried out	, yes, to	make
18	sure	that	the these or	erations	are done	and then	we go
19	and	test	all the operation	ons to ma	ke sure th	hat everyt	hing
20	is i	n ord	er.				
21		"Q	How long does t	his test	take, pa	rticularly	
22	conf	ining	ourselves to th	ne forwar	d winches	at No. 3	hatch?
23	How	long	would it take to	test th	ose?		

"A

24

25

Ten minutes.

"Q Is any part of that time consumed in allowing

1	merf 127 "Pitt	329
2	the pump to function and to circulate the oil?	
3	"A No	
4	"Q You don't have to warm up these winches?	
5	"A In cold weather you have to	
6	"O How about in November on a north crossing?	
7	Do you have to warm them up	
8	"A No, we	
9	"Q to get the oil circulating within the s	systems?
10	"A We keep the oil circulating in the systems	3.
11	We keep a pump going all night.	
12	"Q During this ten minute test, what did you	do;
13	just move the handle back and forth?	
14	"A Yes, we disconnect the hooked forked arm	on the
15	action handle and insure that we are getting correc	t respons
16	between the transmitter and the receiver.	
17	"Q Would it be fair to say that you stand at	the
18	transmitter and watch the manual handle, and as you	move
19	one, you want to see movement on the other?	
20	"A Yes.	
21	"Q Is that the full extent of the tests?	
22	"A No, and then the brake braking system.	
23	"O How do you check the braking system?	
24	"A To make sure that the full force of the b	rake

as supplied on the remote stand is delivered on the manual

me	r	f	1	2	8

"Pitt

stand.

"Q Are we talking about the automatic brake or the foot brake?

"A Yes. We make sure the foot brake is -- is in correct response with the automatic brake at the remote stand or the remote brake. There is no automatic brake. It is a remote brake.

"O Did you not tell Mr. Kain that you had an automatic brake at the time that you moved the handle to the neutral position?

"A You are talking about the operation of the winch.

There is a neutral coming back. This has nothing to do with
the brake.

- "Q How do you test the manual brake on the post?
- "A We apply pressure at the post.
- "Q Yes?

"A And follow up the counterpressure as delivered there to insure that the full force is applied that you would supply over here. In other words --

"O You are indicating over to the side. Are you indicating with respect to the --

- "A Winch.
- "O With respect to the manual winch?
- "A Yes.

"Pitt

2	"Q The manual system?
3	"A Yes, the manual system.
4	"O Is there a foot brake pedal over there as well?
5	"A Yes, there is only one brake band and that is
6	on the winch.
7	"Q What do you observe; the brake band?
8	"A Yes no, we observe the actual foot brake
9	itself and insure that the force supplied there is also
10	supplied at the winch itself.
11	"Q How many men are necessary in order to test the
12	brakes?
13	"A At least two.
14	"Q And where are they stationed?
15	"A The brake is on the winch.
16	"O No, where are the men stationed?
17	"A The one man is at the remote stand and the other
18	man is at the winch itself.

man is at the winch itself.

"Q We had an off the record discussion. Would it be fair to say that when pressure is applied to the brake pedal on the remote stand, the other gentleman and the manual winch is there to feel or counteract the pressure?

"A Yes.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What does he feel the pressure on at the manual "Q winch?

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

21

22

23

24

25

"A He feels the exact pressure the other man has supplied there.

- "Q What does he have his hand on?
- "A He holds the pedal up and --
- "A Is there a pedal also at the manual winch?
- "A Yes, there is two pedals; one at the remote stand and the one at the manual stand, the manual winch."

 Drop down to line 19, please, on that page.
- "Q Is there any means of checking the pressure in the remote system?
 - "A Not on the light oil side, no.
- "O Do I take it or do I understand your answer correctly to mean that there is no gauge to read to check the remote system as far as pressure is concerned?

"A I better explain the remote system a bit more fully. The remote system -- on the light oil side is two distinct operations between the port and starboard.

The remote system, to actually do the work, it's like the power steering of a motorcar. You have an hydraulic pressure of 20 kilograms of square centimeters doing the work for you and this is supplied by another little pump in the mast house.

"Q Is there any way of reading the pressure on the remote system during its operation?

.		000-	
1	merf 131	"Pitt	33
2	"A	Yes, on the working meter.	
3	"Ω	There is a working meter?	
4	"A	Yes.	
5	"Ω	Where is the working meter located?	
6	"A	That is in the pump room.	
7	"Q	And that is some distance away from the opera	ator
8	at the po	st?	
9	"A	Yes, that is a distance."	
10		Page 79, please. Line 6:	
11	"Q	How much hydraulic fluid was in one of these	
12	remote sy	stems at the forward end of the No. 3 hatch?	
13	"A	About three quarts.	
14	"Q	And this pump that you referred to in answer	to
15	Mr. Lory'	s cuestions for topping off the remote system	,
16	this hand	pump that you referred to, where was that	
17	normally	kept on board the ship while cargo operations	
18	were in p	rogress?	
19	"A	Normally kept on top of the engine room.	
20	"Q	The upper engine room?	
21	"A	The upper engine room."	
22		Page 80, line 25:	
23	"Q	Is there a coupling between the remote system	m
24	and the m	anual system?	
25	"A	Not a mechanical coupling.	

"Pitt

"0	That	is	what	T	meant

"A There is no mechanical coupling between the two levers of the remote system.

"O Is that a direct system, the remote system?

"A The remote --

"O Does it operate directly on the manual system?

"A Yes.

"O Is it in effect a hydraulic transmitter and a hydraulic receiver?

"A Yes."

That concludes my reading of the deposition, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

Gentlemen, in accordance with our robing room discussion, you are reserving your right to read such or portions and you may duplicate to the extent necessary to put your portions in context.

MR. LORY: I am wondering whether, since I am going at this thing haphazardly with respect to the presentation, whether to continue with Mr. Scotto at this particular point and have Mr. Ferenczy testify all at once.

THE COURT: Well, why don't we take Mr. Scotto?

Perhaps we can complete today and we have already had

with him, unless you feel you can complete --

MR.KAIN: May I ask your Honor how long your Honor anticipates continuing?

THE COURT: Not beyond a quarter of 5:00. I have a motion that I must hear. It is scheduled for 4:30 but I can go as late as a quarter of 5:00.

MR. KAIN: I would assume, if there is no objection to it, that since this is an expert witness, that his testimony would be relatively brief. Of course, Mr. Lory is free to do what he wishes with his case but I wonder, having laid all this foundation, that we are not breaking it up unnecessarily.

THE COURT: Do you think he could be completed in 45 minutes?

MR. LORY: I don't think so, your Honor, because I would like Mr. Ferenczy to explain to the jury generally how this system works and then confine ourselves to a particular aspect of it. This is my intent.

THE COURT: Well, you suit yourself, Mr. Lory.
This is your case. Go ahead.

MR. LORY: Would you call Mr. Scotto, please.

FRANK SCOTTO, resumed the stand and testified further as follows:

2	DIDEOM	DUBMINAMIO
2 1	DIRECT	EXAMINATION

BY MR. LORY (Continued):

O Mr. Scotto, when last you were on the stand,

I believe we got to the point in your direct testimony
where you were about to tell us what you saw occur as
the car was being brought aboard just before the accident
to Mr. Iannuzzi.

A Yes?

Now, to refresh the jury's recollection, how many cars had been brought aboard before the accident to Mr.

Iannuzzi?

A I believe that there were three.

Q Were you told by Mr. Iannuzzi or anyone to bring another car aboard?

A No, the hatch boss said tell the winch man to bring another one aboard, and the winch man told it to me.

Now, at the time that you received the order from the winch man to bring the car aboard, did you have occasion to look down at the dock?

A Yes, I was watching the pier and I was watching the car.

Q Was there a car on the stringpiece of the pier next to the vessel ready to be brought aboard or that

	339 a
1	merf 135 Scotto-direct 337
2	the longshoremen were getting ready to bring aboard?
3	A It was already there to be brought aboard.
4	Q Was that car brought aboard? Did you signal
5	to have it raised?
6	A Yes.
7	Now, at this particular time, was there dead
8	cargo on the starboard forward section of the inshore deck
9	of this vessel?
0	A There were drums and there was a tractor.
1	O Do you remember how high off the deck the tracto
12	stood?
13	A How do I know? Who can remember that? I know
14	that the tractor is a big one.
15	Q Was it taller than you are?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Will you stand up for a moment, please.
18	How tall are you, Mr. Scotto?
19	A Five foot four, five foot or better.
20	O Tell us please, Mr. Scotto, what you observed
21	from the moment that you gave the signal to Mr. Coppola
22	to raise that car up from the stringpiece?
23	A When I gave the order to Mr. Coppola to pick
24	up the car, he raised it, up until it reached the height

that it could be brought over to the hatch on the vessel,

and I was watching the car. When he got hold of the

car	to	brin	g it	in,	the	car	made	running	inside	moven	nent,
and	I t	urne	d ar	ound	to	take	some	shelter	, and I	saw M	ir.
Iann	uzz	i on	the	coar	ming	and	I yel	lled, but	t it was	s too	late.
That	's	all	I sa	w.							

Scotto-direct

- Q Did you see what happened to Mr. Iannuzzi?
- A After that I didn't see him any more. He went down.
- O Where was Mr. Iannuzzi when you last saw him, was he on the main deck of the vessel?
 - A No. No.
 - O Where was he when you last saw Mr. Iannuzzi?
- A I saw him pass around there. He was a foreman, an assistant foreman, he would go from one hatch to the other, hatch No. 1, hatch No. 2, hatch No. 3.
- Q Mr. Scotto, you just told us that you saw
 Mr. Iannuzzi at the time that you described that the
 draft or this car was running -- made a running inside
 movement, is that so?
 - A Yes, I saw him.
- Q Now, at this particular time where was Mr. Iannuzzi, was he on the main deck?
 - THE COURT: Mr. Lory, he said he was on the coaming.

 MR. KAIN: I object.
 - THE COURT: And let's go from there.

don't understand that.

merf 137 Scotto-direct 339	
O The coaming, where is the coaming of the hatch?	
A It's the coaming of the hatch, where should it	
be? The coaming, that's where it is. The hatch was No.	
3, the coaming is the coaming.	
Q Was this the coaming on the main deck?	
Λ Yes.	
MR. COHEN: If your Honor please, I think there	
is a lot of leading going on.	
THE COURT: I don't think there is much dispute	
about this particular fact at this point, certainly not	
with this witness' testimony.	
THE WITNESS: Yes.	
THE COURT: Go ahead, let's go forward.	
Q All right, now, Mr. Scotto, is there a rating	
system with respect to longshoremen and their seniority?	
A We have a gang. I don't know what you mean.	
There is a gang of 18 men, whatever they are.	
Q Mr. Scotto, is there a seniority system with	
respect to longshoremen, or do longshoremen have a seniorit	У
system?	
A I don't know what you are talking about. I	

MR. LORY: Mr. Amarante, would you put the question to him with respect to the longshoremen but with

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: I was the man that -- I was the man at the gangway. I don't understand any other terms that you are using now.

- Q Mr. Scotto, how long have you been a longshoreman?
- A 27 years.

respect to the gang?

- Q What kind of a card do you have?
- A A.
- O Does that A indicate seniority?
- A That's the first, yes.
- Q Does that indicate that you have seniority over other longshoremen?
 - A Yes, sir.
- And if someone has a B card he has less seniority than you have, is that correct?
 - A He comes after me.
- ? What is the lowest rating in the seniority system, what letter?
- A There is no letter, it is only classified as the last one who comes into the port. That's the new system. '69.
- O Now, Mr. Scotto, before the accident happened to Mr. Iannuzzi -- strike that.

Do you remember whether the day of the accident

24, 1968?

- 11	
2	was the first day you worked aboard the Huguenot or that
3	you worked quarters any other day?
4	A I believe that we worked aboard her another day,
5	I believe so.
6	A Another day, yes.
7	O Now, were you working at the No. 3 hatch forward
8	winches this other day?
9	A I don't remember. whether we were at hatch No. 3
10	or hatch No. 4. I don't remember. All this time, who can
11	remember any more.
12	O Did you work all day on the day of the accident
13	on No. 3 hatch?
14	A Yes, sir.
15	Q At any time did Mr. Coppola indicate to you
16	that he had a problem with the winches at No. 3 hatch?
17	MR. COHEN: Objection, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: I sustain the objection.
19	O Did you receive any complaints
20	MR. COHEN: Same objection.
21	THE COURTL Let him finish the question.
22	MR. COHEN: Sorry.
23	Ω Did you receive any complaints from Mr. Coppola
24	with respect to the winches at No. 3 hatch on November

THE COURT: Sustain the objection.

O Did you have any problems with the winches at No. 3 hatch on this day?

MR. COHEN: You mean to his personal knowledge?

THE COURT: Yes. If he knows.

A I don't know anything about winches. I wasn't at the winches.

Mr. Scotto, assume for the moment that a winch man experiences some problem with a winch, what is the usual procedure in your gang?

MR. COHEN: Objection.

O What is done?

THE COURT: What's the basis of that? We have had some testimony about this before. It's repetitious, frankly.

MR. COHEN: That's it. We have had testimony about what was done by the winch operator.

MR. KAIN: I object to it too, your Honor, if it is not confined to this particular ship and particular day. What is done now is irrelevant.

MR. LORY: I don't remember at this point with this witness whether I covered the possibility of earlier complaints.

THE COURT: You did. My notes show that.

1	merf 141 Scotto-direct 343	
2	MR. LORY: I did, your Honor.	
3	THE COURT: My notes indicate that.	
4	MR. LORY: I wanted to make sure.	
5	Q Mr. Scotto, at the time that this last car	
6	was being brought aboard the vessel, how much of an area	
7	remained on the deck for you to go from the rail or to	
8	perform your duties?	
9	A There were drums on deck there, there was a spa	ace
0	maybe about 12, 13 feet. From the railing of the vessel	
11 .	to the coaming.	
12	O When you say the coaming, you mean from the	
13	bulwark, the rail of the vessel to the coaming of the ha	tch
14	A Yes, sir.	
15	O The 12 or 13 feet you mentioned was that the	
16	distance from the rail to the coaming of the hatch?	
17	A Yes, sir.	
18=	O How much room did you have going forward and	
19	aft in that area?	
20	A How do I know now how much space there was. T	he
21	hatch is 40 feet. I was almost in the middle of the hat	.ch,
22	about 20 feet. You mean from one	
23	THE COURT: No question pending.	
24	Mr. Scotto, when this car came aboard, the one	:

that was involved in the accident with Mr. Iannuzzi, did

1	merf 143 Scotto-direct 345
2	your Honor?
3	THE COURT: Did he do that with regard to this
4	particular car?
5	THE WITNESS: When the accident happened?
6	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
7	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, but the car was running
8	too much and I couldn't hold it, and I went down to the
9	deck floor. That's the way it happened.
10	O One more question, please, Mr. Scotto, I believe
11	you told us that someone from the ship came earlier to
12	service the winch about 11:30?
13	A Yes, it certainly is true. I called him. When
14	Coppola tells me that he has trouble, I call the officer.
15	Q How many/times that day did you call someone?
16	A Three times.
17	Ω How many men came each time?
18	A One at a time. I don't know what they are,
19	they are Japanese, South Africans.
20	O So the record is clear, Mr. Scotto, on each occasion
21	that someone was called to do something with these winches,
22	is it your testimony that one man came at each time and
23	only one man?
24	A One person is enough to do that job.

MR. LORY: Thank you, Mr. Scotto.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE	COURT:	Mr	Kain.
TIII	COUNT.	LIT .	Mail.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. KAIN:

- O At the time of this accident, Mr. Scotto, how many deck men did you have aboard the Huguenot at hatch No. 3?
 - A There was Coppola and Frank Scotto, myself.
- O And when you started work that morning, how many deck men did you have in that gang?
- A You mean to rig up the ship, to rig up the booms, there were three, all three of us.
- O Where was the third deck man at the time this accident happened, do you know?
 - A He was on relief.
 - O If you know, was he still aboard the ship?
- A I don't know.
- O In any event you didn't see him around the deck at the time of that accident, is that correct?
 - A No.
- O At the time of Mr. Scotto's accident -- I'm sorry, Mr. Iannuzzi's accident -- where were you standing?
 - A I was working at the gangway.
- Q And were you over next to the ship's rail, the bulwark?

1	merf 145 Scotto-cross 347
2	A Yes.
3	Q And where were you, about half-way down the hatch?
4	A Half-way, a little bit more here, a little bit
5	more there, now, what do I know.
6	O As you worked the gangway, were you facing aft
7	or were you facing forward?
8	A Going towards the dock.
9	Q You were looking out on to the dock and facing
10	the dock?
11	A On the dock, yes.
12	Q And you had your back to Mr. Coppola, is that
13	correct?
14	A Yes, sir.
15	Q And as you signalled to Mr. Coppola, you used
16	hand signals, is that correct?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q Now, as this car was brought on board, did you
19	continue to face the dock?
20	A I continued until the car was raised up over
21	the rail to see that everything with the car was in order.
22	Ω And at what point as this car was raised did you
23	take hold of this tag line that you described for Mr. Lory?
24	A When the car started to come in, the car made
95	

a sudden run.

347-A

Based on your experience that good longshore

A little bit, yes.

24

25

2 "
3 stood

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

"Q I understand you didn't measure it, but as you stood there about three-quarters of the length of the hatch square was aft of you; is that correct?"

And did you give this answer?

"A Yes."

A No. I don't remember anything.

MR. KAIN: Is it conceded, Mr. Lory that is a question asked the witness and the answer he gave?

MR. LORY: That's what the transcript says, Mr. Kain.

MR. KAIN: Will you concede that he was asked the question and he gave that answer?

MR. LORY: Mr. Kain, I can only concede the fact that he was present at a deposition and he gave certain answers to certain questions. I was not the reporter there and I did not record it.

THE COURT: Mr. Kain, is the transcript certified by the reporter?

MR. KAIN: It is, yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: You may then use it in accordance with the rules. You may offer that question and answer in evidence.

MR. KAIN: All right, sir.

THE COURT: Under the certification.

24

25

Is it signed?

MR. KAIN: Your Honor, I believe, has the original.

I'm sorry, Mr. Amarante has the original.

MR. LORY: It was certified.

MR. COHEN: Signing waived. Certified by the court reporter.

THE COURT: You may put it in under the certification.

BY MR. KAIN:

merf 149

Ω Do you remember how long a period of time elapsed,

Mr. Scotto, from the time you were told to bring another

car aboard until the car was actually passed over the deck

immediately before the accident?

A No, I don't remember anything.

Q When this car came aboard, did it pass over this tractor that you say was stored on deck or stowed on deck on the inshore side?

- A What tractor?
- Q Was there a tractor on deck on the inshore side?
- A Are you talking about a machine?
- O Talking about a tractor.
- A Yes, there was a tractor. There was one.
- O And how high above the deck was this tractor that was on deck?

11	merr	TO
11		

- A As I told you before, about my height.
- Q At your deposition on October 14th, Mr. Scotto, do you remember being asked this question and -- I am sorry, do you remember being asked this question, page 18, line 9:

"A At the time he started to Burton the car across the deck how high above the ship's rail was the car," and did you give this answer?

"A I tell you now what the height is because there was a heavy tractor there which was forward and if the car would be brought in at a lower height, the end, rear end of the car would strike against the tractor and that's the reason that he brought the car in somewhat higher but this is not the reason that this happened."

Were you asked that question and did you give that answer?

A The car was brought in the way it was brought in because it was a very large car, but then that's the way he did it, however he did it. I wasn't at the winch.

- Q Were you asked this question, line 20:
- "Q How high above the ship's rail did he bring it?

 How high was it above the ship's rail?"

And did you give this answer:

"A How can I tell you? I didn't measure it."

1	merf 151 9055 ch-cross 352
2	And were you asked this question:
3	"Q Well, could you estimate it for me, was it five
4	feet, ten feet above the ship's rail?"
5	And did you give this answer:
6	"A About 15 or 20 feet."
7	Does that refresh your recollection?
8	A This question I answered hat way because from
9	the floor of the dock that is the height, about 15 feet.
0	Q Page 19, line 2, do you remember being asked
1	this question:
12	"O And if you recall, how high above the ship's
13	rail was this tractor that you referred to, the top of the
14	tractor?"
15	And did you give this answer:
16	"A About 12 or 13 feet."
17	Were you asked that question and did you give
18	that answer?
19	A No, I don't remember anything.
20	THE COURT: Mr. Kain, I take it it is our under-
21	standing that as to all of these questions, they are going
22	into evidence?
23	MR. KAIN: I was going to ask your Honor if I
24	could offer them in evidence.

THE COURT: You may.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KAIN: As certified answers.

THE COURT: Mr. Lory, you have no questions this is a certified transcript, do you?

MR. LORY: I don't question that, your Honor. The only thing I said was I was not the reporter. I assume the transcript is correct. The question was asked, I presumed that answer was given as recorded.

THE COURT: I appreciate that. All right.

- Now I think you ald Mr. Lory just a minute ago that on the day of this accident, Mr. Coppola complained to you about these winches on three occasions, is that correct?
 - Yes, sir.
- And did he tell you what the nature of his complaint was?
 - That the winch wasn't working properly.
- Is that all he said, did he tell you what difficulty he was having in operating these winches?
 - That's all he said, they are not working right. A
 - And you say he did this on three occasions? 0
 - Three times that day, yes, sir. A
- Mr. Scotto, do you remember at your deposition on October 14, 1971, page 31, line 24, do you remember being asked this question:

2	"Q Did you have any reports from either Mr. Coppola
3	or Mr. Manfredino about these winches, complaints about
4	these winches at any time of the day of the accident
5	prior to the accident?"
6	And did you give this answer?
7	"A At 11:15, sometime like that, he called me and he
8	said, Frankly, the levers are stiff."
9	Were you asked that question and did you give
10	that answer?
11	A Yes, sir.
12	Q Does that refresh your recollection now that you
13	got one complaint from the winch operator?
14	A This was at 11:30 and this time, but that
15	day there were five different times.
16	THE COURT: Mr. Kain, I take it you are going
17	to be a little bit longer with this witness?
18	MR. KAIN: Yes, sir, a considerable bit, I
19	think.
20	THE COURT: It is almost a quarter to five and
21	I have a motion here with counsel from Washington. I
22	will recess until tomorrow morning at 10:00.
23	Ladies and gentlemen, you are excused until
24	10:00 tomorrow morning. Please do not discuss the case
25	among each other nor with anybody else in accordance

2 | with my standing instructions.

Good night.

Now, Mr. Kain, would you like to have your associates put that exhibit in my robing room.

MR. KAIN: I'd like to, your Honor.

THE COURT: In its present pristine condition, and you can leave it there overnight.

MR. COHEN: I have a witness under subpoena.

Could I ask your Honor to instruct him to bring him

back -- I don't think we will reach him tomorrow. Will

you please tell him instead of me?

THE COURT: I will be glad to do it.

If you can bring him out I will give him the direction.

You are instructed to return at 10:00 o'clock tomorrow morning, Joseph Andre.

You may step down, Mr. Scotto. See you in the morning.

(Witness excused.)

(Adjourned to May 22, 1974 at 10:00 a.m.)

Maria Iannuzzi

1

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

vs.

South American Marine Corp.

International Terminal
Operating Company, Inc.

68 Civ. 2829

May 22, 1974 10:00 a.m.

(Trial resumed.)

(In the robing room.)

MR. LORY: Your Honor, earlier -- I would say about a week, ten days ago I had asked Mr. Cohen to get for me from ITO what an assistant foreman would be earning today had he still been employed with ITO. During the course of the trial it turned out that Mr. Iannuzzi was only hatch boss who was acting as an assistant foreman. This, of course, changed the picture with respect to my requests. At this point with respect to establishing economic loss, we know what he was earning and can show it by withholding slips what Mr. Iannuzzi was earning in 1968 and 1967.

I have brought with me today a Mr. Vincent Barone who is brother-in-law to Mr. Iannuzzi. Mr. Barone was a longshoreman at Pier 6 with a seniority rating of B, the same as Mr. Iannuzzi. What I propose to do, so the jury can have some basis to see what a longshoreman in the same situation with the same rating would be earning today, is to offer his withholding slips.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KAIN: May I be heard on that, your Honor? THE COURT: It seems to me it is inappropriate.

MR. KAIN: I believe it is, too. First of all, your Honor knows that a man with an A or B card, one, doesn't have to work if he doesn't want to, he gets a guaranteed annual wage. I think there are other ways of proving what Mr. Iannuzzi earned and how many hours he worked. I assume this could be projected. The question of his hourly rate is certainly a matter of record. It is a matter of union contract. I think it is totally irrelevant what Mr. Barone, his brother-in-law, may have made, or what work he did.

THE COURT: Yes, I agree with you on that. I would rule that out. In other words, using him as an example --

MR. LORY: Just as an example, because they both were suggested to the same circumstances at pier closing. We can show what Mr. Iannuzzi was earning at that particular time and what Mr. Barone was earning.

THE COURT: No, I would sustain an objection to that, Mr. Lory. I think the testimony has got to concern what Mr. Iannuzzi's prospects were however you want to set about proving that.

MR. LORY: Am I to understand, sir, that the only way I can get prospective current earnings would be by reason of the number of hours that Mr. Iannuzzi was working and

applying to that the rate of pay, shall we say, for the year
1973 and 1974? Would that be acceptable to the Court?

THE COURT: You are asking me for a declaratory

MR. LORY: I am trying to find out how to proceed.

THE COURT: All I could say to you is that I would exclude evidence of what another man is making who is, I take

it, of the same age and card as Mr. Iannuzzi.

opinion here on proof that has not been offered.

MR. LORY: I am accepting that, your Honor, but I am trying to figure out another way of establishing, if I follow Mr. Kain's suggestion with respect to the hourly rate, assuming that Mr. Iannuzzi worked 500 hours during the year 1968, it would be assumed -- would the Court accept an assumption that he would also be working 500 hours in the year 1973?

MR. KAIN: I submit that he would have been decasualized.

MR. LORY: I am only using it as an example.

THE COURT: I think you put in proof of what his past experience was and his age and his life expectency and the jury can draw inferences from that.

MR. COHEN: Beyond that it is for the jury to draw whatever inferences they want from that.

MR. KAIN: The present hourly rates are certainly

a matter of record, since this is a matter of union contract.

It is very easy for Mr. Lory to determine what the hourly rate for bngshoremen was in any given year, or during any particular contract period.

THE COURT: Could that be stipulated?

MR. KAIN: If he has the contract, then it is in the contract. I am not familiar with each year, but I do know now -- I believe it is 5.95 an hour. Maybe it is more for a hatch boss.

MR. LORY: We can get it from New York Shipping.

MR. KAIN: No question about it.

THE COURT: There may be other evidence that is appropriate, Mr. Lory, but what I just discussed, and Mr. Cohen confirmed, I think that is the standard. There may be other areas of exploration, but I do not think that the brother-in-law is proper.

MR. LORY: The reason I came to your Honor at this particular point is because I was not secure in my believe that this would be valid. I do want a little time, or give myself a little time to proceed in another direction in the event that your Honor was of the same opinion.

THE COURT: All right. You have got other witnesses to go now. This morning you have got Scotto and you have got the widow and you have got some children.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LORY: Even if I rest, it would be subject to getting this particular proof, because the case is going to go on for a little bit yet.

THE COURT: Sure.

(In open court; jury present.)

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

All right, let us go forward.

FRANK SCOTTO, resumed.

CROSS EXAMINATION CONTINUED

BY MR. KAIN (Through an Interpreter):

Q Mr. Scott, I think you told us yesterday, did you not, that when you received a complaint from Mr. Coppola about 11:30 on the morning of the day of this accident, he complained to you that the winch control levers were stiff and hard to move; is that correct, sir?

That is true.

Did you also tell us yesterday that you didn't see Mr. Iannuzzi hit by this car?

I said that when I turned around when the car was coming, I saw Mr. Iannuzzi on the coaming and then he went down.

Did you see him hit by the car or did you just see him go into the hatch?

When he went down into the hatch. A

2

0
3
4
-
-
5
6
0
7
0
8
9
0
10
10
11
12
12
13
10
14
15
16
10
17
18
10
19
00
20
21
21
00
22
23
4

24

25

- Q But you didn't see the car hit him; is that correct?
 - A He was hit by the car.
 - Q I say you didn't see the car strike him, did you?
- A He was hit by the car. Otherwise how else could he have gone down.

THE COURT: Strike the answer.

Mr. Scotto, did you see it with your own eyes?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

- Q You did see the car strike him; is that your testimony?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Mr. Scotto, let me refer again to your testimony taken in this courthouse under oath on October 14, 1971.

 Page 22.

MR. KAIN: Would your Honor excuse me a minute?
THE COURT: Surely.

(Pause)

Do you recall being asked this question:
"Q Did it strike Mr. Iannuzzi, do you know?"
And did you give this answer:

"A I didn't see him being hit by the car, but I saw him when he went over in a tumbling motion."

Do you recall being asked that question and giving

r	a	r	m	
	*			

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that answer?

- No, that I don't remember.
- Page 33, line 17.

Do you recall being asked this question:

"Q Well, did you see the car strike Mr. Iannuzzi?"

And Mr. Lory interposes there the question has been asked and answered.

And did you give this answer:

"A No."

- Does that refresh your recollection?
- No. A

THE COURT: Does he remember giving that question and answer?

THE WITNESS: No, sir.

- Do you recall being asked this question, at line 21:
- "Q Do you have any personal knowledge as to whether the car did strike Mr. Iannuzzi?"

And did you give this answer:

- In my opinion the car hit him, but I didn't "A see it. I only saw him go down the hatch."
- No, I don't remember that.
- After this accident, did you give signals as the gangwayman to Mr. Coppola when he put this car back on the dock?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

A No.

Q Did anybody, do you know?

A I do not know.

Q Were you aboard the ship when the car was put back on the dock?

A I left.

Q Did you leave before the car was put back on the dock?

A Yes, sir.

Q Where did you go, Mr. Scotto?

A To the office.

Q Did you come back aboard the ship at all that day?

A No.

Q Then I take it you weren't present when Mr. Iannuzzi was removed from the hatch or when he was taken from the pier by ambulance; is that correct?

A No.

Now, Mr. Scotto, are you now being represented or have you in the past been represented by Mr. Gritz as your attorney?

A I don't understand your question.

Q Does Mr. Gritz of Mr. Lory's office, do they represent you presently in any cases, or have they in the past represented you in any cases?

	1)11.2
2	A You mean for me?
3	Q You. You, yourself.
4	A Yes, sir.
5	MR. KAIN: I have no further questions at this time.
6	If your Honor please, may I at this time, in view
7	of the witness' statements, offer his deposition in evidence,
8	the one that we just used in the cross examination.
9	THE COURT: To the extent that you used it you may.
10	MR. COHEN: May we have the record indicate that at
11	the time of that deposition Mr. Amarante was acting as the
12	interpreter then as he is now.
13	THE COURT: I take it there is no question the
14	deposition so states; is that right, Mr. Lory?
15	MR. LORY: It so states, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: And you were there?
17	MR. LORY: Yes, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: The record may so show.
19	CROSS EXAMINATION
20 .	BY MR. COHEN:
21	Q Mr. Scotto, do you see this model over here of a
22	ship's boom and winches?
23.	A Yes, sir.
24	Q We have been using this model to portray the forward

set of booms and winches at the number 3 hatch of the Huguenot.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This area in here represents the hatch square, do you understand that?

Yes.

If this is the forward end here, the vehicle was tied up with the starboard side to the pier, over here you would have the pier; do you understand that?

A I don't know how the vehicle was. Was it fore or was the aft as to the mooring.

The testimony has been that the pier was to the starboard side. Do you accept that?

Yes, sir. A

That would mean, then, that you as the gangwayman would have to position yourself on the starboard side of the hatch in order to do your signaling; is that correct?

Yes, sir. A

As the signalman it was your job to watch a draft of cargo as it comes up from the pier and to give signals to the winch operator with your hands as that draft is coming up from the pier; is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

Once the draft reaches the height of the ship's rail so that the winchmen can see it, he no longer takes any signals from you; is that correct?

No. A

1	r
2	
3	t
4	
5	
6	C
7	
8	6
9	5
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	

Q After the winchman can see the draft when it is over the ship's rail, do you still give him signals?

THE WITNESS: (In English) No.

THE INTERPRETER: Would you mind repeating the question, Mr. Cohen, please.

Q When the draft has come up from the dock and is now at a height above the ship's rail so that the winchmen can see it, do you still give him signals?

THE WITNESS: (In English) No.

- A No, he does everything.
- Q In order for you to be in a position to see the draft as it is coming up from the dock, it is necessary for you to position yourself over near the ship's rail; is that correct?
 - A That's right.
- Q You stayed by the ship's rail looking over the rail and watching the draft as it is coming up from the dock; is that correct?
 - A That's right.
- Q On this particular ship on the day we have been talking about, there was a quantity of deck cargo stowed on the inshore side of the number 3 hatch on the main deck, was there not?
 - A Yes, sir.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

stowed on the deck; is that correct?

Only one.

Only one.

23

24

25

A

Q

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2	Now, I think you said yesterday that you had
3	positioned yourself near the rail at a point that would be
4	abreast of the center of the hatch opening; is that right?

Yes, sir. A

You said that you couldn't move any further aft because you had that deck cargo of drums behind you there; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And the drums were also stowed on the deck between where you were standing and the coaming of the hatch; is that correct?

A Yes. There was about two feet away from the coaming of the hatch. There was just a little bit of space there.

In other words, what you are saying is that there was some clear space within two feet of the rail of the ship, and it was in that space that you were standing and doing your work; is that correct?

There is about a foot and a half of space there and then you have those metal bars that are on the ship and I couldn't pass through there.

Wait a minute, let me take this one step at a time, please.

Approximately how wide was the deck area on the

Scotto-cross

starboard or inshore side of the Huguenot adjacent to the number 3 hatch?

- A A foot and a half.
- Q No, the entire deck from the railing of the ship to the coaming of the hatch was approximately how wide?
 - A 13 or 14 feet.
- Q Starting at the railing of the ship and coming inboard for approximately a foot and a half there was clear space in which you were able to stand and do your work; is that right?
 - A Yes.
- Q Was there also some clear space alongside the coaming of the hatch so that men could pass back and forth along that?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Approximately how wide was the space next to the hatch coaming that men could pass back and forth?
 - A Two feet.
- Q Aside from that two foot strip next to the hatch coaming and the one and a half foot strip next to the railing, the rest of the deck area was covered with this cargo; is that correct?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q You remember that you were asked yesterday some

rg

questions about the tag line, do you recall that?

A Yes, sir.

Q When you are standing at the rail looking at the draft coming up, one of the things that you are looking for is that tag line; is that right, because it is your job to grab ahold of it as soon as you can?

A Yes, sir.

Q Is it fair to say, then, that as that draft, that particular car in question was coming up from the dock, you were standing at the rail looking down at the dock keeping your eye on the car and looking at the tag line getting ready to grab ahold of the tag line?

A That's true.

Q You said yesterday in answer to one of Mr. Kain's questions that you were never able to grab the tag line; is that right?

A That's right.

Q And you said you were never able to grab the tag
line because as the draft reached the height above the rail,
it suddenly starting sweeping inboard rapidly; is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q As soon as it started that rapid movement inboard, didn't you drop down to the deck to take cover?

A Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

grm	16	Scotto-cross

When you dropped down to the deck to take cover, you dropped down to the deck in that area where you were working between the ship's rail and the cargo of drums and tractor that were stowed there; is that right?

Yes, sir.

That was in a space that was about a foot and a half wide; is that correct?

Yes, sir.

When you were dropped down to the deck in that space a foot and a half wide surrounded on one side by the railing of the ship and on the other side by this deck cargo of drums that was chest high and a tractor that was several feet high, you weren't able to see anything that was going on at the hatch square, were you?

I could see because I bent down, went down to the deck and then I turned around.

You said you bent down and went down to the deck; is that right?

- I just bent down this way (indicating). A
- Q Indicating what?
- Like this (indicating). A
- Like what? Could you stand up, please, and show us. Q
- (Indicating) A
- I see. Q

This is a subpoena from Mr. Gritz, is it not?

Let's see if I still have it.

I think this is it.

A

Q

23

24

1	rgrm 18 Scotto-cross 373
2	A This one now, but the other one, no.
3	Q What lawyer's office was the other subpoena from?
4	A It was sent to me by the Court, the law, I don't
5	know who it was from.
6	Q Before Mr. Lory put you on the stand, did you ever
7	discuss the case with him?
8	A No.
9	Q You mean you had no idea what questions he was going
10	to ask you about?
11	A You are talking about this morning?
12	Q No. I am talking about before you first took the
13	stand in this case.
14	A No.
15	Q Do you remember testifying in this courthouse on
16	a deposition back on October 14 of 1971?
17	A No, I don't remember.
18	Q You don't remember coming to this courthouse and
19	giving testimony about this case less than three years ago?
20	THE WITNESS: (In English) I don't know where I
21	went, whether I went to this court or somewhere else. I don't
22	know. I don't remember.
23	Q Do you remember giving testimony about this case
24	before?
25	A Yes.

1	rgrm 19	Scotto-cross 374
2	Q	Do you remember seeing Mr. Amarante at that meeting
3	before?	
4	A	Yes, sir, I remember.
5	Q	You saw Mr. Lory there?
6	A	I don't remember whether I saw him or someone else
7	or Gritz,	I don't remember.
8	Q	Do you remember seeing Mr. Kain there?
9	A	Yes, sir.
10	Q	You were asked questions about Mr. Iannuzzi's
11	accident,	weren't you?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Did you swear to tell the truth?
14	A	Yes, sir.
15	Q	Did you tell the truth then?
16	A	The absolute truth.
17	Q	Do you remember at that time being asked these
18	questions	and giving these answers from page 34, line 16:
19		If I may, I will read three questions and answers
20	altogethe	er and you can then translate the entire three
21	questions	and answers to him.
22		"Q Well, is your answer, then, that you did have
23	hold	of this tag line or heaving line at sometime?
24		"A Wait a minute. Let's understand each other.
25	When	the car came in the man had the heaving line in his

hand.

2

1

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Q When you say 'the man', you mean the man on the dock?

"A Yes. When the car started to run I didn't see the heaving line or anything else. I went down. I threw myself on the deck and then when I got up I saw Mario down in the hatch. That's all. I saw him as he was going up, down into the hatch.

"Q Well, if I understand you correctly, it is your testimony that at no time in this loading of this particular car did you have hold of this heaving line or tag line; is that correct?

"A No. I couldn't even grab the heaving line because I had to watch out for my own life."

Do you remember giving those answers to those questions?

A Yes, sir.

Q When you threw yourself on the deck as you said, doesn't that mean you threw your entire body on the deck?

A Yes, but at that time I wasn't able to explain myself. It is not that I threw myself down, I crouched down.

Q I see.

Why is it you weren't able to explain yourself at that time when Mr. Amarante was interpreting for you?

MR. LORY: Objection, your Honor. It now gets to be argumentative.

THE COURT: No, I will permit it. Go ahead.

- A That's the best that I could have explained myself.
- Q And today you want to change your description from throwing yourself on the deck to crouching down; is that right?

MR. LORY: Objection, your Honor. This again is interpretation.

THE COURT: I think I will sustain it. He said what he meant by throwing himself down was crouching. I think that is the answer.

Q Mr. Scotto, would you agree with me that if you had thrown yourself down on the deck you would be in no position to see anything that would have happened in the hatch square or at the hatch coaming?

MR. LORY: Ogjection, your Honor. This is now immaterial.

MR. COHEN: Oh, no, there is testimony in here that he threw himself down on the deck. That is what he said in his deposition, your Honor.

MR. LORY: Mr. Cohen, it is your interpretation of the record.

THE COURT: I will sustain the objection, but, Mr.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 | Scott

Scotto, if you were lying on the deck, could you have seen what happened at the hatch coaming?

THE WITNESS: But I wasn't lying on the deck.

THE COURT: But if you had been.

THE WITNESS: No.

MR. COHEN: If your Honor please, may I offer into evidence that portion of this deposition, the questions and answers which I just read?

THE COURT: You may, but he accepted them as having been asked and answered, so the answer is you may.

Q You say now that you crouched down instead of throwing yourself down on the deck; is that right, Mr. Scotto?

MR. LORY: Ogjection as to form, your Honor. You say now.

THE COURT: I will sustain it as to form.

Q Well, Mr. Scotto, your testimony here this morning is that you crouched when you saw this draft swinging over you; is that right?

A Yes.

Q Do you say to this Court and jury that from your crouched position you were able to see the draft and Mr. Iannuzzi?

A To whom, sir?

Q To this Court and to this jury.

1	rgrm 23	378
2	A	You mean the way I showed you the way I was here
3	now?	
4		THE COURT: You better start again, Mr. Cohen.
5	Q	Mr. Iannuzzi, when you
6		MR. LORY: Mr. Iannuzzi is not here.
7		MR. COHEN: I am sorry.
8	Q	Mr. Scotto, when you went into this crouched
9	position,	in what direction where you facing?
0	Λ	In the direction of the ship forward and then I
1	turned th	is way (indicating).
2	Q	Mr. Iannuzzi, as that draft came up
13		MR. LORY: Mr. Cohen, we are here because Mr.
14	Iannuzzi	is not.
15		MR. COHEN: We don't need that, Mr. Lory. Please
16	forgive m	ne.
17	Q	Mr. Scotto, as you were watching the draft come up
18	you were	at the rail looking over the rail onto the dock; is
19	that cor	rect?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	From that position your back would be toward the
22	coaming	in the hatch square?
23	A	Yes.

grab ahold of the tag line; is that correct?

Q

24

25

You would have remained in that position trying to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7	Vac	-1-
A	Yes,	sir.

- Now, you couldn't grab ahold of the tag line because you say that car suddenly started to swing inward; is that right?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q You became very, very worried about your own safety as soon as that happened; is that right?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q You immediately dropped down; is that correct?
 - A I crouched down, yes.
- Q Wouldn't you have crouched down in the same position in which you were standing, namely with the front of your body toward the rail and the back toward the coaming?

MR. LORY: Objection, your Honor. We are getting argumentative.

THE COURT: I will sustain that objection.

- Q Did you crouch down in the same position in which you were standing, the front of your body to the rail and your back to the coaming?
- A I just told you that when I crouched down my face was forward.
- Q So that before you crouched you shifted your position to face forward; is that correct?
 - A Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q	What	was	the reason	on :	for you	ir tu	rning to	face	9	
forward	before	you	crouched	to	avoid	this	danger	that	you	are
testify;	ing abo	ut?								

Scotto-cross

- A Because that's the way I crouched down, facing the bow.
- Q Did you remain in that crouched position for some period of time?
- A From the time that Mr. Iannuzzi went down into the hatch, after I turned my body I saw him go down into the hatch and then I left.
- Q Did you go to the hospital with Mr. Iannuzzi, by the way?

MR. LORY: Objection, your Honor. That is grossly immaterial.

THE COURT: It calls for a yes or not at this point. Let's just see. I do not think it is immaterial, but let's see.

- A The answer is no.
- Approximately how long was it that you were in that crouched position, sir?
- A Who can remember? Seconds, two minutes, five minutes.
- From that crouched position are you telling this Court and jury you are able to see Mr. Iannuzzi?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11			
II	7	. V	
11	A	Yes,	Sir

MR. COHEN: Excuse me, your Honor, I see a witness has just come into the courtroom. May I advise him that he should be in the witness room?

THE COURT: Is this the officer?

MR. COHEN: It is the police officer, yes.

THE COURT: Very good.

MR. COHEN: May I have the last question and answer read back, please.

(Record read.)

Q Is it your testimony that these drums which were waist-high did not obstruct your vision from the crouched position?

A No.

- Q Where did you see Mr. Iannuzzi standing?
- A Near the coaming.
- Q Whereabouts near the coaming?
- A He was midway, midway at the hatch.
- Q Was he standing at the coaming in a place that would be in the path of the draft?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q What was he standing on?
- A I don't know. He was talking with the hatch boss down there. What do I know?

1	rgrm 27 Scotto-cross 382
2	Q You heard him talking with the hatch boss?
3	A No. No, they were speaking, but I couldn't hear wha
4	they were saying.
5	Q You were also standing about midway in the length
6	of the hatch; is that correct?
7	A Yes, sir. Maybe a little bit back or a little bit
8	forward. I can't remember.
9	Q Did you actually see this car strike Mr. Iannuzzi?
10	A Yes, sir.
11	Q What part of the car did you see strike Mr.
12	Iannuzzi?
13	A The back part of the car, the rear.
14	Q When you say the rear of the car, what specifically
15	are you talking about?
16	A I don't know. Who can remember any more. I saw
17	the back part. I saw it strike him. I can't remember.
18	Q At that time was Mr. Iannuzzi bent over the rail
19	talking to somebody down below?
20	MR. COHEN: Excuse me, I withdraw that. I said bent
21	over the rail. I want to withdraw that.
22	Q Was Mr. Iannuzzi at that time bent over the coaming
23	talking to somebody below?

the car made that motion. That's all. That's when I saw him,

Λ

24

25

I saw Mr. Iannuzzi when I turned, at the time that

3

4

5

7 8

9

10

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

23

22

24

25

when I turned around and I saw him on the coaming.

- Q Was he bent over the coaming talking to somebody down below?
 - A I believe so. He wasn't there for nothing.
 - Q What part of his body was struck by the car?
 - A I don't know. Maybe the back, but I don't know.
- Q Can you show us with your hand what part of his body you saw being struck by the car?
- A His shoulders. The back part of his shoulders and his lower back here.
- Q The back part of his shoulders and his lower back here. I see.

This car was swinging rather fast at the time, wasn't it?

- A Yes. It was swinging fast.
- Q And it was a large, heavy car, wasn't it?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Was anybody else on the deck in that area at the time?
- A I didn't see anybody. I only saw when I turned around I saw him.
- Q Before you turned around and saw him being struck by the car, had you see him there?
 - A I don't understand your question.

-											
6	-	-	t	*	^	-	~	*	-	0	0
•	-	u	-	-		_		1		-	-

Q	You	are	tellin	g us	that	you	saw	Mr.	Ian	nuzzi	being	3
struck by	y the	car	and my	ques	tion	is,	for	how	lone	g befo	ore yo	ou
saw him h	eing	stru	ck by	the o	car h	ad yo	ou se	en h	nim (on the	deck	c
in that a	area?											

A I don't remember that. Maybe he was going forward, maybe he was going aft. He was always walking.

Q Did you see him there for any period of time immediately before the time that you say you saw him struck by this car?

MR. LORY: May we have some designation of what Mr. Cohen means by "there" in the question?

THE COURT: I think the witness understands it.

Go ahead.

A I saw him during the day that he was walking back and forth.

Q This was the fourth car --

THE COURT: Mr. Lory, you were right. Will you establish where "there" is and then let's go forward.

MR. COHEN: I will do it this way, your Honor.

- Q This car that was involved in the accident was the fourth car that was coming on, wasn't it?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q The first three cars that came on came on with no trouble; is that right?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A Yes. They came in all right.
- Between the time that the third car was taken aboard and stowed and the time of this accident, did you see Mr. Iannuzzi on the deck in the area where you say you saw him being struck?

Scotto-cross

No.

So that from the time the third car was taken aboard and stowed up until the moment that you saw him as you tell this Court and jury being struck by the fourth car, you hadn't seen him at all in that area?

A No.

During that period of time between the loading of the third car and the happening of this accident, did you notice any other people working on the deck, on the main deck on the inshore side of the number 3 hatch of the Huguenot?

A No.

Q Did you see any carpenters working on the main deck of the Huguenot inshore of the number 3 hatch during that period of time?

MR. LORY: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: I will allow it.

A No.

At the time that you testified that you saw this Q car strike Mr. Iannuzzi about the shoulders and low back, did

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

vou	see	any	carpenters	working	near	him?
-----	-----	-----	------------	---------	------	------

A No.

> Do you know whether there were any carpenters working in the upper 'tween deck of the number 3 hatch at that time?

I don't remember.

Was there any lumber lying about the deck of the Huguenot in the area of the number 3 hatch?

I don't remember. A

Did you see any men passing lumber from the main deck, number 3 hatch, down to the upper 'tween deck level?

No. A

MR. COHEN: Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Lory, any questions?

MR. LORY: I have no questions.

THE COURT: Mr. Kain?

MR. KAIN: I have just one or two, your Honor.

RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. KAIN:

Q Mr. Scotto, is it your testimony that when you saw Mr. Iannuzzi struck by this car, that he was standing on a ladder; is that what you said?

THE INTERPRETER: I didn't hear. I'm sorry, Mr. Kain. Would you repeat that, please.

(Question read.)

- A The ladder is the coaming.
- Q Well, was he actually standing on a ladder affixed or attached to this coaming?

A He was on a ladder, he was on the coaming. Now I don't know what he was on, but that's where he was.

Q What portion or how much of his body was above the top of the coaming at that hatch when he was struck by the car?

A I don't remember. I don't know the height, whether it was five feet or six feet. I don't remember.

Q Can you give me an estimate of how much of his body was above the top of this coaming when he was struck by the car?

A What can I tell you? I don't even know how to show it to you. He was there.

Q At the time of this accident, was there a clear space all around this hatch coaming?

A I know only that there was about two feet of space where I was working, the inshore side and I said a foot and a half where I was.

Q Do you know whether there was a clear space adjacent to the coaming on the offshore side, the other side of the hatch?

1	rgrm 33 Scotto-recross 388
2	A I didn't work there.
3	Q That is not my question. Do you know whether there
4	was a clear space on the offshore side?
5	A No.
6	Q Do you know whether there was a clear space forward
7	and aft of the hatch?
8	A No.
9	MR. KAIN: Thank you.
10	THE COURT: You may step down, sir.
11	(Witness excused.)
12	MR. LORY: Does your Honor wish to take your
13	morning recess now or
14	THE COURT: I prefer to wait about 14, 20 minutes is
15	I may. It puts it a little more in the middle of the
16	morning.
17	MR. LORY: I call Maria Iannuzzi.
18	MARIA IANNUZZI, called as a witness in her
19	own behalf, having first been duly sworn, testified as
20	follows through the interpreter:
21	THE COURT: Mr. Lory, I think we will take our
22	recess. Ladies and gentlemen, we will stand in recess for
23	about ten minutes.
24	(Jury not present.)

THE COURT: Mrs. Iannuzzi, have you ever testified

24

AFTERNOON

SESSION

2:00 p.m.

(Jury in box:)

THE COURT: Gentlemen, before we get too far behond this stage of the case, I have concluded that the
statement to Mrs. Iannuzzi by the employee at the clinic
is an operative fact as to her and is not hearsay. Therefore,
I will admit the testimony with regard to the statement that
she was not entitled to hospital benefits after three months.

Based on that fact as to her, she thereafter purchased Blue Cross, and I will therefore admit the Blue Cross slips in evidence.

If you wish to cross-examine further or adduce proof at a later time with regard to that, of course, that is entirely appropriate.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 for identification was received in evidence.)

THE COURT: Go ahead, please.

MR. LORY: I call Mr. Edward Ferenczy, please.

RGP 2

EDWARD FERENCZY, called as a witness by the Plaintiff, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. LORY:

- Q Mr. Ferenczy, what is your educational background?
- A Well, I am a graduate of the United States Merchant Marine Academy.
- Q Will you please speak up, because I want the jury to hear you. Your voice has to carry across the room.
- A I am a graduate of the Merchant Marine Academy, where I received my marine licenses. I am a graduate of Stevens Institute of Technology, and I received a mechanical engineering degree from there; and I am a graduate of C. W. Post, and I received a master's degree in ocean engineering.
 - Q What is your occupation?
- THE COURT: Sir, what is ocean engineering, if I may ask?
- THE WITNESS: I is really the applying of civil engineering to the marine environment, especially undersurface environment.
 - THE COURT: I see. Go ahead.
 - Q Mr. Ferenczy, what is your present occupation?
 - A I am an associate professor at the United States

25

RGP 3 Ferenczy - direct

Merchant Marine Academy.

- In what department, sir?
- Department of Engineering. A
- Q What do you teach in that department, sir?
- I teach marine engineering, thermodynamics, mechanics, subjects like that.
 - Do you give a course in hydraulics?
 - A Specifically, no.
- Do you give any course that includes the study of hydraulics?

A Yes. The course in marine engineering is essentially a heat and power course, and it involves all the technical siences and its application. In this course wedwell mainly on the application of the associated sciences like thermodynamics, hydraulics, mechanics, statics and subjects like that.

Mr. Ferenczy, just before, you mentioned that you received certain licenses. What licenses do you hold?

Well, I have a chief engineer's license in steam, unlimited horsepower; and I have a third assistant's license in diesel, unlimited horsepower; and I hold a professional engineer's license in New York State.

Q What is the significance of a professional engineer's license in New York State? What does that involve, and what

1 RGP 4 Ferenczy - direct 2 does that include? 3 A Well, it involves passing an examination showing that you are competent. The privileges -- I think it allows 4 5 you to professionally call yourself an engineer. 6 Q Mr. Ferenczy, at my request did you review the 7 final drawings of the South African Huguenot as well as the 8 instruction book for electro-hydraulic deck machinery, which 9 is marked, I think, Plaintiff's Exhibit 2 in evidence? 10 Did you review those documents for me? 11 Yes; I did. Does that instruction book, Plaintiff's Exhibit 2 13 in evidence, relate to the machinery that was on board the 14 Huguenot? 15 A Yes; it does. 16 Did that instruction book also include details, 17 drawings, descriptions, operational procedures with respect 18 to all the winches that were aboard that vessel? 19 A Yes; it did, although I did not read for all the 20 winches. Fursuant to my instructions, did you confine your-21 22 self to the deck machinery? That would be the five-ton 23 winches? 21 Yes; I did. A

Of course, that book includes information with

25

Q

Ferenczy - direct

respect to warping winches, topping winches and all the other types of machinery that was aboard the vessel?

A Yes.

- Q Before we get into anything, can you explain to this Court and this jury how a hydraulic motor works?
 - A Yes. May I use the blackboard?

 THE COURT: You may.

A (Continuing) Essentially, a hydraulic motor in principle is quite similar to a water wheel, where you have this fluid striking a blade or a vane, carrying the vane in the direction of the moving fluid. Now, what this does, of course, if this vane is a reasonable distance from a center, it provides a turning motion to a shaft, and, of course, this turning motion is the motion that we desire to turn a drum upon which is reeved or wound cable that will do the hoisting of a weight or that will, of course, reversing its direction, will lower this weight.

So, essentially, what we have, we have this disk that is quite similar to a water wheel, and, of course, it has a center. Now, it has many vanes, but I am going to draw just a few vanes.

So this disk is slotted. Let's say it has a slot here (indicating), and I will just draw four slots, although it certainly can have more than four. Now, as this disk

Ferenczy - direct

spins, we realize that there is a force that we will call centrifugal force. So if we were to put in a vane in this slot, something like this, and if we were to allow this disk to rotate, it would tend to throw these vanes or paddles out, similar to in the amusement park, where you have the horizontal disk, and children will climb upon this disk, and as it turns it will throw them off.

So in order to control this, they have the cavity of the casing, which will resemble an ellipse, and it may look like this (indicating).

Now, as this goes around like this, you can begin to see what will happen. I have to excuse my board work. It is not too good. But, anyway, the centrifugal force initially will keep this vane riding on this contour, so we will have this vane going in and out, depending upon where it happens to be. Now, here it will certainly be all the way in, and in this point -- I will just improve this a bit to something like that. That would rise to this point (indicating).

Essentially, that would be the hydraulic motor.

Now, what they do is -- we will only talk about one section, because it applies to all. In this area -- and I will show it dotted, because the vanes are constrained to follow that contour. If we were to allow oil at a fairly

high pressure to enter that void, upon striking this vane it would tend, of course, to push it across, because the fluid is going to tend to go in that direction. So over here we have to have a similar type of ducting or piping so that as this fluid is being pumped in, operating on that vane, causing it to move -- and this is certainly -- because it is limited by its slow -- this must cause the disk to turn, and there will be a flow of oil going out back to the pump, and, of course, this will come in.

That's essentially how a hydraulic motor works.

Now, all you need is really one of these voids, you see, with your associated flow of fluid in and fluid out to provide this turning moment or, as we say, torque.

Now, the particular hydraulic motor on the Huguenot has three of these spaces, you see. So at a time there were three groupings of vanes around this disk actually providing this torque in order to do the work of lifting or lowering.

Q You may have gone to my next question.

Is pressure generated in that system, in those chambers, by the revolvement of the disks?

A Yes. Pressure -- Now, perhaps I should define "pressure", because the ancients had a great amount of difficulty in defining pressure.

Ferenczy - direct

Pressure is merely a force. In here it is going to be a hydraulic force or a force of a liquid operating over a unit area. So all the pressure is is this force, whatever it might be, operating over a unit area. We call that pressure.

Now, the only way we can build up a pressure here is to resist it. We all know that if we were watering the garden and, say, we didn't have a nozzle on the hose, the water would sort of run out in a very polite way, and if we were to apply our thumb against the outlet of the hose and hold it, to restrict this, this restriction causes a pressure rise, which, of course, will spray the water over the lawn.

So in order to have a pressure, we must have a resistance of sorts. Of course, what provides the resistance here, of course, is, this is directly or through a series of reduction gears, is connected to the drum of that -- of a similar winch that you see on themodel, and this provides the resistance to turn. The greater the resistance to turn, the greater the pressure.

Q Mr. Perenczy, with respect to the right lead-in pipe at the chamber --

A Yes.

Q -- is there any force driving the oil down into the chamber?

- 11

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

11 12

13

15

14

16 17

18

20

19

21

22 23

24

25

A The pump, you see. This is from the pump, the main pump.

- Q Now, you've got a pump that is driving the oil down the chamber on the right hand side of your drawing.
 - A Yes.
- Q This disk with the vanes on it, that's the motor itself, the hydraulic motor itself, is it not?
 - A It is.
- Q The pressure that would be created: would it be fair to say that that would be dependent upon the load it must lift?
 - A Precisely.
 - Q Can this system run free?
 - A No; it cannot run free.
 - Q What is meant by running free?
- A The interpretation -- Running free means that if you short-circult the flow or do not have flow coming in or flow leaving, that this vane is solidly anchored in this fluid, just as solidly as if it were concrete, because of the inflexibility of the hydraulic oil.
- Q Does this motor have different ranges of speed for different weights of loads?
 - A Yes; it does.
 - MR. LORY: Excuse me, Mr. Forenczy.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I have a camera here. In the event Mr. Forenczy must erase, shall I take pictures of what we have?

THE COURT: Is that agreeable?

MR. KAIN: Yes; it is.

THE COURT: All right.

A (Continuing) Okay. Using the descriptive literature furnished me, the instruction book, on page 18, with regard to the question, it defines the cargowinches as an FHW-5 -this is on page 18 -- cargo winch and topping winch. The particulars of the cargo winch. It says, "Capacity of cargo drum." It has a 5/2.5/1.0 x 36/55/110 meters per minute. A meter is 39 inches slightly larger than our yard.

What this means is that this cargo drum can hoist five tons at a speed of 36 meters per minute. That would be if you put a spot on this cable, that if you timed it for a minute, that cable would reeve in -- that spot would have moved 36 meters per that one minute.

We then look at the next spot, and it says 2.5/55. That indicates that this same winch can lift 2.5 -two and a half tons at 55 peters per minute.

And, lastly, we look at the one-ton -- 1.0 tons, and we see 110 meters per minute, which means that for a one-ton load, a lighter load, it naturally can reeve in the cable so much faster.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, the reason for that is this:

I mentioned before that we were only talking about a one-chamber device and that these winches are a threechamber device. Now, there are valves they call selector valves. What happens is this: When you initially start this hydraulic motor -- of course, the hydraulic motor has no idea of the loading characteristics -- it will allow oil to go to one of these chambers. Now, if the resistance is so great because of the heaviness of the load, that vane is going to be guite reluctant to move. Therefore, we will have an unusual increase in pressure.

Now, in the device itself are spring-loaded valves that will lift when a pressure exceeds a certain point. So when that pressure exceeds a certain point, one of these so-called selector valves will open, allowing the oil to go to, say, the second chamber. Now, if the second chamber -- and the first chamber cannot lift this load because of its heaviness, again, the pressure will rise, and in rising it will lift a third spring-loaded selector valve, which will -- and I have not shown it on this drawing -which will allow the oil to flow to the third chamber.

But now we must remember this. If we are now going to now analyze the speed -- we have shown, hopefully, that this windlass will lift these three categories of weight. RGP 12

But what happens? If you think for a moment, this flow of oil is being supplied by a pump that has a given capacity.

It can pump so much oil. So therefore, if we have a light load and we are only pumping oil to one chamber, it means that that oil will be able to be pumped through that chamber at its highest rate of speed. But it is only lifting a light load, so this is why the specifications read "one ton at 110 meters per minute."

of course, if we divide that amount of fluid coming into two chambers, it is going to go only roughly half as fast through the two chambers. Therefore, the speed will be reduced. At its heaviest work demand, we are going to divide the flow into three patterns, and, of course, each vane will receive the fluid at a high pressure, but at a reduced flow characteristic, a reduced velocity.

- Q Does the pump work with a constant-speed motor?
- A Yes.
- Mr. Ferenczy, can you describe for us the hydraulic system with respect to the cargo winches as they are depicted and shown in those drawings which you have reviewed for the Huguenot?
 - A Yes; I will.

First of all, before I make a drawing, I would just like to chat a little about it. We must remember that

4 | 5 | 6 | 7

we have an operator, and he is -- he is the brains of this equipment. I hope it stays that way for a long time. But, at any rate, all we want from this man is just a signal. We don't want any real work out of this man, you see, so, of course, these levers should be very lightly constructed and small in nature, so that it is almost a tireless effort in moving these -- and I am talking about the remote control handles -- either into the neutral -- no, no-movement position -- or hoist position or lower position, because we are going to exact only from him the requirement to produce the signal. It doesn't carry too much substance.

So what we need is an intermediary type of system, and this is produced -- in other words, we take his signal, and we give it muscles so it can do some work. The muscle part of this device that I will draw is the so-called servo motor system.

Now, this does not give it to much muscles, but it is just enough to move the manual -- and you heard them talk about the manual system actually on the windlass, which takes a little more effort to do, and if a man were to do this all the time, he would tire, and his skill perhaps would wear out.

All these valves do now is to either have the oil flow in this way and out, which will give us a rotation in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

about.

Ferenczy - direct

RGP 14

this direction, or to reverse this and have the oil flow in this cavity, out this cavity, and of course we would see that the little water wheel, our disk, would move clockwise. That's the function. So I will draw what I have chatted

By the way, Mr. Ferenczy, while you were here yesterday, you were present when I read the deposition of a Mr. Pitt, were you not?

A Yes; I was.

I am not going to, unless you ask me, get into the fine details of the mechanism, so I will draw a block diagram, and I will indicate the functions of these blocks, and then, if you feel that you want further clarification, I will give it.

Up here -- and this is the top of that pedestal that we were talking about --

MR. LORY: Excuse me, Mr. Kain. Do you have Exhibits A and B?

Q Mr. Ferenczy, I have here Defendant's Exhibit B and Defendant's Exhibit A, which depict together the stanchion together with the winch controls.

When you talk about the control handles, which handles are you referring to?

I am talking about the two handles here. We call

19 20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that the transmitter, because merely what we are doing is, we are sending, as I said, this message that the operator gives to the overall system.

For the record, those are the handles at the top of the stanchion?

Yes. Now, what this involves -- and I will just draw this -- that shows the handles. I am a little bit too high there, but that's okay.

Now, what this does -- this is filled with oil, and, again, it operates a little plug. Of course, if you move the plug one way, it is going to push the oil around that way, and it will fill up here, and if you move the plug the other way, it is going to do just the other.

So coming from that little plug is a line, and it will come down, and it operates another little plug. That's all it does. He moves his plug this way, and there is an associated plug down below that's connected -- See, there is no interconnection as yet. If he moves this this way, this might move this way (indicating), and it just keeps going like that. But this little plug serves a very important function.

So there is no conflusion, is it true that one of those handles and solely one would move the plug in the top rectangular box that you have, and the other one is for

another, similar system?

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You are referring to the testimony of Mr. Pitt

Yes. I better leave out -- I am glad you brought that point up. I was attempting to show the two handles, but when we just have the one handle, it might be better for me to show it this way. Thank you, Mr. Lory.

Movement of that handle would move this plug that you are speaking of either in one direction or the other; is that right?

Yes; it would. This we call the transmitter. Of course, I am not too good at planning, so I will have to break up the word. That is the transmitter. This little device we call the pilot valve.

Now, what that does -- all that pilot valve does is, it sends another flow of oil -- this oil now is moved by a small pump and motor, and we call that the zerc system. So I am going to show another block here, and I will call it the selector valve, although they are combined into one casing.

So what that does -- I am going to draw down here a so-called suction line. Now, remember in the testimony that was given they spoke of a large tank, a receiving tank that was quite a ways aloft, I believe about forty feet aloft.

that I read yesterday, are you not?

Yes. We just have that tank up there somewheres, but what happens is, there is a small motor, and another

Ferenczy - direct

valve, okay? It takes suction from that main line, you 5

see.

Now, this selector valve -- all it does, now, is, it sends fluid at one instance in one direction, and then it reverses the process and sends it in the other direction.

pump is here, a hydro pump. This pumps into this selector

So what we have here, coming from this, we have this fluid.

Now, remember, this fluid is different from this, and because it is pump-operated, it has much more substance. It has more muscle than the other. It comes down, and it comes into this device, upon which is located the manual control for the pump.

Mr. Ferenczy, referring again to Exhibits A and B, is the manual control indicated on the exhibit?

No. It is shown on the other -- No; I don't see it.

It is not on the stanchion? 0

No; it is not on the stanchion. This is the manual control that's located on the winch. See, it's on the winch.

Now, what this handle does, from here -- Now we have the third system, you see. The third system, this handle operates again another directional type of valve that

6

7

8

1

2

3

4

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

actually takes the main flow of this fluid, see, and directs it to the cargo winch.

So we can show here the fluid coming out of this and going to the -- we call this the cargo -- but I will stay with the winch, the winch hydraulic motor. Of course, attached to this is the drum upon which the cable is reeved or wound. Okay? That's about it.

The only thing I have not drawn here is the -and this is, of course, called the receiver. That, essentially, is our system. The only thing I did not draw was the
main hydraulic pump supplying this oil, you see, to the
winch. I dont know whether I should do that or not. Maybe
it's unnecessary at this time.

Now, Mr. Ferenczy, confining yourself to the transmitter, are there any further controls that you can depict for us to give us a better understanding of the stanchion and the controls that appear upon the stanchion, with their particular purposes?

A Yes; there are. The way it is drawn, this oil in this circuit really does not circulate. It merely oscillates. It oscillates back and forth, and this oil oscillates back and forth.

Interconnecting this is a line, and it's connected to a -- I have to look at my notes -- it's a phase something

	4.19
1	RGP 20 Ferenczy - direct
2	Q Mr. Ferenczy, the transmitter: does that have a
3	directional valve?
4	A No; it does not.
5	Q Does the phase adjustment area have a directional
6	valve?
7	A No; it does not.
8	Q You show two lines on either side of the trans-
9	mitter down to the pilot valve.
10	A Right there (indicating).
11	Q Is that system on either side separated in any
12	way?
13	A Yes; it is separated. I did make a drawing. I don
14	know how -lear it will be, but this shows
15	Q Firstly, what is it separated by?
16	A It is separated by
17	Q Yes?
18	A It has its own separate void, and then it has a
19	sealing plunger entering this void that will not allow the
20	escape or the entry of any fluid.
2	
2	to the right, those two legs that you have coming down to
2	the pilot valve, that they are not interconnected except
2	through the phase adjustment valve?

True.

A

RGP 21 Ferenczy - direct

Q What prevents the interconnection between the two sides?

A This phase adjustment valve, essentially -- it has two little valves in here that are spring loaded, and the greater the pressure that is exerted in the -- and there is not a great pressure in that line. Remember, I told you that we only want to pick up the signal from the operator, not any muscular exertion.

Q The thing that bothers me is with the transmitter and using the platform type diagram it appears as though the box you have is a reservoir. Is it in fact a reservoir?

- A Up here?
- Q Yes.
- A It is a reservoir.
- Q Is there anything in that reservoir?
- A What do you mean? Oh, outside of that?
- Q IN this --

A In this transmitter there is a little dipstick in the transmitter, and, of course, this is how you fill this system. It is through the transmitter. You at times fill and alternately at times you must purge the system — and I am talking about just this remote system.

You see, we have right here -- we could divide this hear and call just up here the remote system.

Perenczy - direct

Q The phase adjustment valve permits you to do precisely what?

A What it does, it just connects this line with that line (indicating). That's all it does.

Q Would it be fair to say that it permits you to equalize the oil that is flowing in the line on the left to equal the flow of oil or the content of oil in the line on the right?

A There is no flow as we know it. There is an oscillation. Yes; that's essentially correct.

Q Does it permit you to equalize the oil on either size of the remote system, as you have depicted it?

A Yes; it does that.

Q You mentioned air before, did you not, Mr. Ferenczy?

A I don't recall, but I may have.

Q Would air have any effect on the operation of the remote system?

A Most decidedly so. You see, perhaps I can explain that best in that we have -- in our category of fluids we have so-called incompressible fluids and we have so-called compressible fluids. Most liquids tend to be incompressible, and, of course, vapors tend to be compressible.

Now, what do we mean by this? Essentially, it is this:

If we have a fluid that is incompressible, and we attempt to compress this -- in other words, to make it occupy a smaller volume -- that because it resists any change involume, the pressure goes up to extremely high values, pretty much as if I were to take this pencil -- and, of course, we know that I don't have strength enough to compress this pencil -- change its volume in any way, so it means that if I am successful in moving the eraser end of this pencil over, say, a half an inch, that the point end, too, must go exactly a half an inch.

This is why in our hydraulic system we insist on a so-called incompressible fluid, because if the operator moves his little ram precisely a half an inch, that means that this selector valve, its pilot valve is going to move precisely a half an inch. If he moves it one ten-thousandth of an inch, if it is an incompressible fluid, it means the other, the minicking device, too, must move that one ten-thousandth of an inch.

Now, contrast this to a compressible fluid. What we can do -- let's say we have air. We are going to talk about air, and this is a highly compressible fluid. If I had a column of air, I could certainly make it occupy a smaller volume, or if I didn't make it occupy a smaller volume, I could keep the same volume and add -- continually add more

air to it, which would increase the pressure.

section and I compressed this -- say I moved this -- say this pencil had a section, it wasn't all solidly wood; it had a small section, say an inch in sideways area -- I have a very special pencil; it is a sandwich of wood and then a section of air -- and we have to use our imagination a little -- and then we have a section of wood, or, better, a spring. I could push this pencil together and pushing the head in a half inch would in no way guarantee that the point must move a half inch. Do you see?

Q Would it result in a cushioning effect?

A This is what they refer to as a cushioning effect.

I personally dislike the term "cushioning", because it is

misleading. It provides a very treachous effect.

Q Mr. Ferenczy, with respect to the system as you have depicted it on the board, is there any segment of that system which is prone to the introduction of air?

A Yes. As I said, there are generally three -- you have three circuits. The one grand feature about having the receiving tank, the reservoir, high is that it can supply oil down to these motors at a pressure. Roughly, if this tank were having oil -- was, roughly, say forty feet above the location of the pumps, that you get approximately about

7 8

fifteen pounds per square inch pressure on these pumps, which is very good. It guarantees also a good flow. But what they do, when they return the oil, after the oil goes through the winch hydraulic motor and after it goes through this little servo pump and the manual control, that part of the return system demands that this oil return to this reservoir.

Up at the op of this reservoir the surface of the oil is at atmospheric pressure; so therefore they can just spill the return oil into this, and this is pretty fine, because we can then vent it, you see. By venting, what we mean is that if there are any entrapped little slugs or sections, bubbles of air that, as it makes its way up to that fluid, this so-called automatic vent, that the air for the most part is released at that point.

So it is done rather automatically. But here, in the remote system, there isn't any provision for this. So if there is any entrapped air it must be purged by some mechanical means.

- Q When you say "purged", what do you mean?
- A To rid the system of undesirable fluids and, of course, the air is an undesirable fluid within the system.
- Q Is there within the design of the system a means of doing this with respect to the transmitter?

MR. KAIN: May we have the page?

(Continuing) On page 57 it says, "The reservoir has the air extracting valves at the pilot valve." So this is what I am calling the pilot valve, and it has -- this method, it has the air extracting valves to allow the system to be cleansed of air.

Then it says, lower down, in Section B: "The working system, Item 2, four air extracting valves are fitted on the working cylinder of the receiver."

Mr. Ferenczy, which of these systems would you most expect to find air?

Well, as I mentioned previously, because of our tank Located in the kingposts of the ship -- I am referring to these (indicating). I am calling the masts --

Indicating the solid, thick columns on the deck?

They would have the automatic extraction of air. Where you would not have automatic extraction of air would be in your transmitter and your pilot valve, that very short run of pipe.

The whole quantity of oil it contains is very little, less than a gallon, certainly.

Mr. Ferenczy, did you explain to use the use of the phase adjustment valve?

I explained to you the function of it, and that was to provide an access between the two systems, but how it

2

3

1

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

There are two -- On this side you have a little

2

1

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 valve that's held tight, see, due to the compressive force of a spring, one on this side and one on that side. So whatever pressure is built up in this system tends to more tightly close those two little spring-loaded valves. Now, all this handle does, when you turn it, it

just depresses those two spring-loaded valves mechanically, see, so therefore it allows free flow from one side to the other.

And is the purpose of that to equalize the amount of oil on each side?

Yes.

This is done with the control handle in the neutral position, is it not?

Yes; it is. I might elaborate on that a little. A

Go ahead. Q

I made this drawing, and I've just got to show it.

This -- if you could follow this -- is the so-called directional valve.

MR. KAIN: Excuse me.

If your Honor please, may we have it marked for identification?

MR. LORY: Of course.

. THE COURT: You may.

THE WITNESS: Should I sign that?

THE COURT: No.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 12 marked for identification.)

Q Now, on your pedestal --

Here are those two lines coming to -- Remember, I am telling you about the pilot valve. Now, this valve, actually it's pretty much like a broomstick with two swelled sections. The oil comes from the transmitter on this side, and that's all it can go, and it can push this club to that side, see. Now, if he chooses, he can with his manipulation of his transmitter handle, cause the oil co come -- the green part to come on this end, push over to this end.

Now, this helix that you see -- and there is also one here -- they are springs that if there is no force of fluid moving, translating this valve, the springs will automatically spring it into the center position. Now, when it comes into the center position, if you will bear with me, this would move over to the center position, and it would keep all of these lines closed.

Keeping these lines closed means no fluid flow, no fluid flow because it is an incompressible liquid. If that vane is trapped in between that, it does not move.

Maybe I should qualify that to a point. Because it is a piece of machinery and because there is movement of parts, we have to have a certain amount of clearance so that we can have this movement, see. Because we have this, in time there is a certain amount of slip of the fluid across the vane, so the vane would move, but very gradually.

So for the discussion we say it does not move.

Okay?

Now, that is the function of the transmitted, and the remote oil, it merely moves this very little plug back and forth, and this center red line here shows this pump, this zero pump that I drew, see, and that comes in here.

Now depending upon where these heavy lines are, it determines where the flow goes. In this instance, the flow is coming through this duct. If this were pushed over to the other side, the flow would just come down here and up that duct. That's why we call it a directional valve.

The instructions read that this handle should be put in its mid-point and that handle, the transmitter handle, at its midpoint, and this valve -- See, if you relieve any oscillatory motion of the fluid, that valve will automatically center itself, but it might not center itself if there was more fluid than here, see, and this is what we call out of phase.

To be in phase, if this was centered, then this, too, precisely must be centered, and if this is off center by a little bit, this, too, must be off center by a little bit. But it can't be that way if this has more fluid than that (indicating) so by pressing down, depressing those two little spring-loaded valves, it allows the fluid to equalize.

And that is the function of the phase-adjusting valve.

Q Mr. Ferenczy, according to the testimony that I read yesterday, both the remote and the manual handles were actuated to phase in. Is this procedure, as described, necessary and is it recommended?

A It is not necessary. It is not indicated by the instructional manual. And let me see if I have mine.

MR. KAIN: If your Honor please, may I have Mr. Lory's question reread? I didn't hear it.

THE COURT: Yes. By all means.

(Question read.)

THE WITNESS: I should answer that question?

THE COURT: Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: I thought there was some discussion.

A I would not recommend it if for no other reason than that the instruction manual does not recommend it. They

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ferenczy - direct

say you must bring these handles to its midpoint, allowing this spring to bring this to the midpoint, provided it can in moving accommodate, see, the difference in the oil. If you were to move this, you would not have any real positive indication that you have the exact quantity of oil on either side.

So I would not recommend doing that.

- Is there a proper procedure for priming the remote 0 piston?
 - Yes; there is.
- As described in earlier testimony, the attendance of someone from the vessel with a hand pump that he would make connections to certain points on top of the stantion and then pump in oil and then manipulate the operational handles at the top of the transmitter and again do something, manipulate the phase adjustment handle.

Is that the proper procedure to extra --MR. KAIN: If your Honor please, there is no testimony in this record, at least by the name, of operating the phase-adjustment handle.

THE COURT: I don't recall any such, myself.

MR. LORY: If your Honor please, the testimony of Mr. Coppola was to the effect that when the man came over he pumped the oil in; he moved the handles on the top, and he

3

4

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

23

25

24

moved the handles on the bottom.

THE COURT: That was because the handle was hard, not because of any phase adjustment, as I recall it.

MR. KAIN: That is correct.

THE COURT: I could be wrong in that.

MR. COHEN: He said the handle was stiff to move.

THE COURT: Stiff or hard.

MR. LORY: All right. Let me withdraw it and approach it in another fashion.

Q Do you recall, Mr. Ferenczy, when I read the testimony of Mr. Pitt, where at page 23, line 25, this question was put to him and he gave this answer:

"Q What was your practice with respect to the maintenance of the remote system, if any?

"A Similarly on the northbound voyage, we would prime through the remote system to insure that no -- to extract any air that might have been entrapped in the remote system."

Then, going down to line 22 on page 24:

"Q Would you please tell me whatyou mean by priming through? What did you do in order to prime through the remote control system?

"A A little hand pump is attached to the control stand, and this light hydraulic fluid is forced through the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ferenczy - direct RGP 34

pipes connected with the remote system, and air extraction nipples are slackened off, which allows any air entrapped to be let out."

Do you remember that testimony?

Yes; I do.

Is that the procedure prescribed by the instruction manual with respect to priming this system and also extracting the air?

MR. KAIN: If your Honor please, I don't follow the relevance. Mr. Pitt, if I understand this correctly, was testifying as to what his procedure was on the northbound voyage in check these winches and winch controls for operation or anticipated operation when the vessel came into port.

There isn't any testimony, at least in this record, that these systems were primted through on the day of this accident, if that is what Mr. Lory is driving at.

MR. LORY: What I am driving at, your Honor, is the fact that the procedure that was used to extra air from the system -- and this is plaintiff's contention -- does not conform, as described by Mr. Pitt, to the procedure as set forth in the instruction manual.

THE COURT: Whether or not that is so, is there any question that on the day of the accident or the day be-

I will instruct you at the close of the case if there is any concern in that regard you have the right to have testimony reread to you so you can assure yourselves of what the witness did say.

Assume further proper movement of the winch control handles to raise a car from the pier to a height of about six or seven feet above the ship's rail; assume further proper movement of the winch controls by the winchman to start to carry the car across the deck on a horizontal plane -- that is as described tye the testimony already in the case, taking a strain on the up and down and slackening off on the Burton winch.

Assume further that suddenly the vehicle at the end of the fall suddenly swooped down across the deck, and the winchman says that the Burton sinch suddenly ran uncontrolled and the up and down could not timely reel up the uncontrolled slack of the Burton.

Assume further that the winchman again, after, regained control of the draft and stopped it over the square of the hatch.

Do you have an opinion, sir, as to what would cause the winch, the Burton winch in this particular case, to react in this particular fashion?

fore this system was out of phase, which is what I think we have gotten at here?

MR. LORY: No. What we are saying --

MR. KAIN: There is no testimony in the record -as I understand it, your Honor, the only person who testified
to a defect in these winches was Mr. Coppola, who limited
his testimony by saying that these winches at about 11:30 in
the morning, the handles of the remote control system were
very stiff and difficult to operate.

THE COURT: That is as I understand the testimony.

MR. KAIN: I don't believe there is any testimony by anybody, at least in this record, that this system was

THE COURT: I so recall the testimony.

primted through on that date.

Ladies and gentlemen, I may say that in the course of counsel and the Court discussion their respective recollections of the testimony, in the final analysis it is your recollection of the testimony that governs in this case, since you are the triers of the facts.

The only purpose for our discussing it is to try to arrive at whether a particular area of inquiry is relevant. So you are not bound by anything we say as to whether anything a witness may have said or not said was actually said.

....

MR. KAIN: If your Honor please, I object to the hypothetical question, one, as incomplete and, two, as partially inaccurate.

and it is omitted from the hypothetical -- is that this car was falling free through an arc with the winch in a neutral or stopped position on the Burton fall, and he testified, as I recall it, that he did not get or regain control of it but that the fall was stopped by the up and down, the length of the up and down fall, and when it came to the end of that, it continued to swing on out, held by the up and down fall.

hypothetical question asked to the witness, in that it does not assume that the winch for the Burton boom, or the Burton winch -- that the control handle was in the neutral or stopped position during the course of this free fall, and, secondly, that it does not incorporate the witness' statement that the only thing that stopped the fall of this was the length of the up and down fall rather than his retaining control of the draft.

THE COURT: All right. Before I rule on this, ladies and gentlemen, we will take our mid-afternoon recess.

You may retire to the jury room. If counsel will remain, we

1 Ferenczy - direct RGP 38 457 2 will see if we can cure this problem. 3 (The jury left the courtroom.) MR. KAIN: I omitted one objection I have, your Honor, and that is that the witness Coppola testified that 5 6 the Burton winch control was in the neutral position while 7 this car was allegedly falling through this arc and that 8 the up and down fall was in the full hoist position while it 9 was falling through. 10 He testified, sir, that neither worked and that it 11 was stopped, as I recall it by the -- in other words, when it 12 came to the end of the up and down fall, as it existed at 13 that time, it then swung under the head of the up and down 14 boom. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Greenspan, would you read me back 16 the hypothetical as posed by Mr. Lory. 17 (Record read.) 18 THE COURT: Mr. Kain, you take issue with about 19 thirty-three points there? 20 MR. KAIN: The witness, according to my recollec-21 tion, your Honor, testified that as this car started across the deck he was taking in -- slowly taking the strain on his 22 up and down boom, which is the one over the square of the 23

hatch, slacking slowly on his Burton boom, which is the one

that he brought the car up from the dock on; that as he

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

started to Burton it across the deck and as it reached a point about five or six feet above the rail, that is, the

bottom of the car above the rail, it suddenly swooped down.

The witness testified, as I recall it, that when this car started to swoop he immediately put his Burton winch control lever in the neutral position and raised his up and down winch control lever to the full hoist position but that nevertheless the wire continued to run out on the Burton fall, and the up and down winch did not take in the wire on the up and down fall and that he did not stop -that he was unable to stop it, but that when it reached the end of the fall, the up and down fall, that it could not fall any more and that it swung out like a pendulum, came back once or twice and then finally came to rest directly under the up and down boom.

That is my recollection of what his testimony was. THE COURT: Mr. Lory, I think there is a problem with your Item Number 3, which is that there was the proper movement of controls across the deck.

MR. LCRY: Mr. Cohen had suggested winchman failure.

THE COURT: What?

MR. LORY: Mr. Cohen had suggested winchman failure, your Honor.

MR. KAIN: Suggested what?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

MR. LORY: Winchman failure as the cause of this accident, so in order to properly phrase a hypothetical, I must assume that the winch controls were properly operated. Otherwise, I anticipate I will get another objection.

MR. KAIN: I submit to your Honor when a winchman has testified as to what he did with the controls, this should be incorporated rather than the conclusion.

THE COURT: I would think so, myself.

MR. LORY: I am merely trying to present the circumstances as described by Mr. Coppola as to the reaction of the draft at the time he was doing certain things, as I recall his testimony.

MR. KAIN: No --

MR. LORY: Mr. Kain's recollection of the testimony disagrees with hy recollection if it.

THE COURT: I don't think that as this draft swooped across the deck the testimony is that Mr. Coppola had his control handles in a normal moving position. My memory is that he put the Burton handle in neutral, and he had the up and down handle all the way in full raise --

MR. COHEN: Full hoist.

MR. LORY: After he started to spill.

THE COURT: After it started to spill.

MR. KAIN: He also testified, your Honor, as to his

25

subsequent movements and what he was doing before this and what he apparently considered normal, that he was operating these levers very slowly, both winches very slowly.

That would be what he considered to be normal.

But at the time he said this winch swooped, he said he immediately put his Burton winch control in the neutral position and put his up and down into full hoist.

That is my recollection.

THE COURT: My feeling is that if Mr. Lory wants to make his hypothetical as bareboned as possible, he is entitled to do it, as long as there is no fact that we understand that is not supported by the record, and you, of course, may cross-examine him about other facts.

But the area where I think your objection is well taken is --

MR. KAIN: I don't think, it your Honor please, the hypothetical incorporates the testimony, and he is asking the witness on the basis of what I consider to be absolutely errnoneous ---

THE COURT: I said where I agreed with you in your objection is that I think there is incorporated in his question a recitation of facts that are not supported by the record, specifically that there was proper movement of the controls across the deck.

Now, that term would indicate that he was operating them as he would have in a normal transfer.

MR. KAIN: That is correct, sir.

THE COURT: We do not have such testimony. We have the testimony that as soon as this thing came across the rail of the vessel, something happened, according to Coppola, and he had an abnormal situation with his controls from that point up until the cargo came to rest, after swinging across the center of the square.

MR. KAIN: That is correct, sir.

MR. LORY: If your Honor please, the hypothetical, if I remember it correctly, includes, "Assume further, proper movement of the winch controls to start to carry the cargo across the deck", to start.

THE COURT: Then what was the next one?

MR. LORY: The car across the deck on a horizontal plane, that is, taking the strain on the up and down winch and slackening off on the Burton winch.

"Assume further that the car swooped down across the deck when, as the winchman says, the Burton winch suddenly ran uncontrolled and the up and down could not timely reel up the uncontrolled slack in the Burton and that thereafter the winchman regained control of the draft and stopped it over the square of the hatch."

THE PART HET COURT DEPOSTERS ILL COMPTHOUSE

THE COURT: I think the words "to start across the deck" are probably all right. At the time that the draft started across the deck I think the controls were in the neutral position.

MR. KAIN: It is my recollection, your Honor, that he said he had no trouble about raising it on the up and down -- I am sorry -- on the Burton boom, using the Burton winch, but that when it reached a point about five or six feet above the rail or the bulwark, that he then started the Burton, but it suddenly ran away, but not that it started across the deck.

I have a distinct recollection that he said it was over the ship's rail at the time he started -- at the time it started running away from him.

THE COURT: Mr. Greenspan, could you read to me that one phrase again.

MR. LORY: "Assume further, proper movement of the winch controls to start to carry the car across the deck on a horizontal plane, that is, taking a strain on the up and down and slackening off on the Burton."

THE COURT: I think I will overrule the objection and let you put the question as it was put, and then Mr.

Kain can cross-examine further.

All right. Let's take a recess, gentlemen, for

	435 a	
1	RGP 44 Ferenczy - direct 463	
2	another five minutes, ourselves.	
3	(Recess.)	
4	(Jury in box:)	
5	THE COURT: Mr. Greenspan, if you would read back	
6	the hypothetical question to the witness, please.	
7	(Question read.)	
8	A My only opinion on that is, the system, the remote	
9	system contained air that would cause this rather unpredict-	
10	able action of your pilot valve and, of course determining	
11	the location of your selector valve.	
12	What would happen in an instance like this	
13	Q Don't erase. If you have to erase I have to	
14	photograph it. Do you want me to photograph it?	
15	A Yes.	
16	MR. LORY: May I have a moment, your Honor?	
17	THE COURT: Yes.	
18	MR. KAIN: Are these Polaroids?	
19	MR. LORY: Yes.	
20	Off the record, if we may, your Honor	
21	(Discussion off the record.)	
22	THE COURT: Mr. Ferenczy, while we are waiting	
23	BY THE COURT:	
24	Q You were asked whether you had an opinion. Now,	
25	is this an opinion that you can state based upon your engin-	

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23 24

25

eering experience with reasonable certainy, or is it some lesser degree of certainty that you place upon this opinion?

MR. LORY: Thank you, your Honor.

A I base this opinion on the --

Q No --

MR. KAIN: If your Honor please, may we first find out whether he has an opinion with reasonable certainty.

THE COURT: That is what I am asking for. He has already given it without objection, but in reflecting upon the question, it seems to me he was merely asked whether he had an opinion.

MR. KAIN: That is correct, your Honor. Yes.

THE COURT: There was no objection to it, but upon reflecting upon it, I thought he should be asked the quality of the opinion.

Is this one you can give us with reasonable certainty, or is there some lesser quality about it?

THE WITNESS: I feel I can give it to you with reasonable certainty, because I am dealing merely with the characteristics of the two fluids, air and oil.

BY THE COURT:

Q You are saying that you are reasonably certain in your mind that your opinion is a valid one?

A Yes. I feel that it is.

li	4374
1	RGP 46 Ferenczy - direct 465
2	THE COURT: Off the record
3	(Discussion off the record.)
4	MR. COHEN: Could we mark the photograph for
5	identification, your Honor?
6	THE COURT: Let's mark both of them for identifi-
7	cation.
8	Shouldn't they be marked in evidence? The origin-
9	al has been exhibited to the jury.
10	MR. COHEN: Yes.
11	(Plaintiff's Exhibits 13 and 14 were received in
12	evidence.)
13	MR. KAIN: Your Honor, it is my understanding that
14	the witness has now stated that he does have an opinion;
15	is that correct, sir?
16	THE COURT: He stated that the opinion that he has
17	given us was given by him with reasonable certainty.
18	THE COURT: And he is about to explain it.
19	Is that true?
20	THE WITNESS: Yes.
21	A Essentially, what you have in the transmitter
22	and that is the device that is handled by the operator is
23	this:
24	You have the handle coming down, and it is attached
25	to a gear. To that gear is attached another gear that is

Ferenczy - direct

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

just flattened out, so that gear looks like this (indicating)

466

The end of that flattened-out gear, more commonly known as a rack, would be the plungers that will transmit the impulse.

Now, this, of course, is surrounded by a cylinder. Now, coming down, joining directly with this line, will be this pilot valve that we discussed some time ago. I am just going to draw the end, because I think that is what certainly applies. It is directly connected -- to be in conformity -- I will just show dotted that phase adjustment valve. That is the phase adjustment valve. It is closed, normally.

Now, what would occur if we had -- if there were air on one side, or if there were more air in one side than the other, it is this:

"As this were moved -- say if he moved the handle in this direction (indicating), and let's say this is the lower, and, of course, this direction is going to be the hoist. If he were to move his handle in this direction, it would cause this little gear to move certainly in that direction, which would drive this plunger over, say, to here, and this plunger would now be here.

In racking his load, that is, according to the assumption you have given me, is that the yard boom has

SOUTHERN DISTILICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

RGP 48 Ferenczy - direct

467

lifted vertically the load --

BY MR. LORY:

You are talking now about the Burton boom?

Yes. The Burton boom has lifted the load. not in the process of racking his load horizontally across so that the load will be solitarily supported by the hatch boom, the so-called up and down, so he can lower it.

Now, what he must do, of course, is, he must draw up on the up and down and slacken off easily, you see, on the Burtoning winch.

Now, let's say he moves it to this point (indicating). There happens to be a slug of air. In moving that much, what he does, in effect, he compresses that slug of air so that it occupies a smaller volume. In occupying a smaller volume, you have to have an associated pressure increase, but this pressure is not sufficient to move this pilot valve in this direction, which will tend to send directional oil to the other mechanisms in order to get the windlass to unwind.

His next impulse would be to move that transmitter handle a little more in that direction, because nothing occurred. So he moves it a little more in that direction, bringing this plunger over to such a point.

Now, he further tends to compress --

Ferenczy - direct

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. COHEN: If your Honor please, there has been no testimony at all by the winch operator --

THE COURT: Yes. I was waiting for somebody to rise.

There has not been any such testimony at all. In fact, there has been just the contrary, Mr. Ferenczy, that havine done Step Number 1, upon feeling that the cargo was slipping away on the Burton fall, he then put it in the neutral position.

THE WITNESS: I didn't come to the point where he is slipping.

MR. LORY: If your Honor please, you are speaking of -- We have a winchman here who does things unconsciously.

MR. KAIN: If your Honor please --

THE COURT: You have to see what the record is, Mr. Lory.

MR. COHEN: Unless they had a private seance, I don't know how he knows.

THE COURT: We have to deal with what the record is. I don't think we can go by what our expert says a man subconsciously would have done. We've got to go by what the testimony is that the man said he did do.

MR. LORY: The testimony in the record from Mr. Coppola was to the effect that he was Burtoning this particu-

lar cargo across the deck, or he started to, and at this particular point the immediate reaction that we have described took place. Then, seeing this reaction, he thereafter moved the handle to the neutral position to stop.

THE COURT: I am not sure that it is valid to say that where it was lowering too fast that he would have sub-consciously lowered it further.

MR. KAIN: The only testimony that the witness gave was that he was --

THE COURT: In any event, he will confine himself to what the record shows and not what the man's impulse might have been in his psychological assumption.

Q Can you explain the phenomenon, Mr. Ferenczy, with respect to the assumption, or based upon the assumption, that as thewinchman started to slacken off on the Burton it suddenly ran free?

A Yes. This is what I am attempting to do.

I am only -- I was describing the events to show how this winch could run free despite the operational handling of the operator.

MR. KAIN: If your Honor please, my recollection is that on this particular occasion there was no testimony from Mr. Coppola that the winch controls failed to respond.

He said he was attempting to Burton it slowly across and that

Ferenczy - direct

RGP 51

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

20

19

22

21

24

23

25

it suddenly ran free on him. That is my recollection of the testimony.

THE COURT: That is my recollection, too.

MR. LORY: The witness is attempting to explain why it would suddenly run free.

THE COURT: Consistent with the testimony, we have gotten as far as the first movement in the lowering directtion. Beyond that, the testimony does not support it.

THE WITNESS: I see. You are objecting to my second step about the further moving.

THE COURT: Yes, because there is no testimony in the record, sir, to support the further movement.

THE WITNESS: I see your objection.

O Assuming, Mr. Ferenczy --

MR. COHEN: Under those circumstances, your Honor, would it be proper to ask the witness if it now changes his opinion which he gave before?

THE COURT: No. I think he has got to confine the assumption, confine his opinion to that set of facts.

Mr. Ferenczy, assuming the presence of air in this system, would that delay response of the --

MR. KAIN: If your Honor please, there is as yet no testimony in this record yet that there was air in this system at any time.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LORY: If your Honor please, the witness has testified that the phenomenon would be caused by the presence of air in the system.

THE COURT: Let me see the form of your question. That may be the problem.

- Assuming the presence of air in the system, Mr. Ferenczy, would that delay the response of the winch in any manner?
 - Yes; it could. A
- Do you state that with reasonable mechanical certainty?
 - Yes; I believe I can. A
 - Can you explain that to the jury?

Yes. As I was saying, the initial -- if we had this amount of air and in making the initial movement, say to this point, the tendency is to move this fluid but not to move this fluid until you had a compression of that air, a reduction in volume with its associated increase in pressure sufficient to overcome the resistance of this.

Now, as this started to move, with the vibrational aspects associated with the handling of winches, that vibration could have entered into this, allowing this plug to be reduced in its resistance or friction, causing this plug to travel in a direction greater than what it was destined to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

RGP 53 Ferenczy - direct

move -- destined to do by this man's movement, which would cause a rapid increase in a lowering rate, greater than what Mr. Coppola had anticipated on his controls.

MR. KAIN: If your Honor please, I move to strike the answer. One, unless Mr. Ferenczy can say "could have" or "would have" rather than speculating -- the plug could have traveled due to winch vibration -- and, secondly, there is no testimony in the record as to what Mr. Coppola anticipated.

Mr. Coppola certainly didn't testify to this.

THE COURT: Mr. Greenspan, would you come to the rail and read back the answer to me.

(Record read.)

THE COURT: I sustain the objection and strike the answer, not only on your ground, Mr. Kain, but on the ground it is not responsive to the question that was asked.

Mr. Ferenczy, you had expressed an opinion on reasonable mechanical certainty that there was air in the system and that air would be a producing cause of the reaction of the winches as described by Mr. Coppola. Can you explain to this Court and jury, please, how that would be?

The characteristic of air under compression -- of course, it does give up a pressure. This pressure will act

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

on this plunger, causing it to move. Where it differs from the oil, the incompressible liquid, is that as soon as that movement is finished -- for example, if this were to move a half an inch, this pilot valve would move a half an inch. Upon moving that half an inch, its pressure would be reduced to zero. There would be no impulse for further move-

Now, this is not necessarily true in compressing air. If you build up a pressure here to sufficiently move this, in moving the half an inch, say, you would still have considerable pressure in your slug of air which could cause further uncontrolled movement.

That would be further uncontrolled movement of the valve --

Of the pilot valve.

-- which would, in turn, relay itself to the main system?

Yes.

Mr. Ferenczy, what does priming the system mean?

Priming the system. The term is not often used in hydraulics, but with regard to pumps, when you prime a pump, it indicates that you fill, totally fill the cavity of the pump with liquid.

So to prime a system would infer that you are

25

the control station and not the winch. I believe that is

	44/4
1	RGP 56 Ferenczy - direct 475
2	his testimony as to where they primed.
3	MR. LORY: He was talking about the control stan-
4	chion, was he not?
5	MR. KAIN: The question says "the winch" "to
6	arrive at the winch".
7	MR. LORY: Let me rephrase it.
8	THE COURT: Mr. Lory be very narrow, because
9	alternatives crawl into the question, and then we have a
10	flaw.
11	MR. LORY: I am sorry, Judge.
12	Q Mr. Forenczy, I will read to you from page 24 of
13	Mr. Pitt's transcript, at line 22:
14	"Q Will you please tell me what you mean by priming
15	through? What did you do in order to prime through the
16	remote control system?
17	"A A little hand pump is attached to the control
18	stand, and this light hydraulic fluid is forced through the
19	pipes connected with the remote system, and air extraction
20	nipples are clackened off, which allows any air entrapped to
21	be let out."
22	Now, sir, what is the purpose and effect of this
23	particular procedure as described by Mr. Pitt?
24	A The procedure is incomplete.
25	Q When you say "incomplete", what do you mean?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

24

23

25

A I mean that it could not effectively remove the air from the system.

MR. KAIN: If your Honor please, I object to the answer as not responsive to the question.

THE COURT: I think I will allow it. Go ahead.

A (Continuing) May I read --

THE COURT: Mr. Ferenczy, you said it was incomplete. In what manner was it incomplete?

On what do you base it?

A I am basing it on the advices given in the instructional manual for the removal of air, the extraction of air.

THE COURT: I am assuming this is based upon your knowledge of the field, in the field of hydraulics. Now, in what manner did you regard it, as one versed in this field, as being incomplete?

THE WITNESS: Well, I am basing my immediate answer to this question on what is written in the builders' and designers' instructional manual.

MR. KAIN: I suggest, then, that is not Mr. Ferenczy's opinion.

THE COURT: Yes. I agree, and I will strike the answer.

Q Mr. Ferenczy, may we have your opinion first, and

RGP 58

Ferenczy - direct

if it is supported by the manual, tell us so.

THE COURT: Gentlemen, would you come to the side bar for a moment, please.

(At the side bar:)

THE COURT: I may be missing something, Mr. Lory, but the problem I see with all of this testimony -- and maybe I am missing something -- I see it to be wholly irrelevant, because this vessel was in that port for two days, and that equipment was used continuously, as I understand it, for two days.

It was in synchronization. We had no complaints whatever of any swooping of any cargo. We had some testimony about handles that were stiff and hard to run, and I just -- Frankly, I don't see how this is relevant, let alone that this witness is saying it is incomplete because something in the manual doesn't speak of it, and we cannot tell from reading this deposition whether Mr. Pitt used the equalizer lever during the course of this or not. It doesn't say.

MR. KAIN: He is being asked about his checks on the northbound leg of the voyage, and there is nothing there.

THE COURT: And that seems to me so remote in time, after two days' use of this, as to have absolutely no evidentiary value for this jury whatsoever.

MR. LORY: If your Honor please, we predicate our

Ferenczy - direct

particular case upon the fact that the frequency with which this system had to have oil pumped into it indicates that the system was leaking, and the leaking of this system itself would invite the presence of air in the system.

THE COURT: Then let's ask him that.

MR. KAIN: There is no testimony about that.

THE COURT: They are correct in that. There is testimony that --

MR. LORY: One is different from the other. The fact that the system required the introduction of additional fluid indicates that the fluid was going some place. If the system is filled and thereafter you must now add more fluid, and this fluid is not being consumed, therefore it means that the fluid is going some place.

MR. COHEN: He only talked about a stiff handle. He said nothing about any leaking.

MR. LORY: Whatever he talked about, there is testimony in the record from Scotto, for example, that the man came around three times; from Coppola that he came around at eleven-thirty.

THE COURT: You have a little problem there, because Coppola said he came once, and Scotto said he came three times.

MR. KAIN: Scotto said he came once, on his original

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

21

Ferenczy - direct

deposition.

THE COURT: You didn't ask him that.

MR. KAIN: Yes; we did.

THE COURT: That is in the record?

MR. KAIN: Yes.

THE COURT: You have a credibility problem not only with Scotto but as between the two witnesses, as to how many times he came. But, be that as it may, these men, who are experienced winch operators, were using this machinery hard and heavy. I just don't see what you are trying to get at on the northbound voyage here is going to be relevant.

MR. LORY: The only thing that I am trying to do is to show that the procedure of maintenance was not proper and did not conform to the specifications as set forth by the manufacturer.

THE COURT: The specifications are in evidence. Unless this man can add his expert statement to that, I am not going to let him say that it was incomplete because he read the manual and the manual says it was incomplete.

MR. LORY: I twisted it around to state, "Is it your opinion and is it supported by the manual?"

THE COURT: I think he said in response to my question that he didn't have any opinion except insofar as he got out of the manual.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

MR. COHEN: He said this is a closed system, with no way for air to escape. So if air was there before it mani-

Ferenczy - direct

fested itself and it worked without any problems after this

accident as well, where did that air go to?

THE COURT: That is a matter of argument.

MR. LORY: You can have a bubble of air in your blood system. If it is not in a vital area it is of no effect.

THE COURT: The reason I brought you to the side bar was that I thought I could perhaps prevail on you that this whole area of inquiry on the northbound voyage was irrelevant. Maybe I cannot.

In any event, I am not going to let him testify that the basis for his opinion that the procedure was incomplete was from what he read in a manual, because that's already in evidence. He adds nothing to it by his testimony.

MR. LORY: All right.

(In open court:)

Mr. Ferenczy, with respect to a hydraulic system, the fact that oil has to be added at intervals: does that have any significance for you? Does that have any meaning for you?

The meaning it has is that there must be a leakage of oil out of the system somewheres over a period of time.

1	RGP 63 Ferenczy - direct 482
2	Q You state that with reasonable certainty?
3	A Yes.
4	Q In order to remove any air that is in the system,
5	what procedure would you, with your know) age and experience
6	in hydraulics, follow?
7	MR. KAIN: If your Honor please, I object to the
8	question unless, one he designates the system and, two, I
9	object to the irrelevancy, since there is no testimony to
10	that effect.
11	THE COURT: Greenspan, read it back to me,
12	please.
13	(Question read.)
14	THE COURT: This is an assumption that assuming
15	there were air
16	MR. KAIN: First of all, your Honor, we are speak-
17	ing of more than one system. We have been speaking of main
18	and remote systems. Second, your Honor is correct that it is
19	on the assumption of air, and I object to it on the ground
20	that there is no testimony as yet in this case that there
21	was air in the system.
22	THE COURT: It is his opinion that in all probabil
23	ity there was air, and the validity of his opinion, of
24	course, is a matter of testimony.
25	I think, however, a further foundation should be

laid as to exactly what system and the witness' knowledge of that system and the knowledge of the fact that there is a procedure for the removal.

MR. LORY: Your Honor, I have been speaking only of the remote system throughout. Once we got through with the general description of how the entire three systems operate, I have confined myself to the remote system.

THE COURT: Mr. Ferenczy, do you know of a procedure to remove air from a remote system of this kind?

THE WITNESS: Yes; I do.

THE COURT: What is that procedure?

THE WITNESS: The procedure is to establish a flow through the system in order to carry out any entrained air, if it exists. If you're going to establish a flow, you can't put oil in unless you have some exiting device.

Now, these small valves that we were speaking of, they must be opened. Now, what is going to cause a flow through that valve? Remember now, it's -- it's a type of valve, these air extraction valves, that can allow a flow in or out, depending upon the difference in pressure between the outside of this cylinder and the inside.

In order to preclude any possibility of having entrapped air by having air work into the system while you are attempting to remove the air, the procedure is to make sure

that this valve -- and I might draw one here --

THE COURT: Is that where it is?

THE WITNESS: Yes. There are two valves. There is a valve in either side.

So if this valve is opened, the accepted practice in the field is to connect with with a vinyl tube, lower the end of the tube in a container containing the hydraulic flud.

Now, as pressure is applied to the system, with some type of a pumping device or a gravity feed device, what occurs, of course, is that as the air collects at this high spot it is carried off, and you can very clearly see the globules of air entrained in the oil as it passes from the system, the remote control system, to this container with oil.

If for any reason -- if you had a reversal of pressure whereby the pressure outside the system was greater than inside, and if you had a flow, the only substance that could flow back into the cylinder would be oil, because of this oil seal you have in this receptical.

Q Mr. Ferenczy, assume a system with some air entrapped in it, that is, a remote system. Can such a system operate normally for a period of time and suddenly function abnormally? Do you have an opinion as to that, sir, that

further, unless this is a foundation for going on to further

1	RGP 67 Ferenczy - direct
2	testimony of this witness.
3	Q If the procedure that you described is not fol-
4	lowed, what is the expected result?
5	A With no
6	MR. COHEN: I am not certain what that means,
7	your Honor. Followed at what time?
8	Q If the procedure of priming
9	MR. COHEN: Is this on the northward leg
10	of the voyage?
11	THE COURT: I am not sure, myself. I think your
12	objection is well taken. I will sustain it as to form.
13	Go ahead.
14	Q If the procedure that you described for priming is
15	not followed, can something be anticipated?
16	Do you understand my question?
17	MR. COHEN: That was the same question.
18	MR. KAIN: Is something being anticipated?
19	THE COURT: Yes. I fear there are too many facts
20	
21	missing here, Mr. Lory, to make this an answer that is
22	meaningful.
23	Q What would be the result of not following the
24	procedure that you have described with respect to priming
	the remote system?
25	MR. KAIN: It is practically the same question,

your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

THE COURT: I will sustain the objection.

What other facts must the witness know to give an answer, Mr. Lory?

MR. LORY: Either I am dull, your Honor -- but at the moment it doesn't hit me.

THE COURT: It seems to me there has got to be some quantum of air existing in there, a percentage.

Q Assume, Mr. Ferenczy, there is some air in the remote system, and the procedure that you have described is followed. Would the air be completely extracted?

A The air would be completely extracced if visibly you would note solid fluid being discharged through the vinyl tubing.

Q Is the procedure described by Mr. Coppola with respect to the pumping of oil into the system --

THE COURT: Mr. Pitt, you mean.

MR. LORY: Pardon?

THE COURT: You mean Mr. Pitt.

MR. LORY: Mr. Pitt and Mr. Coppola.

MR. KAIN: I don't believe Mr. Ferenczy heard his testimony.

THE COURT: He said he was some distance away, having a cigaret.

24

23

3

4

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

Q Is the procedure described by Mr. Pitt sufficient to remove air that may be in the remote system in the event that there is air in the remote system?

A No.

In what respects is it insufficient?

A Because he cannot visually establish that all air has been removed. There is no certainty that air cannot enter through this open space, the air extraction valve.

Mr. Ferenczy, is it necessary to open the air extraction valves at the time that oil is pumped into the system? I am speaking of the remove system.

MR. KAIN: May I have that question repeated, please?

THE COURT: Surely.

(Question read.)

THE WITNESS: I may answer this?

THE COURT: Yes; you may answer.

No; it is not possible to pump oil into the system A with all the extraction valves closed.

Then in order to use this bomb apparatus that has been described to you, it would be necessary to open some or all of the air extraction valves; is that not true?

Yes. A

Would the elements or weather or the environment

	461 a 489
1	RGP 70 Ferenczy - direct
2	have any effect on this procedure, particularly during
3	circumstances when it is done on the northbound leg of a
4	voyage?
5	A No.
6	MR. KAIN: If your Honor please, the northbound
7	leg of a voyage from South Africa
8	THE COURT: His answer is no.
9	Q Is this system affected by the elements, the remote
10	system on these winches?
11	A The seals over a period of time could be affected.
12	MR. KAIN: If your Honor please, I move to strike
13	the answer. There is no testimony in this case as to that.
14	THE COURT: Yes; I agree. There is no such
15	testimony, and the answer is stricken, and you are instruct-
16	ed to disregard it.
17	Mr. Lory, are you getting to the end of your
18	direct with Mr. Ferenczy?
19	MR. LORY: Just about, your Honor.
20	Q Mr. Ferenczy, is there a positive way to confirm
21	the total removal of air in the remote system?
22	A As I mentioned, by flushing your system, bringing
23	your oil in through a pump, flushing it out through the
21	air extraction valves and noting the quality of oil that is

25

coming out.

1	RGP 71 Ferenczy - direct 490
2	Q Mr. Ferenczy, you described the system for us.
3	Do you have an opinion, sir, with respect to this system
4	after having studied the plans and the instructions, as to
5	how air could leak into the remote system?
6	MR. KAIN: If your Honor please, aside from being
7	repetitious, we have been all through this, and there is
8	certainly nothing in this record to date, at least, that
9	would permit speculation as to air leaking into the remote
10	system.
11	THE COURT: Yes; I agree with you. I will sustain
12	the objection.
13	MR. LORY: If I may have a moment, your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Surely.
15	(Pause.)
16	THE COURT: Mr. Lory, I am going to change my
17	mind. I will permit the witness to testify as to his
18	opinion. Not that there is a knowledge that there is no
19	fact in the record to permit this, but his opinion.
20	I permitted him to say that it is his opinion that
21	there is air there.
22	MR. LORY: I didn't hear the last, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: I said I have permitted him to testify
24	that in his opinion there was air in the system. I empha-
25	sized it was his opinion. Ithink it necessarily follows

MR. KAIN: Unless that is conjecture that that is

RGP 73 Ferenczy - direct

what Mr. Coppola was talking about.

THE COURT: We went over this quite a bit, and I will sustain the objection.

Q Mr. Ferenczy, with respect to the operation of these particular winches, is it essential for the proper operation of them that you get an immediate response from the control handle that you have indicated there, telegraphed to the control or valve or lever or whatever you want to call it on the main system?

A I lost the intent of your question. I am sorry.

Q Is it critical to the operation of these winches that whatever movement you have on the control handle on the stanchion that we have shown in these pictures -- that you get immediate reaction at the winch, the main winch system?

A Yes.

Q And that the purpose of adding fluid to these winches and that the purpose of putting them in phase is to insure that this immediate reaction is felt from the remote station at the main station?

A Yes.

Q In the event that there is any air entrapped in the system, that would impede this particular purpose, that

	493
1	RGP 74 Ferenczy - direct
2	would obstruct this particular purpose, you would not get
3	an immediate reaction from the remote station at the main
4	station?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Would the presence of air in the system result
7	in an out-of-phase operation?
8	A Yes.
9	Q What is the meaning of an out-of-phase operation?
10	A Where the receiver does not mimic the transmitter.
11	Q Another way of saying it would be that they are
12	not in synchronization one with the other?
13	A True.
14	Q So it is clear in the minds of the jury, we have
15	two such systems with respect to these winches, one for the
16	Burton winch and one for the starboard winch; is that not so?
17	A Correct.
18	Q I am sorry. The Burton and the up and down.
19	MR. LORY: Thank you, Mr. Cohen.
20	Q (Continuing) So the record is clear and the jury
21	understands, one, the Burton winch system has no connection
22	with the up and down winch?
23	A I would assume that, yes.
24	MR. LORY: I have nothing more, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, we will stand in recess until ten o'clock in the morning. You are excused. Do not speak with each other about the case or with anyone else, and continue to keep an open mind.

Good night.

(The jury left the courtroom.)

THE COURT: I assume you want to commence cross-examination at ten in the morning.

MR. KAIN: If it is possible.

THE COURT: Mr. Ferenczy, you are available at ten in the morning?

THE WITNESS: I can't make it quite at ten, sir, but I will try.

THE COURT: What time can you make it?

THE WITNESS: It would be more in the neighborhood of ten-twenty.

THE COURT: Would you, Mr. Kain, quickly tell Mr. Dorset to tell the jury to come at ten-twenty, and we will resume at ten-twenty.

(Adjourned to May 23, 1974, at 10:00 a.m.)

23

24

25

your Honor had indicated that there was nothing in the record

to indicate a further movement. I submit to your Honor that

it was one continuous movement and all the witness was trying

to do was to explain to the jury what would happen within the system after the lever progressed in motion. In other words, as I recall the testimony, Mr. Coppola had testified that he had it in the hoist position --

THE COURT: I remember the place. Mr. Lory, let's put it this way: I would not change my ruling on his statement that if a person pushed it he would then subconsciously push it further, because there is no testimony to support that and that is pure speculation.

MR. LORY: That I accept.

THE COURT: That was the only question I was asked to tale on, quite frankly. Let's see how this cross examination goes. It may well be that upon redirect it may be appropriate to consider some testimony as to if a person had pushed it further, what might have happened. I want to give some thought to that.

MR. LORY: All right.

THE COURT: Make your application again to me at the close of the cross, if you will-

MR. LORY: The second thing I have, and there may be some argument about it is, and I think it should be out of the hearing of the jury --

MR. COHEN: Could we reserve this until the end of the cross? It is not with respect to this witness.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

xx

Ferenczy-cross

MR. LORY: This would relate to the number of hours worked and the hourly rate a hatch boss would receive.

THE COURT: All right.

(In open court.)

EDWARD FERENCZY, resumed.

THE COURT: Mr. Kain, you may proceed.

CROSS EXAMINATION CONTINUED

BY MR. KAIN:

O Mr. Ferenczy, would you look at this diagram which is on the blackboard before us, the one you made yesterday afternoon.

MR. LORY: Excuse me, your Honor, I have a picture of it. If we could mark it, then the record would show what diagram we are talking about.

THE COURT: Why don't you mark that for identification or in evidence, actually, and then maybe put the same letter on the blackboard and that will enable Mr. Kain to keep the exhibit letter in mind, or number.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 15 received in evidence.)

Will you look at this diagram which you made yesterday, Mr. Ferenczy, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit 15 in evidence. Would you explain to me again -- you can come down to the drawing if you need to -- the effect you say this air in the system that -- I believe you indicate the air by the

Ferenczy-cross

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

small pocket like in there; is that it?

Yes.

Q Could you explain to me again the effect you say this air would have on the operation of this system.

A Yes, I believe I can do that. Assuming, again, that this is a quantity of air, and assuming that he moves this plunger in a direction to decrease this volume, or causes this oil to flow towards the pilot valve. In doing that it will come upon this section of air. Before it will move this amount of oil it will compress.

Q In order to compress, will it be necessary or would it be necessary to increase the pressure of the oil to compress the air?

A You see, the point is this: He would have to make a greater movement here, you see, because of this springness of the area, the characteristic of the air, in order to get a movement on his pilot valve.

Q Do you mean by that that he would have to increase the pressure on the air bubble or the air pocket?

He would have to increase his movement, which could increase the pressure in your air, yes.

How does it increase the pressure, could you tell me that?

By decreasing the volume. The pressure will vary A

inversely with your volume. In explanation, if your volume decreases, you will have an associated increase in pressure.

- Q We are talking here, are we not, of a remote system?
- A Yes, we are.
- Q That is a manual system. Are there any pumps that serve to increase or decrease pressure in this sytem?
 - A No, there is not.
- Q I think you used the expression oscillating, this liquid.
 - A Yes, that is so.
- Q Does the pressure remain constant when you move these levers in one direction or another?
- A It can. Yes, it would come up to a value such as this pressure operating on this area would be of sufficient force to overcome the friction in this plunger. So, therefore, it would ascend to that level and then the pressure would remain constant as the movement occurs.
- Q Did I understand you correctly to say that in your opinion this could cause the erratic motion of this draft of cargo, this car on the cargo hook --
 - A Yes.
 - Q As described to you by Mr. Lory yesterday?
 - A Yes, it could.
 - Q How would it case it, sir?

A Well, it would cause it in this way. Might we consider this side as being solidly oil and may we consider this side as having the section of air. If this side is solidly oil and you were to make a corresponding movement, because this liquid cannot be reduced in volume, it must have an appreciable, the same movement in this plunger. But here is the grand part of it all. As soon as this movement here mimics this, the pressure immediately drops. With your air, to get a movement here, it does not at all indicate that that pressure in the air drops because it has expansive qualities. So here lies the treachery of the system.

Q Isn't it expanding against the same pressure if the pressure remains constant in the system?

A The pressure would have to drop with any expansion of this air. So now this pilot valve could remain fixed, because with this air expanding the pressure has dropped somewhat, you see. Now, what could cause this valve to move with this slightly decreased pressure, and the only way that that could occur is to relieve or diminish the frictional aspects in this pilot valve. That could quite easily occur on this piece of machinery due to the vibration characteristics of the running gear.

- Q What vibration characteristics are you referring to?
- A I am referring to the vibration as set up. As the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

cable is being wound onto the drum or unwound onto the drum causes a considerable vibration.

Ferenczy-cross

But this is the remote system and not the winch system; is that correct?

That is correct, but this system is attached to a winch house to which the base, the foundations of the windlasses are attached and, of course, these vibration characteristics are very easily transmitted throughout the whole section.

Let me also go back -- you can resume the stand if you will, sir.

In recalling what you said yesterday, if I misquote you, will you let me know?

Yes.

It was my understanding that you said that the hydraulic motor in this particular system -- I am referring now to the winch system -- would, in lifting a draft, the bypass valve would close and there would be one chamber utilized in the hydraulic motor, and if this one chamber was inadequate to lift, say, suppose for example we had a five ton load on this winch, that the single chamber would be inadequate and that if the single chamber was inadequate you would have a second port open and you would get more fluid, and if that was still inadequate you would get a third chamber

open and that would give you maximum lift; is that what you said, sir?

Ferenczy-cross

A Yes, with a slight exception. You mentioned more fluid. You would not get more fluid. You would get this fluid to act on a greater area of blades, or a greater number of blades and this would give you the greater torsion, the turning movement necessary to lift the heavy load.

A If, for example, we had a constant speed pump -- is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And it is a constant speed pump because it has a constant speed AC motor; is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q Let's say with using one of these ports open, if this constant speed pump would, for example, put, say, 10 gallons through per minute, with the one port open you would have 10 gallons flowing through acting on these veins and moving this motor at a certain speed; is that correct?

A That is correct.

O I am talking now about possible maximum speeds;

A Yes, that is correct.

Now, if you opened the second port you would still have 10 gallons, but it would split between the two ports; is that correct, so it couldn't drive it as fast as if you

Ferenczy-cross

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

had a single port; is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q If you had yet a third port open you would then have your 10 gallons split among, in effect, three ports, wouldn't you?

- A That's correct.
- O So that would be the slowest speed?
- A Yes.

Q If I misquote you, tell me. I understood you to say this is why, for example, a maximum speed of this winch — and I think you quoted page 18 of the manual, that if you had, say, I think it was 36 meters per minute on maximum load as a rope speed, you pointed out, I think, that it went to 110 at the light load as compared to the 36; is that correct?

A I don't recall the exact figures.

Q In any event, at the maximum load, the rope speed, the speed at which this will rotate, this wire on the drum will move is 36, will you assume with me --

A Yes.

Q And it is much greater at a light load; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q You also told us yesterday, if I understood you correctly, that this hydraulic motor was connected to the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

winch arm; is that correct?

Yes, it is.

Q Is that connected in this particular system by any type of gearing, reduction gears or anything of that sort, or is it a direct connection to the winch?

A No. In reading through the material that was given to me, there was no remarks about a reduction gearing system, but looking at the plans it appears that there was a gear reduction. I would assume that there was a gear reduction to it, because for one thing this is the usual way of hooking up a windlass.

Q This, as I gather from what you say, is conjecture, you cannot definitely establish that; is that correct?

A From looking at the plans, pretty much I can establish that.

Q Now, you told us yesterday, I believe, that -- and you told us again this morning, that in your opinion an erratic movement of this car, as described to you by Mr. Lory in his hypothetical question, that you could state with reasonable certitude as an engineer that this was the result of air in the system; is that correct?

Yes, I can do that.

Q Could it also result from erratic movement of winch handles by the operator; could be get this same effect?

1	_	J -	 _
1			
1			

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A Yes, it could.
- Q Are you familiar with cargo winches, generally, Mr. Ferenczy?
 - A From my sailing I am acquainted with them.
 - Q Have you ever operated winches, cargo winches?
 - A Yes, I did.
 - Q Have you operated electric cargo winches?
- A Years ago I was a trial engineer for Bethlehem Steel and it was part of our duties to run tests. Now, I am saying this to qualify my operational point. When we operated it was merely to test the machinery.
- Q I understand, but you are familiar with the characteristics of electric cargo winches; is that correct?
 - A Yes, but I did not operate as a stevedore.
- Q I understand, but you are familiar with their characteristics?
 - A Yes.
- Q Now, it is true, is it not, that an electric cargo winch has certain relays built into the system, does it not?
 - A That is correct.
- Q If, for example, I am using an electric cargo winch and I am standing at the master control and I move this master control from the neutral or stopped position, the vertical position to either the full hoisting or the full lowering

2 posi

position, what happens? Does it immediately go into the full hoisting or the full lowering position? I am referring now to electric winches.

A No, it does not immediately go in. There is a build up, you see.

- Q It goes through certain relays, time relays?
- A A series of time relays, yes.
- Q It has to go through -- say, for example, there are five hoisting or lowering positions on an electric cargo winch. No matter what you do with that lever you cannot put it to full speed, can you, unless it first goes through this motor -- it first goes through these various time relays and builds up to full speed; is that correct?

A True.

Q Is that characteristic true of this hydraulic type winch?

A In a modified sense. It is true here because we have our three chambers and the oil passages to these -- say the second and third chamber is a function of the pressure build up in the hydraulic oil system. So if you were lifting this excessive load, you see, you would have to go through these three stages, but if you had a light load and you moved your handle to the full position, she would go to her full speed immediately.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Let's assume I have a two-ton load.

479a

- I am referring now to this particular type of I move my winch control to maximum speed.
 - Yes. A
- I am referring now from the position on the dock. Would this winch go immediately to maximum speed?

MR. LORY: I will object, your Honor, unless we establish the capacity of the winch that is involved.

MR. KAIN: I am referring to this particular winch which he testified to, Mr. Lory.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Kain.

- Would it go to maximum speed?
- Yes, it would.
- That would occur much more rapidly and much faster than the same effect than if you were hoisting a two-ton load with an electric winch, isn't that true?
 - It could.
- Isn't it true that winches of this type, hydraulic winches such as the Fukushima winch on the Huguenot, that these are much finer and much more responsive than electric winches?
 - By nature of the design, yes.
 - I am assuming that the winch is operating as it is

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

designed to operate. Will you assume with me there was testimony by the winch operator that the trouble he explained with this winch at about 11 or 11:30 on the morning of the day of this accident was that the handles on the control pedestal, which were shown to you yesterday and which are depicted here in Defendant's Exhibit A in evidence -- I am referring to these two handles; that they were very stiff and difficult to operate and that he complained of this and that as a result oil was put into the system in some manner by someone from the ship's crew. Isn't it true, Mr. Ferenczy, that air in the system would not make the handles more difficult to operate, but would make them much easier to operate; isn't that so?

MR. LORY: I object, your Honor. We are dealing with semantics. We had something described to us through an interpreter. Whether anything is lost in translation, I don't know.

THE COURT: No, I will overrule the objection. You may answer, sir.

Air in the system would not make it more difficult Λ to move.

It would make it easier to operate, wouldn't it? MR. LORY: Objection, your Honor. We are dealing with operation and movement. There are two different

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

categories.

MR. KAIN: All right, I will rephrase the question.

If I remove all of the hydraulic fluid from that remote system, take all the oil out of it entirely, what is the effect on my ability to move this handle, the control handle?

The effect might be more difficult because the scaling mechanism -- and on that system they would use "O" rings. The "O" rings have to be wetted with oil, you see, in order to get -- to reduce just the sliding friction, so if this were filled with air totally and it had a lack of oil bathing the "O" rings, the sealing rings, it could be more difficult to move.

Q Let's assume when the oil -- let's say it was a fraction line and the oil drained out and that would les a some residue on the rings, would it not?

I would assume it would.

Would you assume that the handle would be easier to operate?

It would be easier to operate.

If you lost half of the oil in that system, would Q the handle be easier to operate?

Yes, it would. A

So that one of the characteristics or one of the 0

signs, if you will, indications of loss of oil in a system is not stiffness or difficulty in moving a handle, is it?

- A Generally, no.
- Assuming this condition that you have depicted here, this air bubble or this air pocket in this hydraulic system, assuming such a condition, other than priming through or putting more oil in the system, is there any way to correct for this air pocket or this air bubble?
 - A When you say correct, do you imply --
 - Q To remedy the situation?
 - A Correcting the situation?
 - Q Yes.
- A It would be to -- if this occurred at any frequency, it indicates that you must replace the seals in your equipment, but assuming your seals were functional, then it would merely require a purge to remove the air.
- Q Isn't it true, Mr. Ferenczy, that there is always some loss of oil in a hydraulic system?
 - A Yes.
- Q Let's take, for example you spoke yesterday, and I think it is depicted on the drawing on the other side of that board, about a balancing tank or a tank located some 40 feet above the deck?
 - A Yes.

Q If you know, is there any design in this system to take care of a loss of oil as it is pumped into -- I am now talking about the main system. Is there some loss through the pump as it is pumped and returned and pumped and returned?

A Yes, there is a minimal loss.

Q A minimal loss. Is there some feature in this system that is designed to take care of this minimal loss?

A In the main system, yes.

O What is the feature?

A By establishing a head of oil, and that was the feature of having this reservoir of oil a height above the operating equipment.

Q Does that operate something like a gravity feed tank, in a sense?

A Yes, it would.

Q Its height above the deck --

A Yes.

Q -- serves to feed it automatically; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Didn't you say yesterday that it would be most unusual, because of this feature, to have any loss of oil in this system or any air in the system? I am talking now about the main system as opposed to the working system.

A Yes. In the working system. Yes, I did.

03.2							
Q Let's go back to the remote system on this. This							
diagram that you have before us, which is Exhibit 15,							
Plaintiff's Exhibit 15 in evidence, if you completed that							
diagram, shouldn't there be also a rack gear or whatever you							
called it, that top ratchet-like; shouldn't there be one of							
those with a handle at the bottom?							
A Yes, there should be.							

- Q Could you put that into this diagram where it should be?
 - A Yes, I could do that.

 I am going to erase this.
 - Q What are you going to erase, sir?
 - A This (indicating).
 - Q I am sorry.
- A In order not to complicate the drawing, because I made that sketch of the directional valve I will not duplicate it on the sketch.
 - Q I understand. Whatever is agreeable to you.
- A So what you are going to have is this: On this handle -- and this is the transmitting handle. You now have the manual handle here, and it, too, is attached to a small pinning gear. The difference -- the operation is quite like this, but the difference being that there are two plungers. So you have a situation like this with its chambers like this.

4 5

Now, recall I mentioned the purposes of the pilot valve was to direct the flow of oil to one side or the other in order to get this valve, this handle, excuse me, to move in either one or the other direction. We can assume that one is the hoist and one is the lowering.

Q The same directions as shown on the other?

A You can assume that. The difference, now, is that the oil supplied to this is operated -- it comes from a pump. Let's say this is the -- we will call it the servopump. Now, all the servo pump does is it brings oil to that valve. That valve then directs that oil either to -- and I will just draw the lines here. You will have operation, of course, on it, so one oil line is going to come, hook into that, and, of course, it will then branch around and hook into this, because if we are going to get a movement and we were to fill oil here, we would have to fill it here in order to get that type of motion (indicating), and, of course, that type of motion would tend to drive the handle in this direction. We have another line coming down, feeding that, and then, too, branching off -- coming down here and this little umlaut indicates that it jumps over the other line.

So now depending upon what direction -- say if this were to hoist and this was to lower, all this directional valve must do is send the oil from the pump in this direction

and it will move it this way to the lower. If we want to raise, we then, of course -- this, then, the oil draining from this side, of course, will go back to that directional valve and find itself back in the suction line of the system. So depending upon the movement, this line can be a feed and -- or a supply line and this, of course, can be an existing line, an exhaust line, a discharge line.

THE COURT: Mr. Kain, could you ascertain from the witness whether that is in substitution of anything on the drawing or whether it is in addition to something on the drawing and how it ties in, because I think that is completely unclear.

MR. KAIN: I was hoping to get into that, your Honor, but I will ask him.

- Q Mr. Ferenczy, does what you have drawn there represent any change from what you have previously depicted, or is it merely an addition of another feature?
 - A It is an addition to what I have already drawn.

 THE COURT: I see.
- Q Do engineers, Mr. Ferenczy, sometimes use the expression "a slave system"?
 - A A slave system?
 - Q With respect to this type of equipment.
 - A I am not acquainted with the term "slave system".

Q This lever that you have depicted at the bottom of this drawing, that represents, does it not, sir, the control lever on the cargo winch itself?

Ferenczy-cross

A That is correct.

And the upper lever that you have depicted represents the control lever on the remote system; is that correct, the one you referred to yesterday as a transmitter; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q The function of this transmitter is to permit one man to operate both of these winches at one control station, isn't that so, or one of the functions I should say?

A If these two transmitters are brought within reach.

Q Let me again refer you -- will you take the stand again, sir.

May I again refer you to the photograph which is Defendant's Exhibit A in evidence. I again refer you to the two handles in the center of the photograph on top of the pedestal.

A Yes.

Aren't those two handles the control handles for this transmitting or remote system for both the port and the starboard winches, both of those two cargo winches; is that correct?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

That is correct. A

For this reason one man standing there may operate both of these cargo winches even though they are at some remote position from this control stand; is that not so?

True.

Now, it would also be possible by utilizing another man, to station a winchman at the control lever of each one of these winches; is that not so?

A True.

He could obtain the same motion on the winch by moving the control lever at the winch; is that correct?

True.

Q So in effect this transmitting system merely transmits the motion, or the winch operator's designed speed, what he intends to do with the winch, to the control lever on his winch; is that so?

It is so.

I believe you told us yesterday, and correct me again if I am wrong, that if you had some air in this system -- incidentally, assuming there is no fork or locking device on these two control levers. Let's assume that the upper one is the transmitting lever for the starboard winch and the lower one is the actual lever on the starboard winch. With this system, if I, for example, move the upper lever five

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE

17 18

20

19

21 22

23

24

23 Ferenczy-cross

degrees, the lower level will move five degrees, would it not, in the same direction?

A Yes.

- Q., It transmits the motion exactly, does it not?
- A It mimics it. Precisely.
- Did you tell us yesterday that if you had some air in the system, that perhaps when you moved this lever, say, five degrees -- I am referring now to the transmitting lever -- because of the air in the system that perhaps the lower level might only move two degrees, or some lesser amount; is that what you said yesterday?

A I did.

Q You were then referring to a lack of synchronization between the transmitter handle or lever and the winch lever or handle; is that so?

A That is so.

Q On this particular ship -- you have never been aboard the Huguenot, have you, sir?

A I have not.

Q Will you assume with me that the winches would be behind, that is forward of the man operating the controls shown on that picture so that his back would be to these winches.

A It could be.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- I said will you assume it.
- Yes, I will assume.
- There would, of course, be no way that the man operating these winch controls could see the lever on the winch behind him; is that so? There is nothing on his pedestal that would indicate to him what the winch control was doing with reference to the control handle; is that so?
 - No, there is not.
- Q Let me give you the rest of the pedestal so you will have both pictures in front of you. I am referring now to Defendant's Exhibit B in evidence, both photographs. Is there anything on that pedestal itself which would indicate to the operator of the remote or the transmitting system the movement of the control lever on the cargo winch itself?
 - No. A
- There are no hoist positions indicated on this transmitting system or lowering positions, are there?
 - No.
- Will you assume with me that the winch operator has already testified in this case that there were no hoisting or lowering positions, and that in operating this winch he didn't look at his handles, he looked to see what his draft was doing and he manipulated his handles in order to maintain his draft on an even keel as he worked it across the deck.

A Yes.

Q That would, would it not, be the normal way to operate this winch?

A It would be.

Q Just like when you are driving the car, you don't look down at your brake pedal, do you, to see how far in it goes, you judge its effect.

A Correct.

Q Assuming this is so, what effect, if any, would a small lack of synchronization have on the operation of the winch?

A The effect would be to cause the operator to overact.

Q If you are assuming that he is not watching his winch controls and he is moving these controls only with reference to what the draft in front of his field of vision is doing, why, in your opinion, would he be affected by what the lever on the winch behind him was doing, the lack of synchronization between that lever and the lever with which he is actually working?

A Because in the normal operation of the two winches you watch your load and your responses are directly related to the movement of your load. That is why I said the air would cause him to overact.

4 5

lever on t

Q Listen to me for a minute, Mr. Ferenczy. If his winch transmission levers are affecting, as they would, what his draft was doing, and if they are responsive to his wishes in moving this cargo across, the fact that the lever on the winch behind him is not in complete synchronization with the lever on this control would be immaterial, would it not?

A Immaterial.

Assuming an air pocket in this type of a system.

I am referring now to the remote system. And assuming that as a result of this air pocket this winch permitted the cargo on it, on the cargo hook, to run away as described to you, or move erratically as described to you yesterday by Mr. Lory. Would this condition thereafter be constant assuming no change and no repairs were made in this winch? In other words, if the condition existed at the time to cause this erratic motion, and assuming nothing was done with this winch, would this condition continue to cause erratic motion?

A It would continue to cause erratic motion, but perhaps not to the same magnitude.

Q For what reason would it not be to the same magnitude?

A As I mentioned, because of vibrations -- under very strange situations you can get these vibrations to be in resonance, you see, and you will have an amplification of

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

these vibrations under peculiar situations. Other situations will cause the vibrations to attenuate, to diminish, you see, so therefore it is very difficult to -- despite the fact no change was made in the system, to be able to repeat a process, you see.

Q Would there be any effect caused on this by the weight of the cargo hook?

No.

So the winch being erratic, if I understand you, assuming it were erratic, it would be erratic whether you had a light load or a heavy load; is that correct? I am referring now to the condition you described of an air pocket in the remote system.

Generally.

I think you also mentioned yesterday in your direct testimony -- you made mention of the fact that this air in the remote system was -- did you say it was a growth process?

The admission of air into the mechanism usually is a growth process. Should I qualify that now?

I don't want you to qualify it. I want you to tell me if by that you mean it is a gradual process.

Generally, yes. A

If we were to take this remote system and assuming

a load on the cargo hook, sever a portion of the system so that the hydraulic fluid leaked out of this remote or transmitting system, what would happen to the load on the cargo hook? What would the winch do?

A Would you repeat that question? I missed you. I'm sorry.

Q Will you assume with me a load on the cargo hook for which this is the remote system.

A Yes.

Q If we were to sever this system so that the hydraulic fluid -- I am referring now to the transmitting system -- drained from the system, what would the cargo winch do with respect to the load on the cargo hook?

A It would stop.

Q Why would it stop?

A Because that pilot valve, as I indicated on my sketch, if there is no oil no longer on the ends of that pilot valve the springs will bring it immediately to its center position, closing off any of the ports.

- Q So these are spring loaded valves; is that correct?
- A The pilot valve is a spring loaded valve.
- Q How about the levers --

THE COURT: Mr. Kain, the polot valve, is the one in the middle of the drawing; is that correct?

	495a
1	rgrm 29 Ferenczy-cross 523
2	THE WITNESS: That is correct.
3	MR. KAIN: The witness says so, your Honor.
4	Q Are the control levers spring loaded?
5	A No.
6	Q If I took my hand and moved this let's start
7	with the transmission system.
8	THE COURT: If I could stop you just a minute so
9	this point is clear.
10	Mr. Ferenczy, the pilot valve is the bar. Would
11	you put a marking there so that this testimony is fully
12	understood.
13	THE WITNESS: Yes, I will.
14	MR. LORY: Would your Honor want him also to
15	indicate something with respect to the springs?
16	THE COURT: Yes, I would like to have him put the
17	springs in.
18	MR. KAIN: Aren't there springs in the upper system,
19	too?
20	THE WITNESS: No.
21	THE COURT: What you had said, Mr. Ferenczy, was
22	that if the oil had completely drained out, those springs
23	would put the pilot valve in the middle and the winches would
24	stop turning in either direction because there is nothing
25	transmitted to the manual system; is that what you are saying?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: All right, go ahead, sir.

- Will you tell me, Mr. Ferenczy, assuming I were operating the transmission system and I took this handle and I put it in a ten degree lowering position -- I am referring now to the upper handle on the transmission system. If at that point I let go of that handle, what would it do?
 - It would stay there.
 - It would stay there?
 - Yes. A
 - It would not return to the middle position? Q
 - No. A
- How about on the transmission system, if I took this winch control, that is the handle in the lower portion of that diagram, and I moved it the same ten degrees in the lowering position, and then I took my hand off it, would it stay there?
 - It would tend to stay there, yes.
- Assuming the air pocket that you have depicted in this upper portion of the diagram in the transmission system; assuming that such an air pocket existed and that a car being loaded aboard in the manner described to you yesterday by Mr. Lory was brought up on the Burton boom, started to Burton across with the winch operator slowly paying out on his Burton

Ferenczy-cross

boom, that is, the boom out over the dock and slowly taking in on his up and down boom, or the boom over the square of the hatch; and assuming this erratic movement which you say in your opinion was caused by an air pocket, if the winch operator at that time were to immediately return that winch control to neutral -- I am referring now to the neutral of the Burton boom -- what would be the effect?

A If he were to immediately place that valve in the neutral position, the tendency there would be for the pilot valve to return to the neutral position.

- Q Would it stop this erratic movement as described to you?
 - A If it returned to the neutral position.
 - Q If it returned to the neutral position.

Now, assuming that this car were on a cargo hook five or six feet above the ship's rail starting to Burton across the deck and assuming this air in the system. I am referring now to the system for the Burton. If that were put to neutral you say it would stop it; is that right?

A Yes.

- Q Suppose simultaneously the up and down winch control was immediately put into full hoist, what would be the affect?
 - A The affect would be to bodily raise the load.
 - Q Assuming, for example, a large car weighing 4500,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ferenczy-cross

5000 pounds. If you put one of these cargo winches bearing a portion of this load as it would be in a neutral or in a Burton position as it started to come across the deck -- if you put the up and down winch in full hoist, how fast would that car move up in response to the full hoist? Approx -mately.

- It is difficult for me to say that --
- Isn't it true because of the rope speed it would be pretty close up to the gin block before you could catch your breath, up to the head of the boom?
 - No, that is not so. A
 - That's not so.
 - That is not so.
- Let me ask you to assume something further. Would 0 an air pocket or an air bubble in a transmitting system such as you have depicted here and such as we had on the Huguenot -- would such an air bubble have an affect on the ability of the winch to hoist?
 - On the ability of the winch to hoist?
- Lct's say on the speed, the hoisting speed of the Q winch.
- The hoisting speed of the winch depends on the capacity of oil that is fed to it, which depends upon the main pump and motor.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q What I am trying to ascertain from you, if you can tell me, in your opinion would a pocket of air, assuming one in both transmitting systems, in the transmitting system for the Burton winch, the starboard winch of this ship on the forward end of this hatch and the transmitting system on the up and down winch at the forward end of number 3 hatch; assuming this pocket of air as you have depicted it there, and assuming that as the car starts across with both of them in a slow position, as he starts to Burton it across the deck it reaches a point above the ship's rail; you say this pocket of air would cause it to move down very rapidly; is that correct?

MR. LORY: Your Honor, I object to the form of the question.

THE COURT: You object to the form of the question? MR. LORY: Yes. It is not facts in evidence, even though it is on a hypothetical.

THE COURT: Let me have the question read back so I have it firmly in mind and then you can restate your objection.

(Question read.)

MR. LORY: If your Honor please, the car must first reach a point above the ship's rail before it would start to be Burtoned. That is my first objection. My second objection

is it goes outside the scope of the direct examination because the claim here is it goes from the Burton winch and the Burton winch alone.

Ferenczy-cross

THE COURT: I do not know it to be so limited by the proof that was given. It is just something happened and we are trying to find out what happened.

MR. KAIN: That is correct. There is no testimony by anybody that there was air in either system.

THE COURT: I will allow the question.

MR. LORY: If your Honor please, the other part of the objection is that Burtoning would not start until the load had reached a point that it was so many feet above the ship's rail. The question is not stated in that particular fashion. We have the Burtoning and then we have it being raised.

THE COURT: If you are talking about words of art,

I think the witness understands that this load was above the

rail and moving across and it is at that point that --

MR. KAIN: Approximately five to six feet above the ship's rail, Mr. Ferenczy, where it started to be Burtoned across the deck.

THE COURT: I will overrule the objection, sir. Go ahead.

Do you want the question read back to you again?

rgrm J.

THE WITNESS: No, it is not necessary.

- Q Would you like this question read back to you?
- A No, I would not.
- Q All right, will you assume this and will you assume the erratic movement as described to you by Mr. Lory yesterday, this swooping down. Would you tell me in your opinion --

A I can't go along with your assumption on the fact that you said the handles were in the slow position. There is no slow position on these handles. I think what you are inferring is that the windlass drums are rotating at a slow speed.

Q As long as we are getting into words of art, Mr. Ferenczy, the windlass is not a cargo winch, is it?

A Winch.

operator here has testified that he raised this cargo on the

A Yes.

or -- until it was a distance of approximately five to six feet -- that is the bottom of the car above the starboard rail of the ship; that he then started to Burton it across the deck very slowly. It is true he made no reference to positions, but very slowly.

A True.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q By slacking slowly on his Burton control and taking in slowly on his up and down control, intending to move it horizontally across the deck --

Ferenczy-cross

A Yes.

-- that at a point above the ship's rail, while his control levers were in this position, the erratic movement described to you yesterday by Mr. Lory took place --

Λ Yes.

It suddenly swooped down with no change of his control levers.

True.

Will you assume further that the winch operator testified that when it started to swoop down he immediately put his Burton control lever in neutral and he put his up and down control lever in full hoist, but that this had no affect on this car, it continued to fall through this arc until it was brought up by the up and down cargo fall and that the up and down cargo fall did not take in this wire fast enough to permit him to check this swooping a derratic motion of this automobile. I ask you if you can tell me, sir, in your opinion, could the actions described by the winch operator result from air bubbles or air pockets in the transmitting systems for these winches?

A Yes, it could.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Is it your opinion that the air pocket or air bubble would prevent, for example, the Burton winch from stopping when it was put into the neutral position?

Ferenczy-cross

Yes, it could.

And is it your opinion that a similar air bubble could prevent the up and down winch from taking in when it was placed in the full hoist position?

In this instance it could, but I don't believe that that is what occurred at this point.

I am asking you, is it your opinion that this erratic movement was caused by an air bubble in each instance.

It could be caused by an air bubble.

Now, will you assume with me that after this erratio motion by this car in falling through this arc, that there came a time when this car was suspended over the square of the hatch directly under the head of the up and down boom and that thereafter, with no repairs or nothing being down to this winch, that the car was thereafter removed from the hatch, taken over and placed back on the dock without incident and without any difficulty. In your opinion, would the same condition that you say permitted this erratic movement in attempting to bring the car aboard have had any affect in taking the car off the ship and putting it back on the dock, assuming nothing was done with the winches? In other words,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

nothing was done to the winch, would the winch operate in the same erratic fashion?

Ferenczy-cross

A It doesn't necessarily have to operate in the same erratic fashion. As I mentioned with the amplifying or attenuating of these vibrations that can reduce the frictional aspects within your system.

Q Can you tell me in your opinion what feature of this transmittal system in effect permitted this winch, or would permit this winch to repair itself so that the car could be put out on the dock without incident?

MR. LORY: Objection, if your Honor please. The witness has not testified that it repaired itself. He merely testified that the reaction need not be the same.

THE COURT: I will sustain the objection only to the form of the question.

All right, will you tell me in your opinion why the action need not be the same.

I don't quite know just where to begin. Are we now going to -- do you want me to qualify any of these things?

No, I just want you to tell me --

THE COURT: Mr. Ferenczy, the question to you, sir, is, can you tell us why the operation would work properly removing the car where it had the swopping when the car was brought in. Mr. Kain, is that a fair paraphrase of your

1911.

question?

MR. KAIN: Yes, sir.

A Yes.

That is precisely my question. Why didn't you have the same reaction from the winch controls in removing it back that you had when you brought it in?

A You wouldn't have to have the same reaction because you are having a reverse process. In racking your load from over the hatch to over the dock where they eventually deposited the car, you now are requiring the Burtoning winch to hoist rather than to lower, you see.

No, just a minute. Let's go back over this again.

We have assumed that this car has come down in a swooping motion and has eventually come to rest over this hatch square, since it came down in its swooping motion it is now directly over the square hanging directly under the head of this up and down boom.

A That is correct.

Now, in order to Burton it across over the rail, is it not so that you have to take it up on this boom, your up and down boom; you have to lift it to get it to the height to go over the rail, don't you?

A Well, you didn't mention that fact in your statement. You said it was hanging over the hatch.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	Q		I ask	you	to	ass	sume,	Mr.	Fere	enczy	', '	that	it	came
down	in	a	swoop	ing	arc	as	desc	ribed	d to	you	by	Mr.	Lo	rУ
yest	erd	ay												

A Yes.

- Q So assuming that it is eventually after this accident over the square of this hatch at a lower height than it was when it was brought over before --
 - A Yes.
- Q In order to raise it you would have to take in on your up and down boom --
 - A That is correct.
 - Q And you would --
 - A You would have to lift first on your up and down.
- Q You might do this in a combination lift on both of them, but there would come a time when these runner angles necessitated your slacking on this one, isn't that so?
 - A That is so.
- Q But when you got over the pier here, in order to put this car down you would have to go through the very same motion that you were doing; you would have to slack on your Burton just like you had to slack to permit it to be Burtoned across; isn't that true?
 - A Yes.
 - Q My question is, if you can tell me, why in your

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

opinion would not the same erratic movement result when you slackened -- assuming it was caused by this air which you say you believe was entrapped in the Burton winch transmitting system, in your opinion, why wouldn't it work the same when you slackened the Burton boom with a car on it on this occasion?

Ferenczy-cross

Because when you had this air, which is an expressible substance, and it is expressed, it is unpredictable in its behavior because of its expansive qualities. This is why it is a very treacherous situation to have.

Q Mr. Ferenczy, this transmitter system, this doesn't operate the cargo winch at all; it has nothing to do with the cargo winch at all, does it?

Except that it signals the cargo winch to hoist or to lower.

It moves the lever, and as you just told us, the same in phase, doesn't it?

Correct. A

If it is out of phase when this car swooped down, how does it get back in phase when the car is lowered to the pier?

It doesn't have to get back in phase because in phase implies, as you have stated, that if the transmitting lever is moved five degrees, the manual lever, too, will move

five degrees.

Q That is if it is in absolute synchronization; is that right?

A We now have a situation where you have to move the transmitting lever say ten degrees to get a response of five degrees on your manual lever, which will lower your load.

Q In your opinion, does the moving of that transmitter lever an extra five degrees in order to get five degrees of lowering or hoisting on the winch, does that in your opinion have any significance with respect to cause this winch to create this erratic movement you were talking about?

A Yes, it does.

Q Why?

A Because if this system is in absolute synchronism, or solidly filled with oil, it means that once you move the transmitting lever -- say your five degrees, you build up a pressure to move your manual lever that five degrees.

When these two motions are identified, the pressure zeroes out. If you have ai. in that system -- and now you must move the transmitting lever ten degrees to get a corresponding movement of five degrees on your manual lever, the manual lever in moving five degrees does not restore the liquid, the pressure in the liquid or the substance to zero pressure. You still have this pressure within your compressed air, and

here is the treachery of it all. At any time, you see, for any reason if the resisting force of those rams at one time lessens slightly less than the pressure contained in that air that can expand, you will have this erratic motion. We do not know when it will occur.

And this is true, in your opinion, I gather, even though the winch operator himself cannot see whether there is synchronization on the winch that he is working with and even though he is not looking at his handle, but watching his draft, so he doesn't know whether he is putting it 5 or 10 or 15 or 20 degrees to move his draft, isn't that so?

A That is so.

placed on the pier that the car was taken off -- and the car handling gear was taken off this cargo hook and that a pallet or basket was put back on and that this -- using the same winches with no changes in them, this pallet or basket was brought aboard, Burtoned across the deck, put in the ship and thereafter the decedent in this case was placed on this pallet or basket, brought up, taken across -- Burtoned across the deck again and put back on the dock. Would you expect, based on your experience, that you would have the same type of erratic motion resulting from what you say was an air pocket or an air bubble?

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

A I would expect it to happen. I could not predict it to happen.

Will you assume with me that the winch operator has testified here that at approximately 11 or 11:30 a.m. he had trouble with the winch controls for these winches because of only one thing, that they operated very stiffly and that he asked, or he complained of this condition and that thereafter somebody from the ship applied oil, or put oil into this control pedestal and moved these handles back and forth, and that thereafter until the time of this accident at approximately 7:15, 7:20 p.m. he experienced no difficulty with these winches, and that he was able to suddenly -- I am sorry, that he was able before this accident to bring two or three cars on board with no difficulty. Based on your prior testimony that this was a gradual process, would you expect that this air bubble to which you referred or this air pocket had in this instance occurred simultaneously or instantaneously, rather?

- A I don't know -- simultaneously means --
- Q I'm sorry, instantaneously is the word I should have used.
- A Instantaneously means without a lapse of time. It could not occur without a lapse of time. The difficulty in manipulating his handles indicates to me that there was a

i6

2 proble

problem of seals, and if he had a problem of seals, and

perhaps when he added oil -- the testimony does not say that

he added oil from a lubricating point or that he had added oil

to rid the system of air.

Q Will you assume with me, Mr. Ferenczy, that the testimony doesn't say whether he added oil from a lubricating gun or whether he added it from some other type of equipment, just that there was oil added.

A That there was oil added. I would assume that, yes.

Would you expect that an experienced winch operator who had been operating winches of this type for a matter of five to seven years would notice an air pocket, if one existed, or an air bubble if one existed in his transmitting system in this type of a winch?

A I think he would.

Q If I understand your testimony correctly, you would not expect, based on your experience, that this type of a condition could occur instantaneously, but that it would be a gradual building up process?

A Generally it would be.

Q You also told me, did you not, that the same erratic movement as described to you by Mr. Lory could be produced by the winch operator if he were careless in operating his winch controls, did you not?

1	rgrm 46 512a Ferenczy-cross 540
2	A We can extend the word "careless" to include this.
3	Q Well, improperly handle the winch controls.
4	A If they were improperly handled, yes.
5	Q The winch controls improperly handled could produce
6	the same type of erratic swooping motion; is that correct?
7	A It could.
8	MR. KAIN: I have nothing further.
9	THE COURT: Why don't we take our recess now.
10	(Recess)
11	THE COURT: Mr. Cohen.
12	CROSS EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. COHEN:
14	Q Mr. Ferenczy, can you tell us when you were first
15	brought into this case?
16	A Do you want the specific date?
17	Q If you have it, yes.
18	A I was called the Thursday prior to the week the
19	case began.
20	Q I'm sorry, you were called Thursday
21	A The Thursday prior to the week the case began.
22	Q Does that mean two weeks ago today?
23	A Yes, it would be two weeks ago today.
24	Q That was the first time you new anything at all
25	about this case; is that correct?

Who was with you on that meeting on Monday morning?

There was someone. I don't know who it was, but

Yes.

Λ

A

23

24

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

rgrm 4	ł	5
--------	---	---

there was someone in the office and he left and then I spoke with Mr. Lory.

Ferenczy-cross

Q Did you thereafter have any further meetings with Mr. Lory?

A Yes. I met Mr. Lory on Tuesday, and I believe that was the first day the actual court case began.

- Q Was anybody present with you at that meeting?
- A Yes. The members -- the family of the deceased.
- Q Anybody else?
- A No, I don't recall anyone else.
- Q Did you have subsequent meetings thereafter with Mr. Lory?
 - A Just in coming down here.
- Q At your meetings with Mr. Lory before this case began, did he make you aware of or familiar with the deposition testimony given by Mr. Pitt?
 - A Yes. He gave me --
- Q If you could answer my questions yes or no we can move along a lot quicker.
 - A I'm sorry.
- Q So that two days ago when you sat at the counsel table while Mr. Lory read that testimony of Mr. Pitt, that was not the first time you heard it, was it?
 - A Well, I had read it.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- If I used the wrong word I will change it. That was not the first time you knew of what Mr. Pitt had said, was it?
 - A No.
 - You had known earlier?
- A Yes.
 - I believe you said to Mr. Kain that you had some experience while working at Bethlehem Steel in testing electric winches; is that correct?
 - Yes, I did.
 - When was the last time that you personally ever operated a hydraulic winch?
 - Perhaps in 1959 or 1960.
 - Under what circumstances? Q
 - I was first assistant engineer on Grace Line Ships.
 - They had hydraulic winches?
 - Yes. We had hydraulic topping winches. A
 - When was the last time you ever operated a hydraulic cargo winch?
 - In the shipyard.
 - I asked you when, sir. If you could stay with my question.
 - I am trying to think. In 1955. A
 - Under what circumstances did you operate a hydraulic

3

4

5

6

7

. 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	nch	in	7	0	5	-	2
TAT 1	ncn	ın	- 1	4	-		,

- A As a trial engineer.
- Q I'm sorry, sir?
- A Trials. Conducting the vehicle through its trials.
- Q Who were you working for at that time?
- A Bethlehem Steel.
- Q So you tested at that time not only electric winches but also occasionally hydraulic winches; is that correct?
 - Λ Yes.
- Q Do I understand correctly, then, that since 1955 you have never operated a hydraulic cargo winch?
 - A That is correct.
- Q And you were never aboard the Huguenot; is that correct?
 - A True.
- Q And you never had any occasion to examine or check or inspect the hydraulic winches aboard the Huguenot; is that correct?
 - A True.
- Q You have no personal knowledge of your own about the vibrations that you say may exist in the operation of these hydraulic winches on the Huguenot, do you?
 - A Specifically aboard the Huguenot, no.
 - Q Do you know, sir, whether or not in these hydraulic

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- winches on the Huguenot there were any dampening devices that were built in to offset any possible vibrations?
 - A I do not know.
 - Q You do not know?
 - A No.
- Ω Did you check the plans or specifications to see if they indicate the presence of such dampening effects before you gave your testimony today about vibrations?
 - A I looked through the plans, yes.
- Q Did you find in the plans any indication of any dampening systems?
 - A No, I did not.
 - Q And you looked through them carefully, did you?
- A Yes, I did.
 - You have been testifying theoretically, if I may use the term; is that correct?
 - A Yes.
 - Q You have been asked to testify on the basis of a number of things that you were asked to assume the truth of; is that right?
 - A Yes.
 - One of the things you were asked to assume the truth of is that as this automobile was raised to a height above the ship's rail of about five or six feet, you were asked to

assume that it then took an erratic downward swinging motion across theship and struck Mr. Iannuzzi; that is one of the things you were asked to assume; is that right?

Ferenczy-cross

A Not that it struck Mr. Iannuzzi, but I was asked to assume that it swang on its arg.

Q Well, did Mr. Lory, in the course of his conferences with you, explain to you what the plaintiff -- what he claims here occurred?

MR. LORY: I am going to object to that, your Honor.
THE COURT: No, I will allow it.

- Q Do you understand my question?
- A No, I do not.
- Q If at any time you don't understand my questions, and I can understand how that can happen, but if that should occur at any time, please tell me and I will try to make it clear.
 - A All right.
- Defore coming to court to testify, did not Mr. Lory acquaint you with the fact that he was contending in this case that this car, as it reached a height of about six feet above the rail, suddenly took an erratic downward swinging motion across the ship and struck Mr. Iannuzzi and knocked him into the hatch below?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Yes. A

- So you knew that was the claim being made; is that right?
 - Yes, I did. A
- One of the things you were asked to assume is that such a situation did, in fact, exist; is that correct?
 - A Yes.
- Whether it did in fact exist or not you don't know, do you?
 - No, I do not.
- If such a situation did exist, namely, such an erratic swinging motion of the draft, the cause of it could be found either in the manner in which the winch was operated or in the winch itself; is that correct?
 - Yes.
- There could be no other possible explanation if such a situation did in fact exist; is that right?
 - A Yes.
- So that when Mr. Lory said to you, "Assume that such a situation existed, and then further assume that the winch operator was competent and was operating the winch properly," he only left you with one alternative; isn't that correct?

MR. LORY: I will object to that, your Honor.

- A No, he didn't leave me with one alternative.

 THE COURT: I will allow it.
 - A (Continuing) He asked me if there could be a situation within the system that would allow for this erratic motion, assuming the skill of the operator was not in question.
 - In other words, if we assume that this incident did occur in that fashion, if we assume that the operator handled his machine properly, then Mr. Lory asked you, do you have an explanation for how this could have happened; is that right?
 - A In essence, yes.
 - O Since we have ruled out any incompetency of the operator by the only assumption left -- the only alternative that would be left necessarily would be a defect in the winch; is that correct?

MR. LORY: I object to that question.

THE COURT: I will sustain an objection to the question in that form.

- If I understand you, sir, this hydraulic fluid that is used in those winches is used because it is non-compressible; correct?
 - A True.
- Q That means that although it is a liquid, it has some of the characteristics of a solid; is that right?

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A True.

Q Therefore when -- what do you call this rectangular object up there? I forget the name you gave that.

A A ram or a plunger.

When that ram is moved to any extent in this
direction, for example --

A Yes.

O It would push the hydraulic fluid and an equal space throughout the entire system, if the system has its full integrity; is that correct?

A True.

Now, if I understand you correctly, if the system no longer possesses its full integrity because there is an air pocket in it, that air pocket will function as a cushion, so to speak, or a sponge?

A To a degree.

Q In other words, at this point here where the air pocket begins, the amount of movement will be absorbed to some extent by the air pocket so that the fluid on the other side of the air pocket will not move to corresponding space as the fluid in the beginning of the air pocket?

A True.

Q Right?

A Yes. True.

And because of that effect the winch, if it has air in the system, you said you would describe it as sluggish in operation; is that right? Wasn't that the term you used yesterday?

- A I don't recall that term.
- Q Well, what you said today with Mr. Kain is that if there is air in that system and the winch operator would push his handle forward five degrees, the end result would only be a two degree forward motion, or something less than five degrees on the actual winch drum itself; is that right?
 - A It could be.
- Q When the handle is in the vertical position, the winch is stopped; is that correct?
 - A True.
 - Q I'm sorry, sir.
 - A I said true. Excuse me.
- Q The further forward that the handle gets pushed, the further away from the vertical the greater is the rotating speed of the actual winch drum; is that right?
 - A Correct.
- Q Similarly, if it is pulled back in the opposite direction, the greater degree from the vertical the handle is moved the greater is the rotating speed of the winch drum in either direction?

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes.

Now, a winch operator who has been handling those winches for a period of time becomes accustomed to seeing that -- and to knowing that as he moves his hands with the lever in them a certain number of degrees he expects to see a certain type of reaction in the draft, does he not?

He does.

As you explained it to us, if there is air in the system, the reaction of the draft will not be what the winch operator would expect; is that correct?

It could be.

It would be less because of the cushioning effect of the air; is that right?

Initially it will be less. A

What we are dealing with is initially, all right?

Yes. A

So that if a winch operator is slackening off a Burton winch that has air in it, the initial effect would be that the winch drum would not slacken off as fast as he would normally expect it to; is that right?

Yes. A

And if the winch drum doesn't rotate or slack off as fast as he would normally expect it to, the draft is not going to move as fast as he would expect it to; is that right?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A True.
- Now, the testimony in this case, sir, is that as Mr. Coppola -- by the way, did you ever meet Mr. Coppola?

Ferenczy-cross

- No, I did not.
- The testimony in this case, sir, by Mr. Coppola, who was operating the winch, is that as he started to slack off the Burton winch, after having raised the draft to a point about six feet off the rail -- let me withdraw that and preface it this way.

Mr. Coppola testified that he had raised the draft, consisting of an automobile, up from the dock to a point about five or six feet over the ship's rail; all right?

A Yes.

- What he proposed to do at that time was to bring it athwart ship so as to get it over the square of the hatch in order to lower it into the square of the hatch; okay?
 - A Yes.
- In order to bring it across the ship from its point approximately six feet over the rail he started to slack off slowly on the Burton while taking in with the up and down; okay?
 - Yes. A
- Is that the proper procedure for a winch operator to do if he wanted to accomplish what Mr. Coppola wanted to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

accomplish?

Yes, it is.

Now, Mr. Coppola testified that as he slacked off with the Burton at that point, the Burton suddenly ran away; all right?

Yes. A

And seeing it run away he did two things, he immediately pulled the handle back to the vertical position and he put the up and down in full hoist; all right?

A True.

Now, the situation that Mr. Coppola has described of the winch -- the Burton winch running away immediately upon his starting to slacken it off is completely at odds with the characteristic of what a Burton winch would do if it had an air pocket in it as you have described, isn't that true?

MR. LORY: Objection, your Honor.

Λ No.

THE COURT: No, I will allow it.

Let's go back, then, so we understand each other.

I thought I had understood you to say that with air in its system, when you start to slacken off on a Burton winch you would have a cushioning effect so it would not move as fast as you might otherwise anticipate; isn't that what

My question, sir, is if you were not present when

23

24

25

allow it.

1	rgrm 61 Ferenczy-cross
2	Mr. Coppola testified, on what basis do you say my question
3	misleads you?
1	A The question misleads me because you indicate that
5	as soon as that lever was moved a slight amount, the winch
3	started to rotate.

Q Did Mr. Coppola tell you any differently?

MR. LORY: Objection. He has already said he didn't speak to Mr. Coppola.

what you are saying. All right. No, I will allow the question. Go ahead.

- Q Did Mr. Coppola tell you anything to the contrary?
- A I never met the man.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Then why do you say that I am misleading you?
- A Because the natural reaction -- as someone indicated, if you apply a brake to an automobile and it doesn't stop the car as you expect it to stop, you automatically, unconsciously apply a little more pressure and this is what happened, I believe, in the case of Mr. Coppola.
- Q This is your psychoanalysis of what Mr. Coppola must have done; is that right?

MR. LORY: Objection, your Honor.

- A I am not a psychoanalysist.
- Q I know that.

7 8

THE COURT: I will allow the question in substance.

Mr. Cohen, put it again.

MR. COHEN: All right.

Q Let me ask you, isn't what you are really saying that there is a basic inconsistency between your theory and what Mr. Coppola has testified, at least as I have given you his testimony?

A No.

Q If Mr. Coppola testified that as he started to slack off the Burton instead of getting a sluggish response he got a runaway Burton, isn't that inconsistent with what you tell us would happen if there is air in the system?

A On the surface it appears as an inconsistency, but when looking at it in detail it is not.

Q If Mr. Coppola would tell us that immediately upon getting this runaway response from the Burton winch he brought that handle back into the vertical position to stop it --

A Yes.

Q That is also inconsistent with your theory; isn't it?

A No, it is not inconsistent with my theory.

Q I believe you said to Mr. Kain that if the handle was brought back into the vertical position, even with air in

Ferenczy-cross

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the system the winch would stop; isn't that right?

I most certainly did. If the pilot valve is restored to the central position.

By the way, when Mr. Lory before you came to court let you read the deposition of Mr. Pitt, did he also let you read the depositions of other people who after this incident checked and inspected these winches?

MR. LORY: Objection, your Honor. At this particular point I will move for a mistrial. This is totally uncalled for. There is nothing in the record to indicate anything on that basis.

THE COURT: I will deny your motion for a mistrial. The question calls only for a yes or no answer.

MR. COHEN: That is all it does call for.

THE WITNESS: Would you restate that question.

MR. COHEN: May we have the reporter read it back, please, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

(Question read.)

I did not read any other deposition.

MR. LORY: If your Honor please, I will renew my objection. There is no indication and there is nothing in this case to indicate that other people had made any inspections. This suggests something that I think is wholly and

grossly prejudicial.

THE COURT: Your objection is noted.

- Q Mr. Ferenczy, I want you to further assume that Mr. Coppola also testified that when he brought the Burton lever back into the vertical or stopped position he also thrust the up and down lever into the full hoist position. All right?
 - A Yes.
- Q That should normally have the effect, should it not, of causing any slack to be taken up by the up and down; is that right?
 - A If we regard a time element it is correct.
- Q And the end result in that situation of having the Burton lever in the up or stopped position and the up and down lever in the full hoist position, the end result should be that the draft is carried across the ship, but at an angle that would take it higher and higher; is that right?
 - A No.
 - O No?
- A No, this is not right because -- can you give me some indication as to the time it had taken for that load to drop and you are now telling me that a man's reflexes would be faster than the time it took for that load to drop to make these changes in the lever system, for this associated system reactions to get this winch to prevent that load from

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

falling? That load fell, I feel, before Mr. Coppola or anyone else could have had the celerity of his reflexes to affect a change in the levers.

Well, then, you wouldn't assume what Mr. Coppola testified to?

MR. LORY: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: No, I will allow that.

Are you unwilling to assume because you believe it is incredible what Mr. Coppola testified to?

A Not at all incredible. I am merely saying that there was not a sufficient interval of time for his response to be shown in the action of the winches.

- I see. How long a period of time elapsed? Q
- When this load dropped?
- Yes, sir. Q

MR. LORY: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: If he knows or if he was advised of that or took that into consideration.

MR. COHEN: No, I will put it this way.

Mr. Ferenczy, you are saying there wasn't a sufficient amount of time to permit this activity on the part of Mr. Coppola; is that right?

A Yes.

Now, I am asking you in view of that answer how much

- time do you say elapsed. 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- A minute fraction of a second.
- Until what happened? What do you say happened with this draft?
 - A What occurred.
 - Q What occurred?
 - MR. LORY: Objection, your Honor.
- THE COURT: No, I will allow this. This is cross examination.
- In other words, where did the draft end up? Describe the full path of the draft.
- A The draft ended swinging on the up and down whip, swinging on its radius, and it came to rest directly underneath the top of the boom.
- Q Then if it came to rest after swinging under the up and down, I assume that at some point in its path, it had gone beyond the head of the up and down boom over toward the coaming on the offshore side; is that correct?
 - MR. LORY: Again an objection, your Honor.
 - It is within the realm of possibility.
- MR. LORY: This witness was not there and it is not in the record.
- A It is within the realm of possibility. I don't know.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. LORY: Your Honor, I must protest. Mr. Cohen is asking this witness to speculate as to facts not in evidence. He is not presenting proper hypothetical questions.

THE COURT: This witness has himself speculated as to facts not in evidence about this man subconsciously pushing the lever farther than he testified he pushed it.

MR. LORY: If your Honor please, you have here winches which operate with a single motion of the control. It is not like other winches that have been described here which have points.

THE COURT: Mr. Lory, I do not think the colloquy gets us anywhere. I will permit an exploration into the basis of the man's opinion and this is cross examination. Go ahead, sir.

Q You are saying that if this draft followed that erratic movement that you have been asked to assume without your personally knowing, that it occurred so quickly that neither Mr. Coppola nor any other winchman would have been able to bring the Burton handle back into the up and down position and to thrust the up and down lever into the full hoist position; is that correct?

Yes, depending on how rapidly the Burton winch unreeled.

So that if I understand you correctly, Mr. Ferenczy

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that would mean that somewhere over the inshore rail that draft would start to swing down and across the ship, and my question to you is, what would stop it? A Would you restate that again? Yes. As that draft swung from a point above the

ship's rail, it swung in a path downward and across the ship, what would stop it? How far would it swing?

Depending upon how slack your Burton whip was.

Mr. Coppola said that the Burton ran out. Now, how far would it swing before it would stop?

MR. LORY: If your Honor please, Mr. Coppola said that he also stopped the draft over the square of the hatch. I think this witness is entitled to know this.

As you visualize this, what would stop the swing of that draft and where would it stop?

- What would stop the swing? A
- Yes. Q
- As the draft started to ascend on the arc. A
- Ascend? Q
 - Ascend. Λ
- Before it ascends it reaches it low point; is that Q right?
 - That's right. Λ
 - Then it starts to go up higher on the other extreme; Q

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is that correct?

- Yes. A
- How far would it extend in its ascension?
- When it is ascending, the energy it builds up would be equal to its momentum and then it would stop and start a descent.
 - It would be sort of like a pendulum; is that right?
 - That's correct. A
- So that if it started in its descent over the ship's rail on this side, it would pretty much end its ascent somewhere over the ship's rail on the other side; is that correct?
 - MR. LORY: Objection, your Honor.
 - A No, not at all.
 - THE COURT: Well, he answered.
- How far would it get?
 - THE COURT: Wait a minute. I think Mr. Lory's objection there is well taken, to the extent that you are now discussing the absence of any restraining influence of any other lines. We did have testimony here as I recall it that it had a pendulum-like effect, but I do not recall the extent of the pendulum-like effect.

MR. COHEN: You see, my problem is that the witness would not accept that testimony from Mr. Coppola.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Mr. Ferenczy, you heard Mr. Lory make an objection a moment ago based on the grounds that Mr. Coppola testified that he was able to stop this draft while it was over the square of the hatch. Did you hear Mr. Lory say that?
 - A I did indeed.
- Now that you heard Mr. Lory say it, will you please accept it as the testimony that Mr. Coppola gave that he was able to stop that draft while it was over the square of the hatch, all right?
 - A I never questioned it.
 - Q Good.

Now, how did he stop it?

- A By manipulating his control levers on the transmitter.
 - O Which control lever?
 - A The Burton, to stop the swing.
- Q That is the one that you say was not functioning properly because it had air in it; correct?
 - A That's correct.
- Q You had testified yesterday that the winch operator is the brains of the equipment. That was your terminology,
 I believe.
 - A I believe it was.
 - Q That does not mean that he has got to be an

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

intellectual, does he? That wasn't the sense in which you referred to him?

Ferenczy-cross

Not at all. A

- You meant he was the one who initially generates the impulses to be generated to the system; is that right?
 - Λ True.
- The system should follow the impulses he transmits to it; is that correct?
 - A It should.
- In order to keep a draft that you want to bring across a ship on an even keel, it is necessary, is it not, to coordinate the movements of your up and down winch with the Burton winch so that what you are slacking off with the Burton winch you are picking up with the up and down?
 - True. A
- And if you should slack off on the Burton faster than you are picking up on the up and down, the draft will have a tendency to go down?
 - A True.
- Conversely, if you should slack off on the Burton and pick up at a faster rate on the up and down, the draft will have a tendency to go up?
 - Λ True.
 - The system that you have drawn on the board is a

closed system, is that so?

A Yes.

Q So that if there is an air pocket in the system it remains in the system unless it is bled off in some way as you have indicated could be done?

A True.

Q The effect of the air that is in the system will always make itself felt in that system if there is air there, will it not?

A If there is air there.

Q I want you to further assume as a fact that Mr.

Coppola testified that when he brought the Burton lever back into the vertical or stopped position and he thrust the up and down lever into the full hoist, that the up and down winch did not pick up. Now, do you have an explanation for the up and down winch not picking up at that time?

MR. LORY: Objection, your Honor. That is not the testimony of Mr. Coppola.

MR. COHEN: I believe it was, your Honor.

THE COURT: Let's see. My memory of it was he said the up and down did not do it. That is what I have written in my notes.

MR. LORY: His testimony was it did not pick up fast enough; it did not take up the slack quickly enough.

MR. COHEN: That is not so. He said it did not pick up as your Honor has it.

of that testimony will govern. If there is any question about it in your deliberations, you may have it read to you.

Q In other words, Mr. Ferenczy, what I am trying to establish is, as we have already discussed, that you have to pick up with the up and down as much as you are slacking off with the Burton to keep this on an even keel going across the ship; right?

A True.

Q If the Burton should start to slack off faster, you can nonetheless keep it on an even keel by picking up faster with the up and down?

A Within limits.

Q Yes. So long as they are in synchronization with each other, is that right?

A Yes.

Q If Mr. Coppola testified that he put the up and down into full hoist and it did not do it, it did not pick up, do you have an explanation for why the up and down failed to function at that point in time?

MR. LORY: Objection. There is nothing in the record to indicate that the up and down failed to function.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. COHEN: If your Honor please, this was Mr. Coppola's testimony.

THE COURT: This was his testimony.

MR. LORY: I mean, it didn't do it, your Honor, and yet we end up with his further testimony that he managed to stop the draft over the square of the hatch, it did not do it momentarily. I mean, this is what I understood his testimony was.

MR. COHEN: He stopped the draft, as Mr. Ferenczy said, by putting it --

MR. LORY: I also remind your Honor that Mr. Coppola is in the employ of Mr. Cohen's client.

MR. COHEN: That isn't true for a long time.

May we have the statement that Mr. Coppola is in the employ of my client stricken. That has not been so for several years.

THE COURT: Yes. Disregard the comments of counsel.

They are not in evidence.

- A May I have the question reread?
- Q I wish we would. I have forgotten it, too.

(Question read.)

MR. LORY: I renew my objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: It is noted. You may answer that.

A I do have an explanation.

- ,-

O Please let us have it.

A The winch is capable of picking up a five-ton load. Now, before it can pick up the five-ton load, as I explained to you there is an element of time to allow the resistence of this load to build up to a point so that the selector valves can come into play to allow the oil and its associated pressure to act on the three chambers giving it maximum hoist. Maximum hoist -- and I wish I had my paper and then I could read what it is. My yellow paper I believe has that and it will save me time looking for that. Could you hand me the yellow sheet, sir.

- Q Do you want some yellow paper to write on?
- No. I just had put down some things here.

Yes, on page 18 it says that, "The winch is capable of a five-ton lift." It doesn't take too much imagination to realize that when that load was swinging on its arc when Mr. Coppola attempted to lift the load that it was descending. Therefore, its effective weight was much greater than its actual weight, you see.

- Q What was its actual weight, sir?
- A I don't know.
- Q If you don't know its actual weight, can you tell us what its effective weight was?
 - A I can give it to you as an expression. Its

i

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

effective weight is its actual weight plus its mass multiplied by its velocity squared. That would be its effective weight.

- Q How much would that be for an automobile?
- A Which could have well exceeded the five-ton weight.
- Q How much would that be for a heavy automobile, approximately?

MR. LORY: If your Honor please, the witness has no way of having an initial way upon which to base anything further.

THE COURT: I will allow the question.

- A I would rather not hazard a guess at this moment, sir, because --
- Q I don't want you to guess, but could you tell us approximately within a couple of hundred pounds what you estimate the effective weight of that automobile? It was described to us as a heavy automobile, or a large automobile. Can you tell us within a couple of hundred pounds approximately what you considered the effective weight of that would have been?

MR. LORY: If your Honor please, I renew the objection. We have to have a weight to start with.

THE COURT: I seem to have the memory that the purposes of direct testimony -- the witness had given an

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

. 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

_			
FOR	renc	7V-C	ross
Y (- 7	- CIIC	Ly -	1000

estimate of what he understood the car to weigh being in the vicinity of two tons. Did you use that expression?

MR. LORY: No, Mr. Kain suggested that to him, if your Honor please.

THE COURT: Well, was it adopted by you, Mr.

Ferenczy?

THE WITNESS: He said make it an assumption the car weighs two tons.

- Q Would it offend your understanding of what large cars weigh to assume that it weighs two tons?
 - A No, it would not offend me.
- Q Can you tell us approximately what the effective weight of such a car would be as you visualize it?
 - A It could easily exceed 1000.
 - Q It could easily exceed what, sir?
 - A 1000 pounds.

THE COURT: More in its descent.

- Q So that might be about three tons. These are fiveton wiches, you said?
 - A Yes.
 - Q They could accommodate 10,000 pounds?
- A On a vertical hoist. You see, you have to bring in a vector component here. The hoist -- when he attempted to lift this load, the hoist was not directly under his boom tip.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- - Q Taking into account those other factors that you have described, its mass and velocity and so on, I think you said you would have an effective weight of about another thousand pounds altogether, approximately; is that right?
 - A No. It is not right.
 - Q What is the effective weight, then, that you have been talking about if we start off with a large car weighing about two tons?
 - A You must tell me where the load was situated so I can determine the angle at which this load is being supported.
 - Q So if I cannot tell you that, you then cannot tell us whether or not that would have exceeded the five-ton capacity of the winch; is that right?
 - A I am not telling you that it did.
 - Oh, you are not saying it exceeded the five-ton capacity of the winch?
 - A No, I am not at all.
 - Q I thought you were suggesting that.
 - No. I most certainly did not.
 - Q Can we go back and can you explain to us why it was

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

. 25

in a hoisting position, put it into full hoist it didn't work?

MR. LORY: Objection, again. That is not the testimony in the record.

THE COURT: Can you use the testimony as best you can recall it?

MR. COHEN: I'm sorry, your Honor?

THE COURT: I think Mr. Lory is questioning the use of the word "work". It was either that it didn't do it or it didn't quite do it.

MR. COHEN: He said it didn't do anything.

THE COURT: It didn't do it or it didn't quite do it was what he said.

Q In other words, as I understand it, Mr. Coppola was trying to use the up and down to pick up faster because of the accelerated speed at which he said the Burton was slackening off, and that would be a proper reaction in a situation like that, wouldn't it?

A It would.

Dut when he says he put the up and down into full hoist, in order to get it to accelerate faster to compensate for the additional acceleration of the Burton slacking, running out, he said the up and down didn't do it.

Now, can you explain to us why it was that at that

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

juncture in time, if you know, the up and down didn't do it?

A It didn't do it in the specified time that the load took to descend because we know the winch was operable later on.

- Q Which winch was operable later on?
- A Both winches.
- Q What significance is the fact that both winches were operable later on?

A The significance is that you must consider this whole situation, this whole problem within specified time zones and you are not doing this, or Mr. Coppola in his testimony did not mention this. He said the winch didn't do it. It didn't raise the load in that specified time.

- Q It didn't take it up; it didn't take up the slack?
- A It didn't take up the slack within that very small increment of time.
 - Q That is what Mr. Coppola said, right?
 - A No. Mr. Coppola didn't say that. I am saying that.
- Q Those levers that the winch operator uses to activate the winch, they are subject to the elements, are they not?
 - A They are.
 - Q They may rust or corrode?
 - A If not maintained.
 - Q If they get stiff in their motion, might that be due

to corrosion or rusting or something of that sort?

- A It could be due to the salt environment.
- Q If you were an officer on a ship and received a complaint that the lever was stiff, hard to move, would you go to check the joint in which the lever is attached to the system to see if there is any salt or cofrosion or rust?
 - A I think I would.
- Q And you would correct a stiffness in the lever by lubricating that area, would you not?
 - A If lubrication was necessary, yes.
- Q Or if there was any foreign matter there you would clear away the foreign matter.
 - A Yes.
- Q If you were going to lubricate a winch lever because it is stiff, could you show us on either of these photographs, sir, Exhibits A or B, just whereabout it would be that you would apply the lubrication?
- A Generally there is a very small bearing exteriorwise.

 I think that might have a possibility of hanging up. So I would lubricate that. I would also make sure that the seals were properly -- not only properly lubricated, but that they were in good repair.

MR. COHEN: May we have the marking pencil, please.

Do you have it, Mr. Kain?

	F495
1	rgrm 82 Ferenczy-cross 576
2	Q These small bearings that you would look at, they
3	are on the outside of this system, are they not?
4	A I would think they are.
5	Q Could you draw an arrow it is on this photograph
6	is it not, Exhibit A, that you would expect to find them?
7	A It would generally be in this area here (indicating
8	Q Could you just draw an arrow there.
9	A Well, something like that.
10	Q Could you make it a little darker, please.
11	A And you might have
12	Q Could you make that first arrow darker.
13	A It would be generally in that area there.
14	Q That is on the outside of the housing where the
15	lever is attached to the housing itself; is that right?
16	A Yes. It could occur there.
17	Q That is where you would expect to lubricate, if you
18	are lubricating for a stiff lever?
19	A Not only there.
20	Q Well, tell us where else.
21	A As I said, the internals of this within the casing,

I would then look to see if I had any type of undue dreg on your little plungers with respect to moving the lever.

22

23

24

- Q Would that require taking apart this casing?
- A It might and it might not. It might be --

Q If you were going to look inside the casing, wouldn't you have to take it apart, or is there some way you could get in without taking it apart?

A No. I could tell by my operational procedure prior just how often this problem occurred, how often I had to add oil. This is the only indication as to wear and tear.

I am not sure if I fully understand you. If there is a complaint that a lever is stiff in motion, I believe you said that would normally call for some lubrication to be applied in the area where you have drawn this area on --

- A I said it could be involved there.
- Q Yes. That is where you would lubricate?
- A You could lubricate at that point.
- Q All right.

A I am specifically making that remark, but what I am saying to really understand where your problem is you have to consider the history of the unit to see just how often you have to lubricate or to add oil; how often the purging is necessary.

O I see.

A This is going to indicate the general quality of wear on your system.

Q I would like you to assume that in the two days that these winches were being worked before this accident occurred

rgrm 84

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ferenczy-cross

there was a complaint by the winch operator, Mr. Coppola, only one time, and that was between 11 and 11:30 in the morning of November 24; that he was experiencing some stiffness with one of the handles of the winch; all right?

A Yes.

Q And that aside from that complaint he had no trouble with these winches at all in those two days; all right?

A Yes.

Q Now, upon receiving such a complaint, where, if anyplace, would lubrication be applied?

A The complaint is a strange one to me.

Q It is?

A It is.

Q In what sense is it strange to you?

A Because the winches were in operation. They were in operation the day before and I can hardly see in the course of this layover period of one night, or a matter of hours how any serious corrosion problem could develop that would render this handle difficult to move. In my mind's eye I cannot qualify that.

Q In your mind's eye you cannot?

A Qualify it.

Q You find it hard to accept?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I find it hard to find reasons for it. I am not denying it, certainly.

Ferenczy-cross

All right.

An air pocket in the system itself would have no affects upon any stiffness in the lever, would it?

Hardly. A

Q As a matter of fact, I think you said to Mr. Kain that if there is air in there it makes the lever easier to work.

It could very well. Λ

- So these two things are unrelated; is that correct?
- They seem to be. A
- When you say they seem to be unrelated, you are testifying on the basis of your knowledge of this kind of winch and hydraulics and engineering and mechanics, aren't you?

Yes. Λ

MR. COHEN: May I just show the jury where this witness placed the arrow on Exhibit A, your Honor?

THE COURT: All right, sure.

(Exhibit shown to jury.)

On this same Exhibit A, just to the left of where your arrow is, there are three little things sticking up from the top of the winch and they all have red circles on them.

Q By the way, on this photograph, Exhibit B -- that shows the bottom part of this whole winch apparatus, do you notice a couple of pedals there?

23

24

1	rgrm 87	Ferenczy-cross 581
2	A	Yes, I do.
3	Q	Do you know what they are?
4	Λ	Yes, I do.
5	Q	Could you tell us, please?
6	Α	They are the brake pedals.
7	Q	What do they do?
8	Q	They mechanically stop the winch from turning.
9	They are	hydraulically connected to brake bands on the winch
10	drum	
11	Q	There are two separate winches, the port and
12	starboard	
13	Λ	Yes.
14	Q	And there are two separate pedals. Is it one pedal
15	for each	of the winches?
16	Λ	Yes.
17	Q	Would it be the pedal on the port side that would
18	be the br	take for the port side winch and the pedal on the
19	starboard	side that would be the pedal for the starboard side
20	winch?	
21	Λ	Yes, I would assume so.
22	Q	Does that braking system operate hydraulically also?
23	A	Yes, it does.
24	Q	Does that operate independently of the transmission
25	system?	

1	rgrm 88 Ferenczy-cross 582							
2	A The transmission system the remote control system?							
3	Q Yes.							
4	A Yes, it does.							
5	Q So that any air in the remote control system which							
6	might slow down or make that system sluggish would not have							
7	any affect on the braking system that is operated by these							
8	pedals, would it?							
9	A No.							
10	THE COURT: Mr. Cohen, would you be much longer,							
11	or should we recess for lunch?							
12	MR. COHEN: I don't think I will be much longer,							
13	but I think Mr. Lory will have some questions.							
14	MR. LORY: I will not have many.							
15	MR. COHEN: Then I may just have one question.							
16	THE COURT: Fine.							
17	MR. KAIN: If your Honor please, I expect to have							
18	a few questions. Not many, but a few.							
19	THE COURT: Then we will recess for lunch, ladies							
20	and gentlemen, until 10 minutes after 2.							
21	(Luncheon recess.)							
22								
2%								

AFTERNOON SESSION

3

1

2

2:15 P.M.

4

(In open court; jury present.)

5

EDWARD FERENCZY, resumed.

6

MR. COHEN: I have no further questions of Mr.

7

Ferenczy, your Honor.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

8

THE COURT: All right.

9

10

BY MR. LORY:

11

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Ferenczy, so the record is clear, this remote system that you have drawn for us with the transmitter lever at the top, the top portion of Plaintiff's Exhibit 15, the pilot valve beneath with the phase adjustment section inbetween.

Now, on the hoist side you have one segment and on the lower side you have another segment. Those segments are completely separated, are they not?

A The left segment is separated from the right segment. In the remote control system in the lower drawing where it shows the manual handle, they are not completely separated.

What I have reference to is the left side of the brand and the left side of the pilot valve with the connections inbetween --

A That is one segment and that segment is completely

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

separated from a similar segment on the right side; is that correct?

A Yes, it is.

- Q The only connection between those two would be the phase adjustment control?
 - A That is so.
- When operating a hydraulic winch, is the manipulation of the control handle one continuous motion until you receive some reaction at the end of the cargo fall?

MR. COMAN: I am going to object. It is going to depend on the individual operator and the circumstances.

THE COURT: Yes. I will sustain the objection on the grounds of form.

Q I believe you told Mr. Kain, Mr. Ferenczy, that in the operation of hydraulic winches the operator will view the draft or the cargo --

A Yes.

Q -- and maniplate his handles until sometime as he gets some reaction; is that true?

A Yes.

Now, yesterday when you were describing to us the remote system and the affect of air in that system, was it your intention to tell us what would develop within that system as the hand progressivly traveled in one direction in?

1	557a 585
1	rgrm 91 Ferenczy-redirect
2	MR. COHEN: I object to what his intention was.
3	MR. KAIN: I join in that objection.
4	THE COURT: Yes, I sustain the objection.
5	Q Would you agree, Mr. Ferenczy, that in operating a
6	hydraulic winch system such as we have here, that the intention
7	of using the remote system is to get a similar motion on the
8	main system with respect to the control?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And the distances that the control lever on the
11	remote system is moved would be determined by the reaction
12	of the draft?
13	Λ Yes.
14	Q Mr. Ferenczy, when I first called you into this
15	action, were you informed that we had
16	MR. COHEN: Objection to what he was informed.
17	THE COURT: Objection sustained.
18	Q When I first called you into this action, Mr.
19	Ferenczy, did I tell you anything
20	MR. COHEN: Objection to what he told him.
21	THE COURT: Well, there were certain questions on
22	cross examination as to the nature of the problem that was put

to this witness by Mr. Lory.

MR. COHEN: That is not about what he was about to ask.

23

24

XX

THE COURT: Then that is another matter. I thought he was getting into that.

MR. LORY: While he is still here, I would like this supplemental pretrial memorandum marked, please.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 16 marked for identification.)

MR. LORY: So the record will show, this is plaintiff's supplemental pretrial memorandum, and if your Honor please I should like to read from this.

THE COURT: On what basis?

MR. COHEN: I never heard of this, he reads from his own supplemental pretrial order.

MR. LORY: If your Honor please, there has been a suggestion --

THE COURT: Let's come to the side bar for this.

(At the side bar.)

THE COURT: Yes, go ahead.

MR. LORY: If your Honor please, there has been a suggestion made before the jury that Mr. Ferenczy was called in out of the blue just weeks ago. We did have another expert. We filed a paper with the Court, this particular memorandum, indicating why this particular expert was not called. We also told Mr. Ferenczy the fact that we did have another expert and what the circumstances were that prompted his

24

25

1	rgrm 93 Ferenczy-redirect
2	being called. I think this jury is entitled to know this
3	based upon the cross examination put to this witness by Mr.
4	Cohen. Whether this is done directly by the Court
5	THE COURT: All I think Mr. Cohen did was to
6	establish the time of his first meeting with you. I do not
7	think anything was gone into as the fact that he was
8	replacing somebody or anything else.
9	MR. COHEN: The fact that he never saw this ship or
10	never boarded it, I think that was relevant.
11	THE COURT: I think when he first saw it and what
12	was said to him
13	MR. LORY: He also suggested that Mr. Ferenczy
14	adopted any theory put to him.
15	THE COURT: But this does not affect that issue at
16	all.
17	MR. KAIN: That has no bearing, I submit, your Honor
18	on the witness.
19	THE COURT: No. I will sustain the objection.
20	(In open court.)
21	MR. LORY: I have no further questions.
22	THE COURT: If I may ask a question, if you
23	gentlemen do not mind, and, Mr. Kain, if that model can be so

Perhaps Mr. Molanphy can so turn it.

rigged that the hook of the lift is over the rail of the vessel.

BY THE COURT:

MR. KAIN: Do you wish to rerig the cargo hook?

THE COURT: No, just take the Burton down a little and also the up and down. Now the Burton down a little bit so it is over the rail of the vessel. Just a little bit more. It would be a little higher now. It would be just as though -- the draft, as I recall, was coming across -- yes, along in there. Thank you very much.

Q Mr. Ferenczy, as I understand it -- and I am now discussing with you only theory not having to do with what happened here -- but only theory as to which you have given testimony. Assuming there was an air lock in that remote control -- that air bubble in that remote system as you have described there and the handle is put in the neutral position, the pilot valve will immediately return to the middle position, will it not?

A Yes, it would.

Q Now, if it returns to the middle position, the thing you described as pilot valve there, that immediately stops the winch itself through its operation on the manual control; does it not?

A Yes.

Q Regardless, therefore, of whenever the witness here put the Burton handle in the neutral position, I gather that

2 at suc

1

3

4

5

6

, 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

at such time as he did all signals to the manual system would be neutral and the manual system would stop?

A Correct.

Now, and I direct your attention now to the model which is one of our exhibits on this trial, the Burton boom is the one that is out of the dock. Am I correct that if at the time Mr. Coppola put the Burton handle into the neutral position, the cables were as you now see them, isn't it necessarily so that the only place that that draft could have gone is up on the arc of the radius of the fall from the Burton boom, because the Burton winch had been automatically put in the stopped position?

A If the Burton boom had been put in the automatic stop position, that would be.

Q That is my question to you.

A Yes. But if there is air in this system there is going to be a time lag before you get the response, you see, down here from his transmitting lever.

- Q If you put the handle in the neutral position, isn't there more pressure on the left side pushing that pilot valve back because that left side is nothing but oil and the right side has air?
 - A Well, see, again, for the sake --
 - Q We are making assumptions. I will withdraw my

- 11		
0 11	questic	n

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

- question.
 - A If what you say were true, if that were correct.
 - Q Thank you very much.

Are there any questions prompted by my questions?

MR. KAIN: I have some that may be related to it,
your Honor, but I had them before your question.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. KAIN.

- Q Mr. Ferenczy, isn't it true that with respect to this cargo winch we are discussing, that is the cargo winches at the forward end of No. 3 hatch of the Huguenot, there is no free spooling device on those winches, is there, sir?
 - A No, there is not.
 - Q Will you answer the question.
 - A No, there is not.
- Q That means that in using these winches you have nothing like you might have on a fishing reel where if you take the drag off the weight will run it down rapidly?
 - A True.
- Q With these winches the wire has to, in effect, be driven on to the drum and driven off the drum; is that correct?
 - A That is correct.

am talking now about the remote system -- if the control lever say is put at a five degree hoist -- I'm sorry, lowering, five degrees. Let's assume that he is operating it slowly, and if because of an air bubble the lower level there as shown in that diagram which controls the winch, which is what actually controls the wire, the cargo winch itself; if because of an air bubble that only comes over say two degrees as you described for Mr. Cohen, or two and a half degrees, if this transmitter handle is never changed, it is impossible for this winch handle to ever go any further than the transmitter handle. It may eventually correct itself because of this air bubble, but it won't go past what is shown on the transmitter, will it?

- A No. That is an incorrect statement.
- Q That is an incorrect statement?

A Yes, sir, it is. It is incorrect for this reason, that we do know from Newton's law that a body at rest tends to remain at rest and a body in motion tends to remain in motion, so, therefore, if that handle were moved say the five degrees and it only resulted in building up enough force to move that pilot valve the two degrees, because of the expansive qualities in that air you are still getting a force, but a lesser force. This lesser force may be quite sufficient

rgrm 98

to	keep	this	body	in	motion	and,	therefore,	it	could	very
wel	ll exc	ceed -								

- Q And make it go faster?
- A Yes, it could.
- Q How much faster could it go? Is there any way you could estimate it?
- A How much further? I can't determine this with so many unknowns.
- Q Now may I ask you something else, sir. Assuming a draft being lowered on this Burton fall with this Burton winch. If you opened that winch to its full power -- and you have already agreed with me it has to be driven.
 - A Yes, I did.
- The maximum speed that you could lower that draft, driving it at full power, because it doesn't fall free, you agreed with me, would be, I think, 110 meters per minute; is that correct? Would you look at page 18.
 - A Yes, I would -- I will, excuse me.

 That is correct, 110 meters per minute.
- Q That comes out, does it not, to about six feet per second?
- A Does it come out to six feet per second? I don't know.
 - Q Is that what your mathematics reveal? Could you

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ferenczy-recross

compute that quickly and tell me if that is about what it comes to per second?

A Yes, I will. About that. Just about that.

Now, if we assume that this is the Burton boom and that this is the Burton cargo fall, do you agree with me that the maximum speed with which any object secured to the bottom of this would be 110 feet -- I'm sorry, would be six feet per second?

A Yes.

Q Now, the maximum speed you can drive this up is not the same, is it?

A No. it is not.

O Because of the construction of the winch?

A Yes.

Q So assuming all things being equal, you can lower a load faster than you could bring it up --

A Yes.

Q That is because it only has to in lowering through one of those or phases that you talked about?

A Yes.

So assume a cargo of this size, approximately two tons, the maximum you could raise with your up and down boom would be 55 meters per minute, wouldn't it?

A If the car weighs two tons it might be the 55, or

it probably would be the 2.5, because at that angle -- as I mentioned, the effective weight is greater. You see, if that car, say it weighs -- we did say something like two tons.

That doesn't mean that the load on each windlass is one ton.

You see, because we have to go into a calculation here that in order to lift something at an angle it takes a greater force than the weight of the object.

- Q Would it be accurate to say that assuming you were lowering full speed with your Burton and raising full speed with your up and down, that roughly the ratio would be two to one; is would go down about twice as fast as it came up?
- A Yes, I would say roughly that would be correct.
- Q So that would mean, on the figures we have been using, assuming Mr. Coppola had this up and down winch at full speed in a hoist position, that the maximum rate that this weight could fall would be three feet per second; is that about right?

A Okay. I don't know if it is quite right, but it seems reasonable.

O There is only one other thing I would like to clear up with you. You were talking this morning about effective weights. In this position is the weight of an object falling -- say if this object were falling, is the weight on this line greater when it is falling at an angle like this, at

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

least where the cable is at an angle, or is it greater when it is directly under the head of the boom falling; which is the greater weight -- effective weight, if you will?

Ferenczy-recross

- Falling vertically.
- Falling vertically directly under the head of the boom or falling as we have the Burton?
 - If it were falling directly, it would be the most. A
 - It would be a greater weight, more effective? 0
 - Yes. Λ
 - Thank you. Q

MR. COHEN: No questions.

THE COURT: Mr. Lory?

MR. LORY: No questions.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir, you are excused.

(Witness excused.)

MR. LORY: If your Honor please, I have a report from the New York Shipping Association with respect to the hours worked by Mr. Iannuzzi during the years of 1967 and 1968, plus --

THE COURT: Has there been consultation about this exhibit?

MR. KAIN: I have agreed with Mr. Lory that insofar as the hours and other material there, I conceded those are authentic insofar as the records. Now, part of it -- the

rgrm 107

MR. KAIN: Yes. If your Honor please, at this time the defendant moves to dismiss the negligence count of the complaint on the grounds that there has been no proof of notice to this defendant of any defective condition or of any situation for this accident and I submit to your Honor that in the absence of proof of notice, either actual or constructive, the plaintiff has failed to make out and sustained his burden of proof, I should say, with respect to his negligence count.

The defendant also moves to dismiss the complaint on the unseaworthiness count on the basis that the only competent evidence in this case as to the causal question between the operation of the winch and this accident is the testimony of Mr. Ferenczy that the erratic motion described could result from the improper operation of the controls by the winch operator. I submit to your Honor that there is no testimony, no competent testimony in this case that there was any defective or unseaworthy condition in this winch. The assumption of air in the remote system is just that, an assumption by Mr. Ferenczy, with no basis of proof, and indeed the testimony is that following this accident, without any repairs or any changes of any kind whatsoever in these winches, the car was returned to the dock; the cargo hook was rerigged; the basket or pallet was brought back on board and

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE

1 2

that subsequently using the same cargo winches Mr. Iannuzzi
was returned to the pier and subsequently removed to the
hospital. On this basis I submit to your Honor that there is
no competent proof in this case of any defect in these cargo
winches.

MR. COHEN: May I, if your Honor please, join in both branches of Mr. Kain's motion, and may I just be heard for a moment in short argument in support of it, to this effect, sir --

THE COURT: Surely.

MR. COHEN: It seems to me if I understood what has been transpiring in this courtroom that Mr. Lory's claim is that the vehicle was unseaworthy because the transmitting part, or the remote part of the Burton winch system had an air pocket in it and that that condition in this hydraulic system rendered the vehicle unseaworthy.

Now, if your Honor please, Mr. Lory's own expert,
Mr. Ferenczy, has testified that the presence of such an air
pocket in that system would have the effect of making the
system sluggish; in other words, it would retard the motion.
The testimony from the fact witnesses, your Honor, is that
the motion of the winch was not retarded, but was accelerated,
the very converse of the situation that would exist if Mr.
Ferenczy's premise were to be accepted.

1

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

25

23

Accordingly, if your Honor please, even if there were air in that system, that could not have been the competent producing causes of this occurrence. As Mr. Ferenczy himself said, you could have air there and sometimes it would be operative and sometimes it wouldn't be operative, it is unpredictable. That is the plaintiff's proof when he rests his case. He rests it on that basis. Accordingly, there is no competent proof of any causal relationship whatever between the kind of defect that he claims rendered this ship unseaworthy and the happening of this accident. Indeed, it would seem to me from what I have heard, if I understood it, that this could be a -- it would have to have been completely unrelated because what the people who were present testified to was a situation that would be the very opposite of what Mr. Ferenczy would expect if this air problem were operative.

THE COURT: Mr. Lory, do you want to be heard on either of those motions?

MR. LORY: Certainly, your Honor. Firstly, in order to prove plaintiff's case, all that is necessary is the fact that we show that there was in existence aboard this vehicle at the time of this occurrence a condition that resulted in this particular accident. This condition has been described by Mr. Coppola. It has also been confirmed by Mr. Scotto in that, as the car was being raised from the dock and brought

to a position that was approximately five or six feet above the ship's rail, that as Mr. Coppola started to take his strain on his up and down and started to slack off on his Burton in order to carry this car across the dock, that the winch acted erraticly in that the up and down could not take up the slack as fast as the Burton let it go to the end that this vehicle swooped across the deck. At this particular point we come to a crossroads, as we do in any case, and that is this, particularly based upon the facts and also common sense.

No. 1, either there was, in fact, a mechanical failure or there was, in fact, winchman failure. In any event, this is a question of fact that must be determined by the jury. It is not something that can be ruled upon as a matter of law.

With respect to the suggestions as to what we attempted to prove beyond this, we have attempted to give this jury and this Court a plausible reason for the bizarre behavior of this winch, the bizarre movement of this particular draft. We told the Court, and our witness has told the Court that he was not there, so, therefore, he could only rationalize and theorize as to what prompted this erratic behavior. We have presented these particular facts. We have attempted to explain the hydraulic system and its effect upon

the accident to the Court and jury, if for no other reason than to give substance to that which occurred.

Now, with respect to unseaworthiness, I think there is no question. With respect to the issue of negligence, I submit to the Court that that issue is also at present to be decided by the jury.

THE COURT: What is the notice on the negligence issue?

MR. LORY: I don't think notice is essential except for the fact that these winches, except for the time they were turned over to longshoremen for their operation, were and did remain continuously in the control of the vehicle. They maintained them, and if they didn't maintain them and service them properly, then this is something that they knew about or should have known about. Mr. Pitt, the officer, described to us what had to be done with these winches. On his deposition he indicated the fact that there were periods when fluid had to be added. He indicated also that in all hydraulic systems they were subject to leaks and he told us what he did with respect to this. Certainly they are not divorced from the winch system, particularly the winch system at Np. 3 hatch. It is their equipment. They possessed it.

THE COURT: Mr. Lory, let me stop you a minute.

Am I correct that before you can go to the jury on the theory

of negligence, there has to be some condition perceived by the vehicle that is dangerous and, therefore, there arises a duty which is breached?

MR. LORY: True. I don't deny this, Judge.

THE COURT: I am not sure that I see here what the duty of the vehicle was that was breached on your negligence theory.

MR. LORY: The duty of the vehicle is this: First we must establish that they knew or should have known of the conditions that were present with respect to this winch. We have Mr. Pitt telling us on his deposition that they did experience leakage within this system and what they did. What they did was to add this oil into the system to pump additional oil into the system.

THE COURT: I don't believe he said that. I believe he said that as a maintenance matter they bled the air out of the system on every northbound voyage. I do not believe anybody ever said they had a prior condition of this swooping.

MR. LORY: No, not the swooping.

MR. KAIN: That is correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: Nobody ever said that.

MR. KAIN: I will submit to your Honor that Mr. Ferenczy himself said there was some seepage this morning.

He said it this morning on the stand that there was some

seepage in all hydraulic systems.

THE COURT: And Mr. Ferenczy said that the maintenance that was done as a result of Mr. Coppola's complaint was not one that would have any bearing upon the condition that existed at 7:30 in the afternoon.

MR. LORY: If I may just have one moment, please, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. LORY: I will call the Court's attention to page 58 of the transcript of Mr. Pitt's deposition.

THE COURT: Read it to me. I do not seem to have my copy. Go ahead.

MR. LORY: (Reading)

"Q Any leakage or infiltration of air in either will affect the operation of that particular winch; is that a fair statement?

"A Well, it is -- we have never in my four years any chance of any air coming into the main system, only in the remote system we did have air entrapped."

THE COURT: Air?

MR. LORY: Entrapped.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. LORY: He adds the fact that in the remote system -- and we were taking a deposition where the witness

2 was aw

was aware that we were speaking of the No. 3 hatch and he admitted prior instances of air entrapment.

MR. KAIN: I submit to your Honor that there is no testimony here that there was air present in the hydraulic system is unusual or is a condition that should not occur.

I think the testimony is just to the contrary. Mr. Ferenczy pointed out to the jury at some length why you had this big gravity feed tank that took care of air in the system.

MR. LORY: Mr. Ferenczy took care of the gravity feed tank which took care of air in the main system and did not have anything to do with the remote system. I submit to your Honor that, based upon Mr. Pitt's statement of prior experience of air entrapment in the remote system, that again we have an issue to be determined by this jury; that they had notice that the system was subject to the entrapment of air and this particular condition and with this knowledge these particular winches were turned over to the longshoremen for operation.

THE COURT: Are you saying that your notice -- your evidence in this record of notice is limited to what is set forth at page 58 of Mr. Pitt's deposition?

MR. LORY: Your Honor, I believe there are other references with respect to their knowledge that there was air in the system. I think there are in the record. Specifically

that is the only one I put my finger on in the movement.

THE COURT: Doesn't there have to be some point in time -- even assuming that, but some point in time if there is no showing of air in the system in the prior two days that they are working, isn't the existence of air in the system at some earlier time irrelevant?

MR. LORY: Your Honor is approaching it on the present existence, or within the time limitation of two days. Our case is predicated upon the fact that the system was prone to the entrapment of air, and I speak of the remote system, and that the ship owner had knowledge of this. At least in theory the accident resulted -- and the reason I say in theory is because we are rationalizing on what was described to us as the behavior of this particular draft.

MR. KAIN: If your Honor please, even if we were to assume what Mr. Lory says is true, I submit to your Honor that he has produced no testimony in this case that there is anything wrong with the design of this system or the way it works or the fact that it has to be primed through every two voyages or every five months, as the chief engineer testified. There is no testimony in this record for which the jury can do anything but speculate as to what the meaning was about air entrapment and the necessity to bleed this through during routine maintenance on northbound boyages. There is I

rgrm 117

testimony that this is an improper system or faulty designed system.

THE COURT: As I recall Mr. Ferenczy, he said it was inevitable in this system that this occur.

MR. KAIN: That is my recollection.

THE COURT: I think I understand this now.

Gentlemen, correct me if I am wrong, but on the unseaworthiness condition, Mr. Ferenczy did testify unequivocably that this swooping was caused by air.

MR. KAIN: In his opinion, that is right.

anyone may feel on the issue of credibility as to Mr.

Ferenczy, I think that is an issue that I must send to the jury. The credibility of Mr. Ferenczy is for them to determine. I may say that I think there is substantial question there, given the nature of the cross examination, but I still think that it is a jury question.

MR. COHEN: Except I think this, if I may, your

Honor, and I should couple my statement, I think, with a

motion to strike some part of Mr. Ferenczy's testimony. Mr.

Ferenczy admitted that with an air pocket the effect would be

to slow down, or make sluggish the operation of the winch. He

admitted that without qualification. He went beyond that,

though, in talking about the swooping effect. He comes to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that conclusion, according to his own testimony, only by assuming that the winch operator thereafter experiencing initially a sluggish response then put the winch further forward ahead to compensate for that sluggish response, and he stated that that was the basis on which he arrived at the swooping motion. Now, there has been no testimony from the winch operator that after getting -- that after activating that winch he kept pushing it further ahead. Indeed, Mr. Coppola's testimony was just to the contrary. He said he started it slowly and it just took off and he pulled it back to neutral. I, therefore, think that I should properly move to strike that part of Mr. Ferenczy's testimony based upon his assumption not contained in the record that Mr. Coppola first activated the winch, found it sluggish and then activated it even further because that is just not what Mr. Coppola said.

of Mr. Ferenczy's testimony, which I think your Honor should grant, we are then left with Mr. Ferenczy saying that such an air pocket would have the effect of making the winch sluggish, and if such an air pocket is unseaworthy, it is still the plaintiff's burden to show approximate cause between that and the happening of the accident, and there is no such showing of approximate cause because the effect of it would be to

slow down the winch, not accelerate it.

THE COURT: Mr. Cohen, what you are saying is that

-- and I am assuming your statement at its strongest. You

are saying I blew Mr. Ferenczy out of the water and I

demonstrated on cross examination that his opinion is com
pletely without cross examination. That is the position you

take.

MR. COHEN: No, just that portion of the -- that

portion of his opinion that speculated that the winch operator,

after activating the winch, got a sluggish response

initially and then activated it even further. Therefore,

by activating it further made it speed up. Now, that was

not what Mr. Coppola said, and Mr. Ferenczy --

THE COURT: You see, that was not in the hypothetical that was put to the witness either on direct examination.

MR. COHEN: That is right, but his answer indicated that it was on that basis -- his psychoanalysis, if you will, of what a winch operator would do faced with an initial sluggish response, that he comes to this conclusion of that causing this swooping motion.

THE COURT: If I may say, that was one of his answers.

MR. COHEN: I think that was the only basis upon

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

21

25

which he could arrive at a winch which would be slowed down by an air pocket which would cause this draft to move erratically in the fashion it did.

THE COURT: There was a discussion of a lag in the return of the pilot valve to the center after having sen put in that position. There were a number of things of that kind.

MR. COHEN: That was trying to correct it after it started, but in doing the chronology, in order to get the swooping motion to begin, he assumed that Mr. Coppola first got a sluggish response and then activated the winch further forward and that is just not what Mr. Coppola said and the witness had no right to assume it and his theorizing based upon those assumptions which are not in this record and are directly contradicted by Mr. Coppola should be stricken. If we strike that testimony, we are then left with a very simple situation, where Mr. Ferenczy says that an air pocket would cause this winch to act sluggishly, slow down, and there is no proof, then, that that situation caused this accident and I think that is the point we are at. There is no proof in this case -- no competent proof of approximate relationship or approximate cause on either the unseaworthiness or the negligence count.

THE COURT: Mr. Cohen, let me say this to you: In

rgrm 121

means intend to make it an object of humor because I feel that substantial inroads were made in the credibility of this witness on cross examination that the jury could consider as bearing on the validity of his opinion. I nevertheless am concerned that there is enough in the record on the issue of the cause of this to make the credibility of that witness, and therefore the value of his opinion, a jury question.

But I will give consideration to it over our weekend. We are recessing, as you know, tonight until Tuesday, so I will give consideration to that. I will reserve decision on both motions Mr. Kain has made which you have endorsed.

MR. COHEN: I didn't mean to infer that your Honor was making fun, but I just didn't want my position so over stated that it becomes impossible to defend.

sion, because let us take the situation where an expert gives an opinion that is worthless. The cases are very strong that nevertheless the trial judge may not take the issue from a jury even though he may feel that the expert's testimony is without merit.

MR. COHEN: That is right, and your Honor is correct and there is a statement in this record, or two, by Mr. Ferenczy that that air pocket caused the swooping

rgrm 122

motion.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. COHEN: However, the key part of what I am arguing now is my motion to strike that portion of Mr. Ferenczy's testimony --

THE COURT: What you are saying was one of his underpinnings was this subconscious continuation push.

MR. COHEN: That is absolutely right, and that is something Mr. Coppola denied, because he has got his own actions just in reverse, and I say if your Honor should grant my motion to strike that part of Mr. Ferenczy's testimony -- and I think that should be stricken -- then we are left with a record which does not contain any proof that this air pocket caused the swooping motion.

MR. LORY: May I be heard?

reviewing the entire testimony of this expert, I do not know that I could do that even were I willing to strike that part of the testimony. I would have to review it to see whether there were not some bases upon which Mr. Lory is entitled to have the jury consider this opinion. Very frankly, this man had, as I say, a number of explanations for how this could have happened one way or another; that the valve would be slow in coming back or that the air which would be first

easily compressed would then be sluggish in moving, or that it could act erratically or that there were vibrations that occurred at one time that did not occur at another time.

There were a lot of things like that.

MR. COHEN: That is in stopping it, but we are talking about what caused it to initially start that swooping erratic motion.

THE COURT: I know that, and without a real review, and as I say I would very hesitant on a complete review, to dismiss on that count, but nevertheless I will reserve decision on both motions.

MR. COHEN: I think your Honor understands or appreciates the argument.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. LORY: May I say one thing with respect to that, your Honor?

THE COURT: Surely.

MR. LORY: It had been my understanding that when Mr. Ferenczy was testifying, and before the movement and within the system and everything else was broken down into small segments, that he assumed that there was, as there would have to be here, a continuous motion of this lever until such time as you saw a response at the hook, because there are no points; there is nothing there to indicate how far you are

going. It has been established here rather clearly that the winch operator would look at the draft. When he saw a result there -- now, with the cross examination counsel attempted to break this down into segments and all the witness was saying at that particular point was the fact that as it moved further this would happen; as the lever progressed in its course this would happen. Now, Mr. Cohen misconstrues this to break it out or break it down, and I also missed the point when your Honor first ruled upon it, was the fact that there was an additional motion. It was not an additional motion. It was a continuous motion with an effort to explain that which would happen as this particular handle traveled along its course. The fact that as he pushed it so far there would still be no visible sign so it continued to travel.

THE COURT: Well, as I remember the testimony, this car had started across from the Burton; it had started across. It had gone over the rail. Now, let us assume that this is the rail of the vessel. That car has got to be 10, 15, 20 feet out there and it has got to come 10, 15, 20 feet across. It was coming across slowly and it was at this point with the controls being operated very slowly that all of a sudden it ran away. So I do not think we are in a position where Mr. Coppola starts and keeps on pushing the thing. He had it in his operation and all of a sudden, according to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

him, it ran away from him.

MR. LORY: That wasn't my understanding of the testimony.

THE COURT: That was my understanding.

MR. LORY: He took a strain on the up and down and he started to slack off at which point the Burton ran away. Therefore, the Burton is now traveling from the raised position through the neutral to the lowering position.

THE COURT: I know, but that is where I am not sure I agree with you, because as I understood it, the car was already moving onto the boat and, therefore, he was in the process of having his controls, in a picking up of one and a letting off of the other. They were in a more or less fixed position because this thing was moving, one was doing like this.

MR. LORY: That wasn't my recollection.

THE COURT: That is my recollection of the testimony and it is at that point he says he put the Burton in neutral and he pulled the other one back all the way to hoist it and that is when it happened. But as I say, I am concerned that there is enough in Mr. Ferency'z opinion to require submissionto the jury.

> Did you gentlemen order a copy of his testimony? MR. KAIN: We ordered a copy only of the direct and

I was hoping to have it long before now. We ordered just the direct on a daily basis.

THE COURT: I am concerned -- let me give you my feelings. I feel that I probably would have to submit the unseaworthiness issue. I feel there is substantial merit in the motion to dismiss on the grounds of negligence and I will give consideration to that over the weekend.

All right, Mr. Kain, let's take a recess here and you can organize your forces. I take it, so I have some idea where we are at, you are going to be another day and a half here?

MR. KAIN: I hoped to finish this afternoon. I intend to put on a hydraulic expert. I have then one more fact witness who should -- I mean one more expert witness who should be brief and my factual testimony is all by deposition, but I am endeavoring not to read all three depositions at one time. I would like to break them up, if I may.

THE COURT: So you might be through by Tuesday during the morning.

MR. KAIN: I might be through sometime Tuesday, yes.

MR. COHEN: If your Honor please, Mr. Andre, who I subpoenaed the other day and who your Honor directed to come back today as I understand is, is still in the witness room. He will be my first witness. I don't think we will reach

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

him, then, until Tuesday. Could I ask your Honor to order him back Tuesday?

THE COURT: Absolutely. Please do. Let's bring him in right now and let him off. -

Off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

THE COURT: Sir, we have been keeping you here and unfortunately we are not going to reach you today. We are going to let you go until Tuesday. When would you like this gentlemen Tuesday, at 2 o'clock?

MR. COHEN: That will be fine.

THE COURT: Can you return Tueaday at 2 o'clock?

MR. ANDRE: Yes.

THE COURT: All right, it is my direction that you be here Tuesday at 2 o'clock.

THE COURT: Let's take a brief recess here.

(Recess)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, the plaintiff having concluded his case on the case in chief, we will now turn to proof on behalf of the vessel, Mr. Kain.

MR. KAIN: Mr. Napolitano.

P. EDWARD NAPOLITANO, called as a witness, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KAIN:

Q Mr. Napolitano, would you tell his Honor and the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what your present occupation is.

A I am presently employed by John J. MacMullan Associates. They are naval architects, marine engineers, as the chief hydraulics engineer. My present duties are the design, development and actually having built a fin stabilizing system for ships to stabilize ships against roll. I am in the ships motions division.

Q Would you tell his Honor and the ladies and gentlemen of the jury your educational and engineering background.

A Well, I have a degree from New York University in civil engineering. My engineering background has been in all the fields, namely mechanical, hydraulic, structural and electrical. Starting from way back when I first started to work, I worked for the Brooklyn Edison Company before it became Consolidated Company as just an electrical draftsman. From there I went to the Aluminmum Company of America in the mechanical end of engineering. From there I went to Remington Rand, and again it was mechanical, in the design of calculators. This is before the computer era. From Remington Rand I went down to the Panama Canal and I was

there for three years. That is where I got my first professional introduction to hydraulics, in the Canal, the stream flows and hydraulic equipment for the operation of the Canal itself. Then I had construction experience, which has nothing to do with the present problem. Then I went into my own business. I had a small manufacturing shop known as Penco Hydraulics. They happen to be the initials of my name, Pen Company. In that, of course, my main work was that of design and manufacture of hydraulic components. It was in response to requirements — specification requirements wherein I conceived, designed and made the parts for the various companies, mostly for Greer Hydraulics.

Then I sold my shop to Hudson Engineering Company,

and as a matter of fact it is still operating as Penco

Division of Hudson Engineering Company, where I did further

work -- I developed certain items which have been patented and

I do now presently own 14 patents in hydraulic components

and hydraulic systems.

Then from Hudson Engineering Company, which is also owned by John J. MacMullan anyway, I came to the design office because of this problem of designing a stabilizing system hydraulically. They do exist, of course.

THE COURT: Can you all hear the witness, ladies and gentlemen? Good. I just wanted to make sure you do.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They must all hear you, sir.

A It is practically complete. My problem has been to change a fin stabilizing system from a mechanical electromechanical to hydraulics which responds to electronic signals and converts these signals to very high torque hydraulic movements.

- Q Did you, Mr. Napolitano, at my request go on board the South African Huguenot?
 - Yes, I did. Λ
 - Q How many times did you go on board this vessel?
- Well, once at your request and once at my own request.
 - For what purposes did you go on board the vessel?
- To examine the hydraulic system, the hydraulic winch system which is here in question.
- That is the forward winches at the No. 3 hatch of the South African Huguenot?
 - That is correct.

MR. KAIN: I wonder, if I may, your Honor, with the consent of counsel, put these photographs which I have in evidence. They have all been identified by the vessel's third officer. If there is no objection -- rather than put them in individually and refer back to Mr. Gous' testimony, if there is no objection to them, I would like to put them in.

XX

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Napolitano-direct

THE COURT: Mr. Lory?

MR. LORY: May I see what you are offering, Mr.

MR. KAIN: Yes.

MR. LORY: Okay.

(Defendant's Exhibit F received in evidence.)

MR. LORY: I would just like one thing on the record, your Honor, a statement from counsel as to when these pictures were taken as to date.

MR. KAIN: For the record --

MR. LORY: For the record, please.

MR. KAIN: May I let my assistant look for the dates on these, your Honor, while I continue?

THE COURT: Surely.

Mr. Lory, is it sufficient if we have how many months later or something of that nature?

MR. LORY: Just a general --

MR. KAIN: They are a considerable period of time later, your Monor. They are nowhere near the date of this accident, if that is what Mr. Lory is trying to establish.

THE COURT: Is that what you want?

MR. LORY: Yes.

THE COURT: All right, then we do not need it.

25

1 rgrm 13

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

XX

BY MR. KAIN:

- Q Did you also at my request review the instruction manual for these particular winches?
 - A Yes, I did.
- Q And did you look at the final drawings for these winches, which is --

MR. KAIN: May I mark this for identification, then, your Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

(Defendant's Exhibit G marked for identification.)

- Q Did you also, then, Mr. Napolitano, look at these final drawings which are Defendant's Exhibit G for identification?
 - A Yes, sir, I did.
- Q Now, Mr. Napolitano, would you look at this drawing which is before you, this blackboard drawing, and I direct your attention specifically to the upper right hand corner. Will you assume with me that that circular figure with the line running down from it was described by Mr. Ferenczy, plaintiff's expert, as a balancing tank, or feed gravity tank for the main winches, or the main winch motor, for the winches at the forward end of the No. 3 hatch, and will you then, sir, look at this photograph, which is Defendant's

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Exhibit C in evidence, and I direct your attention specifically to the upper right hand corner. Would you tell me, does that balancing tank appear in that photograph?

A Yes. It is this rectangular tank at the top of the boom.

Q Would you place a "T" using this pencil on that tank, the one that you have just referred to.

Now, will you also, Mr. Napolitano, look at this photograph, which is Defendant's Exhibit F in evidence and tell me, if you can, what that is a picture of.

A From my recollection of my visit to the pump room, this is the fill valve -- fill line for that particular tank that I just marked.

- Q Does it have a handle or a pump of some kind in that photograph?
 - A Yes, it has.
 - Q What is its purpose, the purpose of the pump handle?
- A It is to open the line to make the oil flow in one direction or the other, as the case may be.
- Q Could you tell me what the purpose of the gravity feed tank is?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Or balancing tank, if you would?
 - A Well, gravity feed, expansion tank, balancing tank,

2

3

4

5

8

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it has all these names, but its function is to replenish whatever oil may be lost from the lines. The pump and motor is the closed loop, or closed circuit, so that the pump is pumping oil through a line, either through a bypass and back to itself, or through motors, if the proper valves are actuated and back to itself again, but all pumps actually designed so have leakage, and the reason for the leakage is, one, where you have moving parts you have got to have clearances and if you have clearances then you are going to have oil leak through, especially under pressure. That being the case there is advantage taken of it by actually controlling the spaces or the clearances that you have between moving parts and controlling the amount of oil that goes through. This acts as two things: One, it acts as a lubricant for the piece of machinery itself and, two, it is called case drain. It actually takes away heat from the operation of the piece of equipment. So as this oil is leaked from the system it has to be made up by another source. For this source you have a tank on top of the boom here, or wherever, at a high point so that it can replenish whatever oil is lost through leakage.

Q Does this tank serve any function with respect to keeping air out of the hydraulic system, this closed hydraulic system for these cargo winches that you referred to?

A Yes, it being --

MR. LORY: Objection, your Honor. May we have which system we are referring to?

MR. KAIN: I said the closed hydraulic system for the cargo winches.

THE COURT: The cargo winches.

MR. LORY: That is the main system, Mr. Kain?

MR. KAIN: The main system, if you will.

A Yes. It being the highest point in the system the air would collect in the line that leads to that high point and it would bleed the air from the main closed loop.

Q Incidentally, Mr. Napolitano, do the terms "slave system" and "master system" have any meaning to you as an engineer?

A Yes.

Q Would you tell me what a "slave system" is and what a "master system" is?

A Well, as the term implies, you have the so-called transmitter, or the system that gives the signal. That would be the master. Then you have at the other end the system that receives the signal and must respond, and because it must respond it is called a slave system.

Q Specifically, with reference to the cargo winches at the forward end of the No. 3 hatch of the Huguenot, would this transmitter or remot system that we have been talking

Napolitano-direct

about while you have been sitting in the courtroom here, would that be the master system?

A Yes.

- Q And the main cargo winch, that is the hydraulic motor and cargo winch, would that be the slave system?
 - A The control of that would be the slave system, yes.
- Q Now, could you tell me, again with specific reference to the cargo winches at the forward end of the No. 3 hatch, is there any direct connection, or is there gearing between the hydraulic motor and the cargo winch? I am referring to the South African Huguenot, of course.

A I am sorry, I lost you. Could you repeat that, please?

Q These cargo winches at the forward end of the No. 3 hatch on the Huguenot, were they connected? In other words, was the hydraulic motor connected to the winch by means of gearing or was it directly connected to the cargo winch?

A No. It is a direct shaft and drive. It is a direct connection from the drive to the motor.

- Q With no gearing inbetween?
- A No gearing inbetween.
- Ω Mr. Napolitano, I show you these two photographs which are Defendant's Exhibits A and B.

Can you tell me, using them together, what do they

represent?

A B represents the stantions on which the master part of the controls are fixed.

- Q That is the --
- A Transmitter.
- Q The remote system, the transmitter, and the main system?

A Yes. And the lower part of the picture represents the same thing, just an enlarged view.

Q Could you tell me based on your investigations aboard the South African Huguenot and on your study of the equipment itself and the instruction manual, is there any oil reservoir or hydraulic fluid reservoir on that equipment?

A Yes, there is, at the head of this stantion. At the very top, that semi-circular box, the internal of it is an reservoir.

Q Does that reservoir, if you will, of the hydraulic fluid serve any function in connection with air in the system or a lack of air in the system?

A The reservoir itself would keep out air from the system because it would be filled with oil and oil can only get into the ram actuator through some check valves. They are non-return valves. They operate in one direction only.

However, the air which might get into a line, assuming that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it did, you have -- any and all hydraulic systems have high points in the systems at which there is a bleed point or bleed valve.

Q Does this particular system have a bleed valve? I am referring now to the system aboard the South African Huguenot.

A Yes, it does. It is not shown on these drawings -these photographs.

Q But there is such a bleed valve in the system; is that correct?

A Yes, there is an individual bleed valve for each of the lines, one for the up, or hoist, one for the down, or lower, and one for the foot brake, each of which is a separate hydraulic line.

Q With respect to this foot brake as depicted in that photograph, when you went on board the vessel, could you tell us what type of a brake that is, the foot brake?

A Well, it is just a foot treadle, but it is linked to a hydraulic piston inside the stantion which transmitted hydraulic fluid, or power, to another piston on the opposite side, and this hydraulic piston at the other end of it presses down onto a similar treadle which operates the drum band -- the brake band around the drum.

Q And a brake band around the drum is a mechanical brake, is it, sir, as opposed to a hydraulic brake?

2	Λ

A Yes, it is a strip around a wheel and as you tighten it it will grip the wheel and keep it from rotating.

Q This foot brake system, is it independent of the transmitter system which we have depicted on the other side of the board there?

A Yes, it is. It is the same position and the components of it are within the same stantion, but it is an entirely independent system.

Q While you were on board the South African Huguenot, about how long ago was that, do you recall?

- A The last time might have been about eight months ago.
- Q Before that you were on it when, sir?
- A That I don't recall.
- Q Well, in any event, on the two occasions when you were on board his vessel, did you examine this equipment at the forward end of the No. 3 hatch?

A Yes, I did.

MR. LORY: Excuse me, Mr. Kain, may we have an answer of when he was first aboard? I think it is germane at this time with respect to this testimony.

THE COURT: Do you have a recollection of the month or the year?

THE WITNESS: This is a very rough recollection of somewheres of about between 12 and 14 months.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: After the incident?

THE WITNESS: No, from today. Between 12 and 14 months ago.

THE COURT: And the first time?

THE WITNESS: That was the first time. The second time was approximately eight months ago.

- I believe the second time was in August of last year, was it not?
 - A Probably. Something like that.
- Q On the two occasions when you were on board and you examined this equipment and I am referring now to the upper handle on the transmitter or master system. On the two occasions when you were on board, was that handle spring loaded?
 - Yes, it was.
- Q On the occasions when you were on board, if you moved that handle either to the hoist or lowering position, if you took your hand off it, would the handle return to the upright or stop position?
 - Yes, it would return to neutral.
- How about with respect to the control lever for the winch itself?
 - A It did the same thing.
 - Q Was that spring loaded?